

I172 Juan Byron

From: [Friends of the River](#) on behalf of [Juan byron](#)
To: [comments_EIR@DeltaCouncil](#)
Subject: Please adopt Alternative 2 to restore the Delta and reduce Delta water diversions
Date: Tuesday, January 31, 2012 4:04:55 PM

Jan 31, 2012

Mr. Joe Grindstaff
960 9th Street, Suite 1500
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Grindstaff,

I urge the Delta Stewardship Council to adopt Alternative 2 and to specifically limit Delta water diversions to no more than 3,000 cubic feet per second, to implement the Delta Plan.

I172-1

Unfortunately, none of the alternatives currently in the EIR meet the legal mandates of restoring the Delta ecosystem, water quality, and fisheries. Therefore, I urge that the draft EIR be recirculated with at least one alternative that fully achieves these important goals.

I172-2

A valid Delta Plan must enforce water quality standards and meet the minimum flow recommendations of the California Department of Fish and Game and Water Resources Board.

I172-3

The Plan should focus on cost-effective water use efficiency, recycling, and conservation measures, instead of costly and destructive dams and canals, to achieve its water supply reliability goal.

Please keep in mind that serving the interests of California fish & other native species best serves homo sapiens in the long run. Recreation and tourism "feed" California as much as agribusiness.

I172-4

Conservation is the most moral, cost-effective and taxpayer-acceptable form of meeting our water and energy demands. If all California water users paid equally per acre-foot, we'd be having more balanced discussions.

I172-5

I oppose any alternative that would require the construction of a Peripheral Canal or tunnel to divert more water from the Delta. I also oppose any plan that assumes the taxpayer will cover the costs for Delta projects that primarily benefit those who receive Delta water exports. Those who receive Delta water need to mitigate the damage they have caused to the Delta ecosystem.

I172-6

The Delta is a nationally significant public trust resource critical to the economic and environmental health of California. Please adopt a Delta Plan that ensures full protection and restoration of this important estuary.

I172-7

Thank you.

Mr. Juan byron
545 Moore Rd
Woodside, CA 94062-1108

Response to comment I172-1

This is a comment on the project, not on the EIR.

Response to comment I172-2

Regarding the range of alternatives analyzed in the EIR and the consideration of alternatives' ability to meet the coequal goals, please see Master Response 3.

Response to comment I172-3

This is a comment on the project, not on the EIR.

Response to comment I172-4

Comment noted.

Response to comment I172-5

Comment noted.

Response to comment I172-6

This is a comment on the project, not on the EIR. None of the alternatives considered in the EIR, including the Final Draft Delta Plan (which is analyzed as the Revised Project in the Recirculated Draft PEIR) include a Peripheral Canal or a tunnel to convey water diverted from the Delta. Regarding the relationship between the Delta Plan and the Bay Delta Conservation Plan, which may include a conveyance facility, please see Master Response 1.

Response to comment I172-7

This is a comment on the project, not on the EIR.