

## Tracked Bills - 2010 Delta Stewardship Council

- [AB 1585](#) **(Committee on Accountability and Administrative Rev) State government: reporting requirements: required repealer.**  
**Introduced:** 7/9/2009  
**Last Amend:** 2/8/2010  
**Status:** 2/26/2010-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 7, Statutes of 2010  
**Location:** 2/26/2010-A. CHAPTERED  
**Summary:** Would require that the report submitted by a state or local agency to the Members of either house of the Legislature generally, be submitted as a printed copy to both the Legislative Counsel and the Secretary of the Senate, and as an electronic copy to the Chief Clerk of the Assembly. The bill would further require that the summary of a report made by a state agency to either house of the Legislature be submitted to the Members of the appropriate house by that agency, instead of by the Legislative Counsel. The bill would also specifically require the Legislative Counsel to maintain the list of state and local agencies' reports in a specified manner, including maintaining the list in an electronic format and deleting specified reports from the list. This bill contains other related provisions.
- [AB 1594](#) **(Huber D) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta: peripheral canal.**  
**Introduced:** 1/4/2010  
**Last Amend:** 4/15/2010  
**Status:** 5/7/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was W.,P. & W. on 4/27/2010)  
**Location:** 5/12/2010-A. DEAD  
**Summary:** Would prohibit the construction of a peripheral canal, as defined, that conveys water from a diversion point in the Sacramento River to a location south of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, unless expressly authorized by the Legislature. The bill would require the Legislative Analyst's Office to complete an economic feasibility analysis prior to the enactment of a statute authorizing the construction of a peripheral canal. The bill would prohibit the construction and operation of a peripheral canal from diminishing or negatively affecting the water supplies, water rights, or quality of water for water users within the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta watershed, or imposing any new burdens on infrastructure within, or financial burdens on persons residing in, the Delta or the Delta watershed.
- [AB 1659](#) **(Huber D) State government: agency repeals.**  
**Introduced:** 1/19/2010  
**Last Amend:** 6/2/2010  
**Status:** 6/22/2010-From committee: Do pass, and re-refer to Com. on RLS. Re-referred. (Ayes 6. Noes 0.) (June 21).  
**Location:** 6/22/2010-S. RLS.  
**Summary:** Would create the Joint Sunset Review Committee to identify and eliminate waste, duplication, and inefficiency in government agencies and to conduct a comprehensive analysis of every "eligible agency," as defined, to determine if the agency is still necessary and cost effective. The bill would define an "eligible agency" as an entity of state government, however denominated, for which a date for repeal has been established by statute on or after January 1, 2011. The bill would require each eligible agency scheduled for repeal to submit a report to the committee containing specified information. The bill would require the committee to take public testimony and evaluate the eligible agency prior to the date the agency is scheduled to be repealed, and would require that an eligible agency be eliminated unless the Legislature enacts a law to extend, consolidate, or reorganize the agency. The bill would specify the composition of the committee, which would be appointed by the President pro Tempore of the Senate and the Speaker of the Assembly, and certain aspects of its operating procedure.
- [AB 1677](#) **(Caballero D) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.**  
**Introduced:** 1/25/2010  
**Last Amend:** 6/10/2010  
**Status:** 7/7/2010-Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 39, Statutes of 2010.  
**Location:** 7/7/2010-A. CHAPTERED  
**Summary:** Would delete the Secretary of the Interior as the appointing entity and would, instead, designate the Secretary of Commerce as the appointing entity. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
- [AB 1780](#) **(Yamada D) Delta Stewardship Council: certifications of consistency.**  
**Introduced:** 2/9/2010  
**Status:** 5/7/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was PRINT on 2/9/2010)  
**Location:** 5/12/2010-A. DEAD  
**Summary:** Existing law requires the state or a local public agency that proposes to undertake certain actions within the boundaries of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta or the Suisun Marsh to prepare, and submit to the Delta Stewardship Council, a specified written certification of consistency with a prescribed Delta Plan prior to taking those actions. This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to this requirement.
- [AB 1787](#) **(Swanson D) Administrative procedure: regulations: narrative description.**

**Introduced:** 2/10/2010

**Last Amend:** 4/7/2010

**Status:** 6/4/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/5/2010)

**Location:** 6/4/2010-A. DEAD

**Summary:** Would additionally require the agency to include a narrative description of the additions to, and deletions from, the California Code of Regulations , as specified, and would state the intent of the Legislature to require state agencies to provide regulatory amendments in a narrative format that can be accurately translated by software used by the visually impaired .

[AB 1797](#)

**(Berryhill, Bill R) State Water Resources Development System: Delta Corridors Plan.**

**Introduced:** 2/10/2010

**Last Amend:** 4/7/2010

**Status:** 6/4/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/28/2010)

**Location:** 6/4/2010-A. DEAD

**Summary:** Would require the department to undertake an expedited evaluation and feasibility study with regard to the implementation of a specified Delta Corridors Plan as part of the State Water Resources Development System. The bill would require the department to consult with the Department of Fish and Game to study specified impacts and benefits of the Delta Corridors Plan and to include in the study an assessment of the incorporation of the Two-Gates Fish Demonstration Project managed by the United States Bureau of Reclamation into the Delta Corridors Plan. The department would be required to prepare and submit to the Legislature, on or before January 1, 2012, a report that includes its feasibility findings. If the department determines the implementation of the plan is feasible, the department would be required to include recommendations with regard to specific facilities to be constructed, and to identify potential funding sources, for the purposes of implementing the plan. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

[AB 1993](#)

**(Strickland, Audra R) Reports: declarations.**

**Introduced:** 2/17/2010

**Last Amend:** 5/20/2010

**Status:** 6/10/2010-Referred to Com. on RLS.

**Location:** 6/10/2010-S. RLS.

**Summary:** Would require any entity submitting a written report to the Legislature, a Member of the Legislature, or a committee of the Legislature to include a signed statement by the head of the entity submitting the written report declaring that the factual contents of the written report are true, accurate, and complete to the best of his or her knowledge.

[AB 2006](#)

**(Hill D) Governmental linguistics.**

**Introduced:** 2/17/2010

**Last Amend:** 4/6/2010

**Status:** 6/4/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 4/14/2010)

**Location:** 6/4/2010-A. DEAD

**Summary:** Would until January 1, 2015, require the California Research Bureau to survey state agency documents to determine the extent to which existing documents use language that suggests a particular religious faith or sect. This bill would also require the bureau to consult with experts to identify religiously neutral and inclusive terms to replace existing language and to identify any legal or fiscal ramifications, as specified. This bill would require the bureau to submit a report based on its findings to the Chief Clerk of the Assembly, the Secretary of the Senate, and the Judiciary Committees of the Assembly and Senate.

[AB 2049](#)

**(Arambula I) Transfers of water: agricultural use to municipal use.**

**Introduced:** 2/18/2010

**Last Amend:** 5/28/2010

**Status:** 6/4/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was THIRD READING on 6/3/2010)

**Location:** 6/4/2010-A. DEAD

**Summary:** Would prohibit the department, with respect to a contractual entitlement to water from the State Water Project, and the state board, with respect to any other transfer of water or water rights, from approving the transfer of surface water or water rights, or a portion of a contractual entitlement to water from the State Water Project, from agricultural use to municipal use for a period of 20 years or more, unless the water user provides to the department or the state board, as applicable, a written evaluation of the economic, social, and environmental effects of the transfer upon the service area from which the water is to be transferred. The bill would prohibit a water user from replacing specified surface water that is transferred from agricultural use to municipal use with groundwater, unless the groundwater basin of the service area from which the water is to be transferred is monitored in accordance with specified requirements. The bill would require the department and the state board to charge specified fees to a water user that is subject to these provisions. This bill contains other existing laws.

[AB 2092](#)

**(Huffman D) Delta Plan: financing.**

**Introduced:** 2/18/2010

**Last Amend:** 6/28/2010

**Status:** 6/28/2010-Read second time and amended. Re-referred to Com. on APPR.

**Location:** 6/28/2010-S. APPR.

**Summary:** Would require the council to develop a long-term finance plan to pay for the costs of implementing the Delta Plan by January 1, 2013. The bill would prohibit the council from adopting new fees for these purposes unless authorized by statute. The bill would authorize the council, before adopting and collecting long-term revenue sources, to seek to obtain early funding contributions from entities that may benefit from implementation of the Delta Plan and to track those contributions to provide credit against future funding requirements.

[AB 2146](#) **(Berryhill, Bill R) Water resources: bond funds: appropriations.**

**Introduced:** 2/18/2010

**Last Amend:** 4/5/2010

**Status:** 4/23/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was W.,P. & W. on 4/6/2010)

**Location:** 4/23/2010-A. DEAD

**Summary:** Would appropriate an unspecified amount from these funds for purposes of certain projects.

[AB 2304](#) **(Huffman D) Groundwater management plans: components.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Last Amend:** 6/15/2010

**Status:** 6/22/2010-From committee: Do pass, and re-refer to Com. on APPR. Re-referred. (Ayes 5. Noes 3.) (June 22).

**Location:** 6/22/2010-S. APPR.

**Calendar:** 7/15/2010 10 a.m. - Room 3191 SENATE APPROPRIATIONS, KEHOE, Chair

**Summary:** Would require the local agency, upon written request, to provide a copy of the proposed groundwater management plan to an interested person. The bill would require the local agency to provide each of those interested persons with a specified notice at least 30 days prior to the commencement of the second hearing to determine whether to adopt the plan. The bill would also authorize an interested person to contact the Department of Water Resources for, and would also authorize the department to provide, specified information relating to groundwater management plans. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

[AB 2336](#) **(Fuller R) Delta Stewardship Council.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Last Amend:** 5/17/2010

**Status:** 6/29/2010-In committee: Set, first hearing. Held without recommendation.

**Location:** 6/3/2010-S. N.R. & W.

**Summary:** Would require the council, in the course of developing and adopting the Delta Plan, to direct the board to conduct an assessment of certain stressors on populations of native fish species in the Delta, the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers, and the tributaries to those rivers below the rim dams of the central valley, and recommend changes in statute and actions by state agencies to remedy the situation in as timely a manner as possible.

[AB 2376](#) **(Huffman D) Fish and wildlife: strategic vision.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Last Amend:** 5/28/2010

**Status:** 7/1/2010-From committee: Amend, do pass as amended, and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 3.) (June 29).

**Location:** 7/1/2010-S. APPR.

**Summary:** Would require the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency to convene a committee, with membership as prescribed, to develop and submit to the Governor and Legislature, before July 1, 2012, a strategic vision for the department and the commission that addresses specified matters relating to state fish and wildlife resource management.

[AB 2405](#) **(Buchanan D) Delta flood protection.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Status:** 5/7/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was W.,P. & W. on 4/8/2010)

**Location:** 5/12/2010-A. DEAD

**Summary:** Existing law governing levee maintenance in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta requires the Department of Water Resources to develop and submit to the Central Valley Flood Protection Board, for adoption by the board, criteria for the maintenance and improvement of levees that are not project facilities under the State Water Resources Law of 1945, known as nonproject levees, as prescribed. If the department finds that the annual routine maintenance work specified in the plans approved by the board is not being performed in accordance with the agreement entered into between the local agency and the board, the department is authorized to establish a maintenance area and thereafter annually maintain the nonproject levee in accordance with those plans and subject to specified provisions of law. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to that authorization.

[AB 2420](#) **(Huffman D) Protected species: incidental take: consistency determinations.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Last Amend:** 3/24/2010

**Status:** 4/23/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was W.,P. & W. on 3/25/2010)

**Location:** 4/23/2010-A. DEAD

**Summary:** Would require the inclusion in the notice of a specified additional information, including copy of the biological opinion along with an incidental take statement or a copy of the conservation plan with an incidental take permit . The bill would authorize the department to adopt regulations to implement those revised incidental take and consistency determination provisions. This bill contains other existing laws.

[AB 2421](#) **(Nielsen R) Water development projects: Sacramento-San Joaquin watersheds.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Status:** 5/7/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(6). (Last location was PRINT on 2/19/2010)

**Location:** 5/12/2010-A. DEAD

**Summary:** Existing law adopts and authorizes specified projects in areas within the City of Sacramento and the Counties of Sacramento and Sutter at an estimated cost to the state of the sum that may be appropriated by the Legislature for state participation upon the recommendation and advice of the Department of Water Resources or the Reclamation Board. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to those provisions.

[AB 2529](#) **(Fuentes D) State agencies: regulations: review.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Last Amend:** 5/28/2010

**Status:** 6/28/2010-In committee: Set, first hearing. Hearing canceled at the request of author.

**Location:** 6/23/2010-S. B., P. & E.D.

**Summary:** Would require the State Air Resources Board, Energy Commission, Department of Fish and Game, and the Department of Housing and Community Development to complete a related economic impacts analysis, as defined, for any proposed regulation that will have an adverse economic impact on California business enterprises and individuals in an amount exceeding \$10,000,000, as specified. This bill would also require these entities to submit the related economic impacts analysis to a prescribed peer review process, if certain conditions occur. This bill contains other related provisions.

[AB 2575](#) **(Chesbro D) Resources: watersheds.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Last Amend:** 5/28/2010

**Status:** 7/7/2010-Do pass as amended, and re-refer to the Committee on Appropriations. (Refers to 6/16/2010 hearing)

**Location:** 6/29/2010-S. APPR.

**Summary:** Would require the department and the board when implementing a pilot project to protect and restore the riparian zone in watersheds with listed anadromous salmonids to, among other things, ensure that the industry, agencies, and the public have equal opportunity to participate in the development of the pilot project in a transparent manner and that the pilot project have certain goals.

[AB 2669](#) **(V. Manuel Perez D) Safe Drinking Water State Revolving Fund: planning and construction grants.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Last Amend:** 6/17/2010

**Status:** 6/29/2010-From committee: Do pass, and re-refer to Com. on APPR with recommendation: To Consent Calendar. Re-referred. (Ayes 7. Noes 0.) (June 28).

**Location:** 6/29/2010-S. CONSENT CALENDAR

**Summary:** Would add environmental documentation to the costs of a single project that the department is required to determine by an assessment of affordability. This bill contains other existing laws.

[AJR 38](#) **(Caballero D) Public resources: Two-Gates Fish Protection Demonstration Project.**

**Introduced:** 2/25/2010

**Last Amend:** 5/24/2010

**Status:** 5/25/2010-In Senate. To Com. on RLS.

**Location:** 5/25/2010-S. RLS.

**Summary:** Would request the United States Department of the Interior to prioritize completion of its study of the Two-Gates Fish Protection Demonstration Project in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.

[SB 565](#) **(Pavley D) Water resources.**

**Introduced:** 2/27/2009

**Last Amend:** 5/20/2010

**Status:** 7/1/2010-From committee: Do pass as amended, but first amend, and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 7. Noes 6.) (Heard in committee on June 29.)

**Location:** 7/1/2010-A. APPR.

**Calendar:** 8/2/2010 #26 ASSEMBLY SENATE SECOND READING FILE

**Summary:** Would expand the exemption to other provisions relating to water use, including provisions that require the payment of fees to the State Water Resources Control Board (board) for official services relating to statements of water diversion and use. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

[SB 808](#) **(Wolk D) Delta levee maintenance.**

**Introduced:** 2/27/2009

**Last Amend:** 1/25/2010

**Status:** 6/3/2010-Chaptered by the Secretary of State, Chapter Number 23, Statutes of 2010

**Location:** 6/4/2010-S. CHAPTERED

**Summary:** Would declare legislative intent to reimburse up to 75% of those described costs until July 1, 2013, and on and after that date, to reimburse up to 50% of those described costs. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

[SB 918](#) **(Pavley D) Water recycling.**

**Introduced:** 2/1/2010

**Last Amend:** 6/1/2010

**Status:** 6/30/2010-From committee: Do pass, but first be re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 10. Noes 2.) Re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Heard in committee on June 29.)

**Location:** 6/30/2010-A. APPR.

**Summary:** Would require the State Department of Public Health to adopt uniform water recycling criteria for indirect potable water reuse for groundwater recharge, as defined, by December 31, 2013 . The bill would require the department to develop and adopt uniform water recycling criteria for surface water augmentation, as defined, by December 31, 2016 , if a specified expert panel convened pursuant to the bill finds that the criteria would adequately protect public health . The bill would require the department to investigate the feasibility of developing uniform water recycling criteria for direct potable reuse and to provide a final report on that investigation to the Legislature by December 31, 2016. The bill, from July 1, 2011, to June 30, 2017, inclusive, would require funds generated by the imposition of specified liabilities for violations of water quality requirements to be made available, upon appropriation by the Legislature, to the department for purposes of developing and adopting the water recycling criteria .

[SB 934](#) **(Cogdill R) Water Resources: The California Water Plan.**

**Introduced:** 2/2/2010

**Status:** 6/4/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was RLS. on 2/18/2010)

**Location:** 6/4/2010-S. DEAD

**Summary:** Under existing law, the Department of Water Resources operates the State Water Project and exercises specified water planning functions. Existing law requires the department to update The California Water Plan, which is a plan for the conservation, development, and use of the water resources of the state, every 5 years. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to these provisions.

[SB 942](#) **(Dutton R) Regulations: review process.**

**Introduced:** 2/3/2010

**Last Amend:** 5/24/2010

**Status:** 6/4/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/27/2010)

**Location:** 6/4/2010-S. DEAD

**Summary:** Would establish an Economic Analysis Unit within the office. The bill would require agencies to make publicly available and submit to the unit specified cost estimates that pertain to a proposed regulation and specified information used to develop the cost estimates, as prescribed. The bill would require the unit to review final revised cost estimates for regulations that the agency determines to have a cost estimate of \$50,000,000 or more. The bill also authorizes a stakeholder to petition the director of the office to direct the unit to review a regulation that the stakeholder believes has an actual cost of \$50,000,000 or more . The bill requires the unit to develop an appropriate methodology for reviewing agency cost estimates for proposed regulations and to approve or reject the cost estimates , as specified.

[SB 1013](#) **(Denham R) Safe, Clean, Reliable Water Supply Act: Central Valley Project Improvement Program.**

**Introduced:** 2/10/2010

**Status:** 6/4/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was RLS. on 2/18/2010)

**Location:** 6/4/2010-S. DEAD

**Summary:** The Safe, Clean, Reliable Water Supply Act, a bond act approved by the voters as Proposition 204 at the November 5, 1996, statewide general election, authorizes the issuance and sale of a total of \$995,000,000 in general obligation bonds. The act continuously appropriates \$93,000,000 of the proceeds from the sale of those bonds to the Controller for allocation to the Department of Fish and Game or the Department of Water Resources to pay the state's share of the costs for fish and wildlife restoration measures required pursuant to the federal Central Valley Project Improvement Act and specified administrative costs of the Department of Fish and Game and the Department of Water Resources. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to those provisions.

[SB 1014](#) **(Denham R) Safe Drinking Water, Clean Water, Watershed Protection, and Flood Protection Bond Act: groundwater storage projects.**

**Introduced:** 2/10/2010

**Status:** 6/4/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was RLS. on 2/18/2010)

**Location:** 6/4/2010-S. DEAD

**Summary:** The Safe Drinking Water, Clean Water, Watershed Protection, and Flood Protection Bond Act (bond act), approved by the voters as Proposition 13 at the March 7, 2000, statewide primary

election, authorizes the issuance and sale of a total of \$1,970,000,000 in general obligation bonds. The bond act requires that \$630,000,000 of the proceeds from the sale of those bonds be allocated for purposes of water supply reliability projects. Existing law authorizes the Department of Water Resources, upon appropriation by the Legislature, to use \$200,000,000 from the moneys allocated for water supply reliability projects for purposes of providing grants for groundwater storage projects that produce water supply benefits for local agencies and water users. The bond act defines various terms for these purposes. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to those definitions.

- [SB 1173](#) **(Wolk D) Recycled water.**  
**Introduced:** 2/18/2010  
**Last Amend:** 6/10/2010  
**Status:** 6/30/2010-From committee: Do pass, but first be re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 6. Noes 3.) Re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Heard in committee on June 29.)  
**Location:** 6/30/2010-A. APPR.  
**Summary:** Would define raw water for purposes of the act. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.
- [SB 1234](#) **(Kehoe D) Water: unreasonable use.**  
**Introduced:** 2/19/2010  
**Status:** 4/23/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(5). (Last location was N.R. & W. on 3/4/2010)  
**Location:** 4/23/2010-S. DEAD  
**Summary:** Would require the board, by January 1, 2012, to adopt regulations to identify unreasonable uses of water during various periods of water shortage, as specified, and would set forth related legislative findings and declarations.
- [SB 1334](#) **(Wolk D) Natural community conservation plans.**  
**Introduced:** 2/19/2010  
**Last Amend:** 5/10/2010  
**Status:** 6/30/2010-From committee: Do pass, but first be re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 9. Noes 4.) Re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Heard in committee on June 29.)  
**Location:** 6/30/2010-A. APPR.  
**Summary:** Would include in the required finding that the plan has been developed consistent with the planning agreement process an additional finding of cooperation with a local agency that has land use permit authority over the activities proposed to be addressed in the plan.
- [SB 1351](#) **(Wright D) California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: State Air Resources Board regulations.**  
**Introduced:** 2/19/2010  
**Last Amend:** 6/15/2010  
**Status:** 7/1/2010-From committee: Do pass as amended, but first amend, and re-refer to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 9. Noes 0.) (Heard in committee on June 28.)  
**Location:** 7/1/2010-A. APPR.  
**Calendar:** 8/2/2010 #37 ASSEMBLY SENATE SECOND READING FILE  
**Summary:** Would require the state board to make available to the public, at the time that the state board adopts a regulation pursuant to the act, any implementation schedule that is required to comply with that regulation. The state board would be required to make available to the public on the state board's Internet Web site any reporting form, metric, compliance tool, or training requirement, that is required to comply with a regulation adopted pursuant to the act at least 60 days prior to the date required for compliance in accordance with the implementation schedule.
- [SB 1443](#) **(Simitian D) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Multi-Hazard Coordination Task Force.**  
**Introduced:** 2/19/2010  
**Last Amend:** 4/14/2010  
**Status:** 6/30/2010-From committee: Do pass, but first be re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 13. Noes 0.) Re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Heard in committee on June 29.)  
**Location:** 6/30/2010-A. APPR.  
**Summary:** Would provide that the task force shall cease to exist upon the submission of the report. The bill would change the repeal date of these provisions to January 1, 2013.
- [SB 1450](#) **(Simitian D) Water: Delta Stewardship Council: contracts.**  
**Introduced:** 2/19/2010  
**Last Amend:** 6/24/2010  
**Status:** 6/30/2010-From committee: Do pass, but first be re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Ayes 13. Noes 0.) Re-referred to Com. on APPR. (Heard in committee on June 29.)  
**Location:** 6/30/2010-A. APPR.  
**Summary:** Would expressly authorize the council to contract for consultant services and architectural and engineering services to assist with preparation of the Delta Plan and performance of certain responsibilities of the council. The bill would exempt these contracts from specified provisions of law governing public contracting, but would require the contracts to be entered into on a competitive bid basis, as specified. The bill would require the council to establish procedures for contracting for consultant services and architectural and engineering services. This bill contains other existing laws.
- [SB 1468](#) **(Padilla D) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Status:** 6/4/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was RLS. on 3/11/2010)

**Location:** 6/4/2010-S. DEAD

**Summary:** Would state legislative findings and declarations relative to the development of the Bay Delta Conservation Plan and the activities of the Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force and the Delta Vision Committee.

[SB 1469](#) **(Simitian D) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta: California Water Plan: water quality.**

**Introduced:** 2/19/2010

**Last Amend:** 4/14/2010

**Status:** 6/4/2010-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(b)(11). (Last location was APPR. SUSPENSE FILE on 5/27/2010)

**Location:** 6/4/2010-S. DEAD

**Summary:** Would require the board , by January 1, 2012, to identify all parties, including public and private parties, that benefit from waters originating in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta watershed and whose activities impact the Delta watershed. The bill would also require the board , by that date, to develop a process for determining the degree of responsibility attributable to each of the identified parties for physical and environmental impacts on the Delta. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

[SB 1478](#) **(Committee on Natural Resources and Water) Water conservation: urban water management.**

**Introduced:** 3/2/2010

**Last Amend:** 6/14/2010

**Status:** 7/1/2010-Read second time. To third reading.

**Location:** 7/1/2010-A. THIRD READING

**Calendar:** 8/2/2010 #181 ASSEMBLY SENATE THIRD READING FILE

**Summary:** Would grant the extension for adoption of an urban water management plan that is due in 2010 to an urban wholesale water supplier to permit coordination between an urban wholesale water supplier and urban retail water suppliers. The bill would revise the requirements that apply to an urban retail water supplier that supplies water to that described military installation by requiring the supplier to consider the water conservation of that military installation for the purpose of preparing that implementation plan. This bill contains other existing laws.

[SBX8 45](#) **(Wolk D) Water supply reliability, flood control, water resources management, and wildlife preservation.**

**Introduced:** 2/12/2010

**Status:** 3/15/2010-Final adjournment: Eighth Extraordinary Session on 3/15/2010. (Last location was RLS. on 2/12/2010)

**Location:** 3/15/2010-S. DEAD

**Summary:** with regard to those bond funds, would appropriate \$569,900,000 to be allocated as follows: of the funds made available pursuant to the Disaster Preparedness and Flood Prevention Bond Act of 2006, \$200,000,000 to the Department of Water Resources for flood protection projects and \$70,000,000 to the department for grants for stormwater flood management projects; and, of the funds made available pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006, \$250,000,000 to the department for integrated regional water management grants and expenditures for programs and projects to increase local water supply reliability, \$9,900,000 to the department to implement specified urban and agricultural water management planning and water demand reduction programs, \$32,000,000 to the department for flood control projects in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta designed to reduce the potential for levee failures, and \$8,000,000 to the Wildlife Conservation Board for grants to local agencies to implement, or assist in the establishment of, specified natural community conservation plans. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

**Total Measures: 39**

**Total Tracking Forms: 39**