

~~MAY 14, 2012~~  
SEPTEMBER 5, 2012  
PROPOSED FINAL ~~STAFF~~ DRAFT DELTA PLAN

---

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

~~MAY 14, 2012~~SEPTEMBER 5, 2012PROPOSED FINAL ~~STAFF~~-DRAFT DELTA PLAN

This is the ~~sixth~~-seventh and final ~~staff~~-draft ~~version~~ of the Delta Plan that will be presented to the Delta Stewardship Council. Five staff draft versions were presented prior to the release of the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report (Draft PEIR) in August 2011. A Final Staff Draft (Sixth) of the Delta Plan was presented in May 2012 following the release of the Draft PEIR, and this Proposed Final Draft is being presented to the Council to confirm the final, revised project description for purposes of CEQA review. ~~This final staff draft version is presented following the public comment period on the Draft PEIR.~~ The staff draft versions have been released in the following order:

- ◆ **February 2011:** First Staff Draft Delta Plan was posted on February 14, 2011, and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on February 24 and 25, 2011, and March 10 and 11, 2011.
- ◆ **March 2011:** Second Staff Draft Delta Plan was posted on March 18, 2011, and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on March 24 and 25, 2011, and April 14 and 15, 2011.
- ◆ **April 2011:** Third Staff Draft Delta Plan was posted on April 22, 2011, and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on April 28 and 29, 2011, and May 12 and 13, 2011.
- ◆ **June 2011:** Fourth Staff Draft Delta Plan was posted on June 13, 2011, and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on June 16, 23, and 24, 2011.
- ◆ ~~August 2011:~~ Fifth Staff Draft Delta Plan was posted on August 2, 2011 (included policies and recommendations to be analyzed in the Draft PEIR), and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meeting on August 26, 2011, and September 22 and 23, 2011.
- ◆ ~~November 2011:~~ Draft PEIR was circulated on November 4, 2011 (including environmental analysis of the Fifth Staff Draft Delta Plan and four alternatives).
- ◆ May 2012: Final Staff Draft Delta Plan posted on May 14, 2012, and ~~will be~~ discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on May 24, 2012, June 14 and 15, 2012 ~~and~~, June 28 and 29, 2012, and July 12, 2012.
- ◆ September 2012: Proposed Final Draft Delta Plan posted on September 5, 2012, and will be discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on September 13 and 14, 2012. At this meeting, the Council will be asked to confirm the final, revised project description for purposes of CEQA review.

Next Steps

- ◆ Fall 2012: Additional, recirculated Draft PEIR volume (covering the Final ~~Staff~~-Draft Delta Plan) to be released for public review and comment. Administrative Procedures Act rulemaking process to commence, draft regulations based on Delta Plan policies to be released for public review and comment.
- ◆ ~~November/December~~Spring 2012/2013: Final PEIR (including response to comments on the Draft PEIR and on the additional Draft PEIR volume) will be considered by the Council for certification; and the Final Draft Delta Plan will be considered for adoption and use in the final regulatory approval process (including submittal of the regulations based on the final Delta Plan policies to the Office of Administrative Law for approval).

- 1       ♦ ~~February/March~~Spring/Summer 2013: Regulations based on Delta Plan policies become ~~law~~  
2       effective following the completion of regulatory adoption process, ~~by the Office of~~  
3       ~~Administrative Law.~~

4       ~~After circulation of the Draft PEIR, comments obtained on the Fifth Draft Delta Plan and Draft PEIR~~  
5       ~~were considered. Delta Stewardship Council staff is preparing written responses to comments received on~~  
6       ~~the Draft PEIR to become part of the Final PEIR. The additional Draft PEIR volume (covering the Final~~  
7       ~~Staff Draft Delta Plan), and comments and responses thereto, will also become part of the Final PEIR.~~  
8       ~~The Delta Plan will be finalized in light of the comments and Final PEIR. By December 2012, the Delta~~  
9       ~~Stewardship Council will consider the Final PEIR for certification under CEQA, and then consider the~~  
10      ~~final Delta Plan for adoption.~~

11      At each stage of the development of the ~~Staff Draft~~ Delta Plan there ~~will be~~have been opportunities for  
12      public ~~meetings~~comment at the Delta Stewardship Council meetings for the purpose of receiving  
13      information and comments and for Delta Stewardship Council deliberation. All Delta Stewardship  
14      Council meetings are public and simulcast on the Delta Stewardship Council website, at  
15      [www.deltacouncil.ca.gov](http://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov).

16      ~~In addition, public comments are welcome during the entire process and will become a formal part of the~~  
17      ~~record. The Delta Stewardship Council encourages written public comments to be submitted to~~  
18      ~~[deltaplancoment@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:deltaplancoment@deltacouncil.ca.gov). **All comments received by Wednesday, June 13, 2012, will be**~~  
19      ~~considered for revisions made in developing the final Delta Plan. In addition, a~~All comments received are  
20      posted to the ~~Delta Stewardship Council~~ web-site: <http://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov>.

# Contents: Proposed Final Draft Delta Plan

	Page
<b>Delta Plan Policies and Recommendations</b> .....	xiii
<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	<b>3</b>
A New Path Forward .....	4
Lessons from the Delta Plan Process .....	5
Act Now and Invest Big .....	5
Improve Water Supply Reliability.....	5
Commit to Delta Ecosystem Restoration.....	5
Protect the Delta’s Unique Values.....	5
What the Delta Plan Does .....	5
<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>9</b>
The Delta and California’s Water Supply.....	11
The Delta and Its Ecosystem.....	13
The Delta as a Unique and Evolving Place.....	14
The Delta Problem .....	15
Governance and the Delta Reform Act of 2009.....	17
The Delta Plan .....	19
What the Delta Plan Will Achieve by 2100.....	24
Timeline for Implementing Priority Actions of the Delta Plan.....	26
Organization of the Delta Plan.....	28
References.....	28
<b>Chapter 2 The Delta Plan</b> .....	<b>37</b>
About this Chapter .....	38
The Delta Stewardship Council .....	38
Science and Adaptive Management in the Delta.....	40
Best Available Science .....	41
Adaptive Management.....	41
A Delta Science Plan .....	42
The Delta Plan .....	43
The Council’s Three-phase Adaptive Management Framework.....	44
Covered Actions and Delta Plan Consistency.....	52
Covered Actions Must Comply with Delta Plan Policies.....	53
What Is a Covered Action?.....	53
Certifications of Consistency.....	57
Covered Action Consistency Appeals .....	57
Policies and Recommendations .....	58
Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations.....	60
References.....	61
<b>Chapter 3 A More Reliable Water Supply for California</b> .....	<b>71</b>
About this Chapter .....	74
California’s Water Supply Picture .....	74
Sources of California’s Water Supply .....	75
How California’s Water Is Used.....	77
California’s Water Supply Infrastructure .....	78
Climate Change Complicates Management of California’s Water .....	81
Foundations of Water Policy in California .....	82

1 Reasonable Use and the Public Trust Doctrines ..... 82

2 California’s Water Rights System and Use Reporting ..... 83

3 The Coequal Goals and Reducing Reliance on the Delta ..... 84

4 The Delta’s Role in California’s Water Supply ..... 85

5 Use of Water from the Delta Watershed ..... 86

6 Joint Federal and State Delta Operations ..... 89

7 Challenges and Conflicts in the Delta ..... 92

8 Improving Delta Water Supply Reliability through Investments in System Flexibility 95

9 New Water for California ..... 99

10 California’s Wealth of Water Opportunities ..... 101

11 The Importance of Local Water Management Planning ..... 102

12 Implementing a Path to Success in Local Water Management ..... 105

13 Groundwater Overdraft Is an Impediment to the Coequal Goals ..... 106

14 Informed Decision Making Requires Information ..... 109

15 Policies and Recommendations ..... 110

16 Increase Water Conservation and Expand Local and Regional Supplies ..... 110

17 Improve Groundwater Management ..... 113

18 Improve Conveyance and Expand Storage ..... 114

19 Improved Water Management Information ..... 116

20 Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations ..... 117

21 Science and Information Needs ..... 117

22 Issues for Future Evaluation and Coordination ..... 120

23 Performance Measures ..... 121

24 Output Performance Measures ..... 121

25 Outcome Performance Measures ..... 121

26 References ..... 122

27 **Chapter 4 Protect, Restore, and Enhance the Delta Ecosystem ..... 137**

28 About this Chapter ..... 138

29 A Restored Delta Ecosystem Is Key to a Reliable Water Supply ..... 139

30 The Delta Ecosystem, Past and Present ..... 139

31 Ecosystem Stressors ..... 144

32 Climate Change ..... 145

33 Ecosystem Restoration ..... 145

34 Delta Flows ..... 145

35 Habitat ..... 153

36 Ecosystem Water Quality ..... 161

37 Nonnative Species ..... 162

38 Hatcheries and Harvest Management ..... 164

39 Policies and Recommendations ..... 165

40 Create More Natural Functional Flows ..... 166

41 Restore Habitat ..... 167

42 Improve Water Quality to Protect the Ecosystem ..... 174

43 Prevent Introduction of and Manage Nonnative Species Impacts ..... 174

44 Improve Hatcheries and Harvest Management ..... 175

45 Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations ..... 175

46 Issues for Future Evaluation and Coordination ..... 175

47 Science and Information Needs ..... 176

48 Performance Measures ..... 177

49 Output Performance Measures ..... 177

50 Outcome Performance Measures ..... 178

1           References..... 178

2   **Chapter 5 Protect and Enhance the Unique Cultural, Recreational, Natural Resource, and**

3 **Agricultural Values of the California Delta as an Evolving Place..... 191**

4           Creating a Common Vision of the Delta as a Place ..... 192

5           About this Chapter ..... 193

6           Protecting the Delta as an Evolving Place Is Inherent in the Coequal Goals..... 193

7           The Delta as a Place..... 194

8                 The Delta’s People..... 195

9                 The Delta’s Communities..... 196

10                The Delta’s Legacy Communities ..... 199

11                Climate Change ..... 200

12                Land Use Planning in the Delta and Suisun Marsh ..... 200

13                Minimizing Land Use Conflicts ..... 203

14           The Delta’s Economy ..... 204

15                Agriculture and the Delta’s Economy ..... 204

16                The Delta’s Recreation and Tourism Economy..... 205

17                Other Contributors to the Delta Economy..... 205

18                Delta Investment Fund..... 206

19           Agriculture in the Delta ..... 206

20                Protecting Productive Farmlands..... 208

21           Recreation and Tourism in the Delta ..... 211

22                Boating ..... 214

23                Public Recreation Lands..... 214

24                Nature-based Recreation..... 216

25                Heritage Tourism..... 216

26           Policies and Recommendations ..... 216

27                Designate the Delta as a Special Place ..... 217

28                Plan to Protect the Delta’s Lands and Communities ..... 218

29                Maintain Delta Agriculture..... 220

30                Encourage Recreation and Tourism..... 221

31                Sustain a Vital Delta Economy..... 222

32                Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations..... 223

33           Science and Information Needs ..... 223

34           Issues for Future Evaluation and Coordination..... 223

35           Performance Measures..... 225

36                Output Performance Measures ..... 225

37                Outcome Performance Measures..... 225

38           References..... 226

39   **Chapter 6 Improve Water Quality to Protect Human Health and the Environment..... 235**

40           About this Chapter ..... 236

41           Beneficial Uses of Water in and from the Delta ..... 237

42           Factors Influencing Water Quality in the Delta ..... 238

43                Protecting Water Quality Is a Balancing Act ..... 239

44                Climate Change ..... 239

45           Existing Water Quality Regulations ..... 239

46           Salinity in the Delta ..... 242

47                History and Causes of Delta Salinity Problems..... 243

48                Salinity in the Delta Ecosystem..... 245

49                Effects of Salinity on Agricultural Water Use..... 245

50                Effects of Salinity on Municipal and Industrial Water Uses ..... 246

1	Drinking Water Quality .....	247
2	Disinfection Byproducts .....	247
3	Salinity .....	248
4	Pathogens .....	248
5	Nutrients .....	249
6	Drinking Water Intakes .....	249
7	Groundwater Quality Concerns .....	249
8	Environmental Water Quality .....	249
9	Nutrients .....	249
10	Dissolved Oxygen .....	250
11	Pesticides .....	253
12	Mercury .....	254
13	Selenium .....	254
14	Contaminants of Emerging Concern .....	255
15	Policies and Recommendations .....	256
16	Require Delta-specific Water Quality Protection .....	256
17	Protect Beneficial Uses by Managing Salinity .....	257
18	Improve Drinking Water Quality .....	257
19	Improve Environmental Water Quality .....	258
20	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations .....	260
21	Science and Information Needs .....	260
22	Issues for Future Evaluation and Coordination .....	261
23	Performance Measures .....	262
24	Output Performance Measures .....	262
25	Outcome Performance Measures .....	262
26	References .....	263
27	<b>Chapter 7 Reduce Risk to People, Property, and State Interests in the Delta .....</b>	<b>275</b>
28	About this Chapter .....	276
29	Delta Hazards Threaten Both Coequal Goals and the Delta as a Place .....	276
30	Flood Risk in the Delta .....	277
31	Floods .....	279
32	Earthquakes .....	280
33	High Tides and Sunny-day Risks .....	280
34	Land Subsidence .....	282
35	Climate Change and Flood Risk .....	282
36	Planning for Flood Management .....	282
37	The Delta’s Levees .....	286
38	Floodplains and Channels .....	290
39	Investment in Reducing Risk .....	291
40	Prioritizing State Investment in Levees .....	292
41	Planning for Floodplain Land Use .....	294
42	Emergency Preparedness and Response .....	297
43	Liability Concerns .....	299
44	Policies and Recommendations .....	300
45	Improve Emergency Preparedness and Response .....	300
46	Finance and Implement Local Flood Management Activities .....	301
47	Prioritize Flood Management Investment .....	303
48	Improve Residential Flood Protection .....	305
49	Protect and Expand Floodways, Floodplains, and Bypasses .....	306
50	Integrate Delta Levees and Ecosystem Function .....	307

1                   Limit State Liability..... 308

2                   Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations..... 309

3           Issues for Future Evaluation and Coordination..... 309

4           Science and Information Needs ..... 310

5           Performance Measures..... 310

6                   Output Performance Measures ..... 311

7                   Outcome Performance Measures..... 311

8           References..... 311

9   **Chapter 8 Funding Principles to Support the Coequal Goals ..... 317**

10           About this Chapter ..... 318

11           Background..... 318

12                   Bay Delta Conservation Plan..... 320

13                   Overview of Current State and Federal Delta-related Expenditures ..... 320

14           A Delta Finance Plan ..... 321

15                   Guiding Principles ..... 322

16                   Near-term and Annual Funding Requirements..... 323

17           Policies and Recommendations ..... 324

18                   Timeline for Implementing Recommendations ..... 324

19           References..... 324

20   **Glossary ..... 329**

21

22   **Appendixes**

23   Appendix A – Adaptive Management and the Delta Plan

24   Appendix B – Administrative Procedures Governing Appeals, Statutory Provisions Requiring Other

25   Consistency Reviews, and Other Forms of Review or Evaluation by the Council

26   Appendix C – Administrative Performance Measures for the Delta Plan

27   Appendix D – Statutory Exemptions from Covered Actions

28   Appendix E – Key ~~State~~ [California](#) Water Conservation and Management Laws

29   Appendix F – ~~Select DWR Policies Regarding Contract Negotiations and Water Transfers~~ [Transparency in](#)

30   [Water Contracting](#)

31   Appendix G – The Delta Stewardship Council’s Role Regarding Conveyance

32   Appendix H – Excerpt from Draft Ecosystem Restoration Program’s Conservation Strategy for Stage 2

33   Implementation for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Ecological Management Zone (DFG 2011):

34   “Section II. Habitats” including Figures 4 and 5

35   Appendix I – Addressing Multiple Stressors and Multiple Goals in the Delta Plan (memorandum)

36   Appendix J – Excerpt from Draft Ecosystem Restoration Program’s Conservation Strategy for Stage 2

37   Implementation for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Ecological Management Zone (DFG 2011):

38   “Section III. Stressors; Non-Native Invasive Species”

39   Appendix K – Delta ~~Legacy~~ [Communities](#) ~~Boundaries~~

40   Appendix L – Delta Dredged Sediment Long-Term Management Strategy

1 | Appendix M – State Flood Control Facilities within the Legal Boundary of the Delta- (Map showing  
 2 | Central Valley Flood Protection Board Jurisdictions)

3 | Appendix N – Projected 5-year Budgets (Fiscal Years 2012–2017) for Delta Stewardship Council, Delta  
 4 | Protection Commission, and Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Conservancy

5 | Appendix O – Funding and Finance Options

6 | [Appendix P – Demonstrating Consistency with the Delta Plan Regarding Reduced Reliance on the Delta](#)  
 7 | [and Improved Regional Self-Reliance](#)

8 | **Tables**

9 | 1-1 Summary of Anticipated Changes Affecting the Delta by 2050 and 2100..... 24  
 10 | 2-1 Agencies with Responsibilities in the Delta ..... 39  
 11 | 2-2 Delta Plan Requirements by Water Code Section ..... 46  
 12 | 5-1 State Agencies with Land Use Jurisdiction in the Delta ..... 201  
 13 | 5-2 Top Five Crops in the Delta..... 204  
 14 | 5-3 State Agencies with Responsibility for Recreation in the Delta ..... 215  
 15 | 6-1 Delta Water Beneficial Uses ..... 237  
 16 | 6-2 TMDLs Approved and under Development in the Central Valley, Delta, and Suisun Bay ..... 240  
 17 | 8-1 General Obligation Bonds – California (as of January 2012)..... 318  
 18 | 8-2 Annual Budgets/Expenditures in California for Selected Agencies ..... 320  
 19 | 8-3 Annual State and Federal Expenditures in California by Program Element (2012-13)..... 322

20

21 | **Figures and Sidebars**

22 | **Chapter 1**

23 | Figure 1-1 ~~Delta Watershed and Legal~~ [The Geographic Influence of the Delta](#)  
 24 | Figure 1-2 California's Variable Precipitation  
 25 | Sidebar Delta by the Numbers  
 26 | Sidebar ~~Is m~~ [More](#) ~~G~~ [Governance](#) ~~R~~ [Reform](#) ~~N~~ [Needed](#)?  
 27 | Figure 1-3 The Delta Plan  
 28 | Figure 1-4 ~~Preliminary Summary~~ [Priority Action](#) Timeline

29

30 | **Chapter 2**

31 | Sidebar Science in the Delta-~~Advances in Understanding~~ [Why Does It Matter?](#)  
 32 | Figure 2-1 Council Roles and the Delta Plan  
 33 | Figure 2-2 The Delta Stewardship Council’s Three-phase Adaptive Management Framework  
 34 | Sidebar Federal Participation in Implementing the Delta Plan  
 35 | Sidebar Performance Measures in the Delta Plan  
 36 | Figure 2-3 Decision Tree for State and Local Agencies on Possible Covered Actions  
 37 | Sidebar What Does CEQA Consider a "Project"?  
 38 | Figure 2-4 Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations

39

40 | **Chapter 3**

41 | Sidebar What ~~D~~ [Does](#) ~~I~~ [t](#) ~~M~~ [ean](#) to ~~A~~ [chieve](#) the ~~G~~ [goal](#) of ~~p~~ [roviding](#) a ~~M~~ [ore](#) ~~R~~ [eliable](#) ~~W~~ [ater](#)  
 42 | ~~S~~ [upply](#) for California?  
 43 | Sidebar Where California's Water Comes From



1	Sidebar	Subsidence in the Delta
2	Figure 5-3	State Parks and Other Protected Lands
3	Figure 5-4	Major Delta Resources and Recreation
4	Figure 5-5	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations
5		
6	<b>Chapter 6</b>	
7	Sidebar	A Water Quality Success Story
8	Sidebar	Water Board Regulation and the Bay-Delta Plan
9	Figure 6-1	Salinity in the Delta Varies by Inflow Volumes
10	Sidebar	Disinfection Byproducts
11	Figure 6-2	Nutrients Create Delta Water Problems
12	Sidebar	Applying Adaptive Management to Water Quality Decisions
13	Figure 6-3	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations
14		
15	<b>Chapter 7</b>	
16	<a href="#">Sidebar</a>	<a href="#">Delta Disaster Recalled</a>
17	<del>Figure 7-1</del> <a href="#">Sidebar</a>	Understanding Delta Flood Risk
18	Figure 7- <del>1</del> <a href="#">2</a>	Simulation of Delta Salinity After a 20-Island Failure Caused by a Magnitude
19		6.5 Earthquake
20	Sidebar	What is a Government- <del> </del> Sponsored Flood Control Program?
21	Figure 7- <del>2</del> <a href="#">3</a>	Project and Non-project or Unattributed Levees in the Delta
22	Sidebar	Central Valley Flood Protection Plan
23	Figure 7- <del>3</del> <a href="#">4</a>	Levee Guidance
24	Figure 7- <del>4</del> <a href="#">5</a>	Conceptual Diagrams of <del>a</del> -Floodways <del>within a</del> Floodplain
25	Figure 7- <del>5</del> <a href="#">6</a>	Delta Flood Management Facilities
26	Figure 7- <del>6</del> <a href="#">7</a>	Examples of Floodproofing
27	Figure 7-7	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations
28		
29	<b>Chapter 8</b>	
30	Sidebar	Bay Delta Conservation Plan Costs and Existing Funding Sources
31	<a href="#">Figure 8-1</a>	<a href="#">Timeline for Implementing Recommendations</a>
32		

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

*Note: This page is a placeholder; complete information will be added to the final release of the Delta Plan.*

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Governor  
THE DELTA STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL

*This document was prepared under the direction of*

*by*

*assisted by*

*with assistance on special topics or studies by*



1 **Delta Plan Policies and Recommendations**  
2  
3

---

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

1

# 1 Delta Plan Policies and Recommendations

2 [The Delta Plan contains a set of regulatory policies that will be enforced by the Delta Stewardship](#)  
 3 [Council’s appellate authority and oversight, described in Chapter 2. The Delta Plan also contains priority](#)  
 4 [recommendations, which are nonregulatory but call out actions essential to achieving the coequal goals.](#)

5 [The following table has been added since the May 14, 2012 draft to show changes in policy and](#)  
 6 [recommendation language.](#)

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
<b>Chapter 2</b>		
GP1	Detailed Findings to Establish Consistency with the Delta Plan	<p>a) This policy specifies what must be addressed in a certification of consistency filed <a href="#">by a State or local public agency</a> with regard to a covered action. This policy only applies after a “proposed action” has been determined <a href="#">by a State or local public agency</a> to be a covered action because it among other things is covered by one or more of the policies contained in Chapters 3 through 7. Inconsistency with this policy may be the basis for an appeal.</p> <p>b) Certifications of Consistency must include detailed findings that address each of the following requirements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Covered actions must be consistent with the coequal goals, as well as with each of the policies contained in <del>C</del>hapters 3 through 7 implicated by the covered action. The Delta Stewardship Council acknowledges that in some cases, based upon the nature of the covered action, full consistency with all relevant policies may not be feasible. In those cases, <del>the agency that files the certification of consistency covered action proponents may determine that the covered action is consistent with the Delta Plan. That determination must include a clear identification of</del> <a href="#">must clearly identify</a> areas where consistency is not feasible, <a href="#">an explanation of</a> <del>explain</del> the reasons <a href="#">why it is not feasible</a>, and <a href="#">an explanation of</a> <del>describe</del> how the covered action nevertheless, on whole, is consistent with the coequal goals. <del>That determination is subject to review by</del> <a href="#">in these cases, the Delta Stewardship Council on appeal may determine, on appeal, that the covered action is consistent with the Delta Plan.</a></li> <li>◆ Covered actions not exempt from CEQA must include applicable <a href="#">feasible</a> mitigation measures identified in the Delta Plan’s Program EIR <a href="#">(unless the measure(s) are within the exclusive jurisdiction of an agency other than the proposing agency)</a>, or substitute mitigation measures that the proposing agency finds are equally or more effective.</li> <li>◆ As relevant to the purpose and nature of the project, all covered actions must document use of best available science (as described in Appendix A).</li> </ul>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Ecosystem restoration and water management covered actions must include adequate provisions, appropriate to the scope of the covered action, to assure continued implementation of adaptive management. This requirement shall be satisfied through:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• An adaptive management plan that describes the approach to be taken consistent with the adaptive management framework in Appendix A, and</li> <li>• Documentation of access to adequate resources and delineated authority by the entity responsible for the implementation of the proposed adaptive management process.</li> </ul> </li> <li>◆ If the agency that files the certification of consistency will carry out the covered action, the certification of consistency must also include a certification from that agency that the covered action complies with all applicable laws pertaining to water resources, biological resources, flood risk, and land use and planning. If the agency that files the certification of consistency will not carry out the covered action (but will approve or fund the action), the certification of consistency must include a certification from that agency that the covered action complies with all applicable laws of the type listed above over which that agency has enforcement authority or with which that agency can require compliance.</li> </ul>
G R1	Development of a Delta Science Plan	<p>The Delta Stewardship Council’s Delta Science Program should develop a Delta Science Plan by December 31, 2013. The Delta Science Program should work with the Interagency Ecological Program, Bay Delta Conservation Plan, California Department of Fish and Game and other agencies to develop the Delta Science Plan. To ensure that best science is used to develop the Delta Science Plan, the Delta Independent Science Board should review the draft Delta Science Plan.</p> <p>The Delta Science Plan should address the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ A collaborative institutional and organizational structure for conducting science in the Delta</li> <li>◆ Data management, synthesis, scientific exchange and communication strategies to support adaptive management and improve the accessibility of information</li> <li>◆ Strategies for addressing uncertainty and conflicting scientific information</li> <li>◆ The prioritization of research and balancing of the short-term immediate science needs with science that enhances comprehensive understanding of the Delta system over the long term</li> <li>◆ Identification of existing and future needs for refining and developing numerical and simulation models along with enhancing existing Delta conceptual models (e.g., the Interagency Ecological Program (IEP) Pelagic Organism Decline (POD) and the Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan (DRERIP) models)</li> <li>◆ Recommendations on an integrated approach for monitoring that incorporates existing and future monitoring efforts</li> <li>◆ An assessment of financial needs and funding sources to support science</li> </ul>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
---------------------------------	-------------	--------------------------------

**Chapter 3**

WR P1	Reduce Reliance on the Delta and Improve Regional Self Reliance	<p><u>The policy of the State of California is to reduce reliance on the Delta in meeting future water supply needs and that each region that depends on water from the Delta watershed shall improve its regional self-reliance. Success in achieving the statewide policy of reduced reliance on the Delta and improving regional self-reliance will be demonstrated through a significant reduction in the amount of water used, or in the percentage of water used, from the Delta watershed.</u></p> <p><u>The intent of WR P1 is to ensure that urban and agricultural water suppliers<sup>19</sup> are taking appropriate actions to contribute to the achievement of reduced reliance on the Delta by complying with the statutory requirements of SB X7 7 and other water management laws, and by implementing programs and projects that are locally cost effective and technologically feasible for urban and agricultural water suppliers to increase water use efficiency and conservation and diversify local water supply portfolios.</u></p> <p><u>WR P1: Water shall not be exported from, transferred through or used in the Delta if (1) one or more water suppliers that would receive water as a result of the export, transfer or use have failed to adequately contribute to reduced reliance on the Delta and improved regional self-reliance consistent with the three requirements stated below; (2) that failure has significantly caused the need for the export, transfer or use; and (3) the export, transfer or use would have a significant adverse environmental impact in the Delta.</u></p> <p><u>For the purpose of Water Code section 85057.5 (a) (3), this policy covers a proposed action to export water from, transfer water through, or use water in the Delta.</u></p> <p><u>Water suppliers that have done all of the following are contributing to reduced reliance on the Delta and improved regional self-reliance and are therefore consistent with WR P1:</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>1) Completed a current urban or agricultural water management plan which has been reviewed by DWR for compliance with the applicable requirements of Water Code Division 6, Parts 2.55, 2.6, and 2.8;</u></li> <li><u>2) Identified, evaluated and commenced implementation, consistent with the implementation schedule set forth in the management plan, of all programs and projects that are locally cost effective and technically feasible that reduce reliance on the Delta; and;</u></li> <li><u>3) Included in the plan, commencing in 2015, the expected outcome for measurable reduction in Delta reliance and improvement in regional self-reliance.</u></li> </ol> <p><u>Programs and projects that reduce reliance could include, but are not limited to, improvements in water use efficiency, water recycling, stormwater capture and use, advanced water technologies, conjunctive use projects, local and regional water supply projects, and improved regional coordination of local and regional water supply efforts.</u><del>A proposed action is inconsistent with the Delta Plan if (1) one or more water suppliers that would receive water as a result of the proposed action have failed to reduce their reliance on the Delta and adequately contribute to improved regional self-reliance; (2) that failure has</del></p>
-------	---	---

<sup>19</sup>Water suppliers, as used in this Delta Plan, refer to both “Urban water supplier” and “Agricultural water supplier.” “Urban water supplier” as used in this Delta Plan refers to both “urban retail water suppliers” and “urban wholesale water suppliers” under the Water Code. An “urban retail water supplier” means a water supplier, either publicly or privately owned, that directly provides potable municipal water to more than 3,000 end users or that supplies more than 3,000 acre-feet of potable water annual at retail for municipal purposes (Water Code section 10608.12(p)). An “urban wholesale water supplier” means a water supplier, either publicly or privately owned, that provides more than 3,000 acre-feet of potable water annually at wholesale for municipal purposes (Water Code section 10608.12(r)). “Agricultural water supplier” as used in this Delta Plan refers to both “agricultural retail water suppliers” and “agricultural wholesale water suppliers” under the Water Code. An “agricultural water supplier” means a water supplier, either publicly or privately owned, providing water to 10,000 or more irrigated acres, excluding recycled water. An “agricultural water supplier” includes a supplier or contractor for water, regardless of the basis of right that distributes or sells water for ultimate resale to customers. “Agricultural water supplier” does not include DWR (Water Code section 10608.12(a)). Any agricultural water supplier that provides water to less than 25,000 irrigated acres is not required to comply with SBX7-7 requirements unless sufficient funding is provided to the supplier to implement these provisions (Water Code section 10853).

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p><del>significantly caused the need for the proposed action; and (3) the proposed action would have a significant adverse environmental impact in the Delta.</del></p> <p><del>This policy covers a “proposed action” to export water from, transfer water through, or use water in the Delta.</del></p> <p><del>For the purposes of this policy, “reducing reliance on the Delta and adequately contributing to improved regional self reliance” means a significant reduction in net water use, or in the percentage of water used, from the Delta watershed, which may be achieved through investment in water use efficiency, water recycling, advanced water technologies, local and regional water supply projects, and improved regional coordination of local and regional water supply efforts, and at a minimum, must be achieved through compliance with existing state laws regarding water conservation, water efficiency and urban and agricultural water management planning.</del></p>
WR R1	Implement Water Efficiency and Water Management Planning Laws	All water suppliers <sup>20</sup> should fully implement applicable water efficiency and water management laws, including <u>u</u> Urban <u>w</u> Water <u>m</u> Management <u>p</u> Plans (Water Code section 10601 et seq.), the 20% reduction in statewide urban per capita water usage by 2020 (Water Code section 10608 et seq.), <u>a</u> Agricultural <u>w</u> Water <u>m</u> Management <u>p</u> Plans (Water Code section 10608 et seq.), and other applicable water laws, regulations, or rules.
WR R2	Require SWP Contractors to Implement Water Efficiency and Water Management Laws	The Department of Water Resources should include a provision in all State Water Project contracts, contract amendments, contract renewals, and water transfer agreements that require the implementation of all State water efficiency and water management laws, goals, and regulations, including compliance with Water Code section 85021.
WR R3	Compliance with Reasonable and Beneficial Use	The State Water Resources Control Board should evaluate all applications and petitions for a new water right or a new or changed point of diversion, place of use, or purpose of use that would result in new or increased long-term average use of water from the Delta watershed for consistency with the constitutional principle of reasonable and beneficial use. The <u>State Water Resources Control Board</u> <del>State Board</del> should conduct its evaluation consistent with Water Code sections 85021, 85023, 85031, and other provisions of California law. An applicant or petitioner should submit to the <u>State Water Resources Control Board</u> <del>State Board</del> sufficient information to support findings of consistency, including, as applicable, its <u>u</u> Urban <u>w</u> Water <u>M</u> management <u>p</u> Plan, <u>a</u> Agricultural <u>w</u> Water <u>m</u> Management <u>p</u> Plan, and environmental documents prepared pursuant to CEQA.
WR R4	Expanded Water Supply Reliability Element	Water suppliers that receive water from the Delta watershed should include an expanded <u>w</u> Water <u>s</u> Supply <u>r</u> Reliability <u>e</u> Element, starting in 2015, as part of the update of <del>its an</del> <u>u</u> Urban <u>w</u> Water <u>M</u> management <u>p</u> Plan, <u>a</u> Agricultural <u>w</u> Water <u>M</u> management <u>p</u> Plan, <del>integrated</del> <u>u</u> Urban <u>w</u> Water <u>m</u> Management <u>p</u> Plan, or other plan that provides equivalent information about the supplier’s planned investments in water conservation and water supply development. The expanded <u>w</u> Water <u>s</u> Supply <u>r</u> Reliability <u>e</u> Element should detail how water suppliers are reducing reliance on the Delta and improving regional self-reliance consistent with Water Code section 85201 through investments in local and regional programs and projects, and should document <u>the expected outcome for a measurable reduction in reliance on the Delta and improvement in regional self-reliance</u> <del>achievement of a reduction in net water use, or in percentage of water used from the Delta watershed</del> . At a minimum, these plans should include a plan for possible interruption of Delta water supplies up to 36 months due to catastrophic events, evaluation of the regional water balance, a climate change vulnerability assessment, and an evaluation of the extent to which the supplier’s rate structure promotes and sustains efficient water use.
WR R5	Develop Water Supply	The Department of Water Resources, in consultation with the Delta Stewardship Council,

<sup>20</sup> ~~Water suppliers, as used in this Delta Plan, refer to both “Urban water supplier” and “Agricultural water supplier” as defined in footnote 15.~~

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
	Reliability Element Guidelines	the State Water Resources Control Board, and others, should develop and approve, by December 31, 2014, guidelines for the preparation of a <a href="#">water supply reliability element</a> <del>Water Supply Reliability Element</del> so that water suppliers can <a href="#">begin implementation of</a> WR R4 by 2015.
WR R6	Update Water Efficiency Goals	The Department of Water Resources and the State Water Resources Control Board should establish an advisory group with other state agencies and stakeholders to identify and implement measures to reduce impediments to achievement of statewide water conservation, recycled water, and stormwater goals by 2014. This group should evaluate and recommend updated goals for additional water efficiency and water resource development by 2018. Issues such as water distribution system leakage should be addressed. Evaluation should include an assessment of how regions are achieving their proportional share of these goals.
WR R7	Revise State Grant and Loan Priorities	The Department of Water Resources, the State Water Resources Control Board, the Department of Public Health, and other agencies, in consultation with the Delta Stewardship Council, should revise State grant and loan ranking criteria by December 31, 2013, to be consistent with Water Code section 852021 and to provide a priority for water suppliers that includes an expanded <a href="#">water supply reliability element</a> <del>Water Supply Reliability Element</del> in their adopted <a href="#">urban water management plans</a> , <a href="#">agricultural water management plans</a> , and/or <a href="#">integrated regional water management plans</a> .
WR R8	Demonstrate State Leadership	All State agencies should take a leadership role in designing new and retrofitted State owned and leased facilities, including buildings and Caltrans facilities, to increase water efficiency, use recycled water, and incorporate stormwater runoff capture and low impact development strategies.
WR R9	Update Bulletin 118, California's Groundwater Plan	The Department of Water Resources, in consultation with the Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Geological Survey, the State Water Resources Control Board, and other agencies and stakeholders should update Bulletin 118 information using field data, California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring (CASGEM), groundwater agency reports, satellite imagery, and other best available science by December 31, 2014, so that this information can be included in the next California Water Plan Update and be available for inclusion in 2015 <a href="#">urban water management plans</a> <del>Urban Water Management Plans</del> and <a href="#">agricultural water management plans</a> <del>Water Management Plans</del> . The Bulletin 118 update should include a systematic evaluation of major groundwater basins to determine sustainable yield and overdraft status, a projection of California's groundwater resources in 20 years if current groundwater management trends remain unchanged, anticipated impacts of climate change on surface water and groundwater resources, and recommendations for State, <del>Federal</del> <a href="#">federal</a> , and local actions to improve groundwater management. In addition, the Bulletin 118 update should identify groundwater basins in a critical condition of overdraft.
WR R10	Implement Groundwater Management Plans in Areas that Receive Water from the Delta Watershed	Water suppliers that receive water from the Delta watershed and that obtain a significant percentage of their long-term average water supplies from groundwater sources should develop and implement sustainable groundwater management plans that are consistent with both the required and recommended components of local groundwater management plans identified by the Department of Water Resources' Bulletin 118 (Update 2003) by December 31, 2014.
WR R11	Recover and Manage Critically Overdrafted Groundwater Basins	Local and regional agencies in groundwater basins that have been identified by the Department of Water Resources as being in a critical condition of overdraft should develop and implement a sustainable groundwater management plan, consistent with both the required and recommended components of local groundwater management plans identified by the Department of Water Resources' Bulletin 118 (Update 2003), by December 31, 2014. If local or regional agencies fail to develop and implement these plans, the State Water Resources Control Board should take action to determine if the continued overuse of a groundwater basin constitutes a violation of the State's Constitution Article X, Section 2,

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		prohibition on unreasonable use of water and whether a groundwater adjudication is necessary to prevent the destruction of or irreparable injury to the quality of the groundwater, consistent with Water Code sections 2100-2101.
WR R12	Complete Bay Delta Conservation Plan	The relevant federal, State, and local agencies should complete the Bay Delta Conservation Plan, consistent with the provisions of the Delta Reform Act, and receive required incidental take permits by December 31, 2014.
WR R13	Complete Surface Water Storage Studies	The Department of Water Resources should complete surface water storage investigations of proposed off-stream surface storage projects by December 31, 2012, including an evaluation of potential additional benefits of integrating operations of new storage with proposed Delta conveyance improvements, and recommend the critical projects that need to be implemented to expand the State's surface storage.
WR R14	Identify Near-Term Opportunities for Storage, Use, and Water Transfer Projects	The Department of Water Resources, in coordination with the California Water Commission, Bureau of Reclamation, State Water Resources Control Board, California Department of Public Health, the Delta Stewardship Council, and other agencies and stakeholders, should conduct a survey to identify projects throughout California that could be implemented within the next 5 to 10 years to expand existing surface and groundwater storage facilities, create new storage, improve operation of existing Delta conveyance facilities, and enhance opportunities for conjunctive use programs and water transfers in furtherance of the <u>coequal goals</u> . The California Water Commission should hold hearings and provide recommendations to DWR on priority projects <u>and funding</u> .
WR R15	Improve Water Transfer Procedures	The Department of Water Resources and the State Water Resources Control Board should work with stakeholders to identify and <u>recommend</u> <del>implement</del> measures to reduce procedural and administrative impediments to water transfers <u>and protect</u> <del>while protecting</del> water rights and environmental resources by <u>July 1, 2014</u> . <u>These recommendations should include measures to address potential issues with recurring transfers of up to 1 year in duration and improved public notification for proposed water transfers.</u>
WR P2	Transparency in Water Contracting	The contracting process for water from the <u>State Water Project CVP and (SWP) and/or the Central Valley Project (CVP)</u> must be done in a publicly transparent manner consistent with applicable policies of <del>the Bureau of Reclamation and</del> the Department of Water Resources <u>and the Bureau of Reclamation referenced below</u> . <u>For purposes of Water Code section 85057.5(a)(3), this policy "covers" the following:</u> <u>a. With regard to water from the SWP, a proposed action to enter into or amend a water supply or water transfer contract subject to DWR Guidelines 03-09 and/or 03-10 (each dated July 3, 2003), which are included in Part 1 of Appendix F.</u> <u>a.b. With regard to water from the CVP, a proposed action to enter into or amend a water supply or water transfer contract subject to Section 226 of P.L. 97-293 or Section 3504(a)(2)(B) of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, which are included in Part 2 of Appendix F.</u>
WR R16	Supplemental Water Use Reporting	The State Water Resources Control Board should require water rights holders submitting supplemental statements of water diversion and use or progress reports under their permits or licenses to report on the development and implementation of all water efficiency and water supply projects and on their net (consumptive) use.
WR R17	Integrated Statewide System for Water Use Reporting	The Department of Water Resources, in coordination with the State Water Resources Control Board, the Department of Public Health, Public Utilities Commission, Energy Commission, Bureau of Reclamation, California Urban Water Conservation Council, and other stakeholders, <u>should</u> <del>to complete</del> <del>development of</del> a coordinated statewide system for water use reporting. This system should incorporate recommendations for inclusion of data needed

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		to better manage California’s water resources. The system should be designed to simplify reporting, reduce the number of required reports where possible, be made available to the public online and be integrated with the reporting requirements for the <a href="#">urban water management plans</a> , <del>Urban Water Management Plans</del> , <a href="#">Agricultural water management plans</a> , <del>Water Management Plans</del> and <a href="#">integrated Regional water management plans</a> <del>Water Management Plans</del> . Water suppliers that export water from, transfer water through, or use water in the Delta watershed should be full participants in the data base.
WR R18	California Water Plan	The Department of Water Resources, in consultation with the State Water Resources Control Board, and other agencies and stakeholders, should evaluate and include in the next and all future California Water Plan updates information needed to track water supply reliability performance measures identified in the Delta Plan, including an assessment of water efficiency and new water supply development, regional water balances, improvements in regional self-reliance, reduced regional reliance on the Delta, and <del>predictability</del> <a href="#">reliability</a> of Delta exports, and an overall assessment of progress in achieving the coequal goals.
WR R19	Financial Needs Assessment	As part of the California Water Plan Update, the Department of Water Resources should prepare an assessment of the <del>S</del> state’s water infrastructure. This should include the costs of rehabilitating/replacing existing infrastructure, <del>as well as</del> an assessment of the costs of new infrastructure, <a href="#">and an assessment of needed resources for monitoring and adaptive management for these projects</a> . The department should also consider a survey of agencies that may be planning small-scale projects (such as storage or conveyance) that improve water supply reliability.
<b>Chapter 4</b>		
ER P1	Update Delta Flow Objectives	Development, implementation, and enforcement of new and updated flow objectives for the Delta and high priority tributaries are key to the achievement of the coequal goals. The State Water Resources Control Board should update the Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan objectives as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) By June 2, 2014, adopt and implement updated flow objectives for the Delta that are necessary to achieve the coequal goals.</li> <li>b) By June 2, 2018, adopt, and as soon as reasonably possible, implement flow objectives for high-priority tributaries in the Delta watershed that are necessary to achieve the coequal goals.<sup>1</sup></li> </ul> Flow objectives could be implemented through several mechanisms including negotiation and settlement, FERC relicensing, or water rights hearing. <sup>2</sup> Prior to the establishment of revised flow objectives identified above, the existing Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan objectives shall be used to determine consistency with the Delta Plan. After the flow objectives are revised, the revised objectives shall be used to determine consistency with the Delta Plan.                 This policy covers a proposed action that could affect flow in the Delta.
ER P2	Restore Habitats at Appropriate Elevations	Habitat restoration must be carried out consistent with <del>the elevation map attached as Figure 4-3 and</del> the text of Appendix H, which is based on the <i>Conservation Strategy for Restoration of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Ecological Management Zone and the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valley Regions</i> (DFG 2011), with minor alterations. <del>When habitats are restored</del>

<sup>1</sup> SWRCB staff will work with the Council and DFG to determine priority streams. As an illustrative example, priority streams could include the Merced River, Tuolumne River, Stanislaus River, Lower San Joaquin River, Deer Creek (tributary to Sacramento River), Lower Butte Creek, Mill Creek (tributary to Sacramento River), Cosumnes River, and American River (SWRCB 2011a, SWRCB 2011b). Implementation through hearings is expected to take longer than the deadline shown here.

<sup>2</sup> Implementation through water rights hearings or FERC [relicensing](#) is expected to take longer than the deadline shown here.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p><del>at alternative elevations, sufficient scientific rationale must be provided. Figure 4-5 should be used as a guide for determining appropriate habitat restoration actions based on an area's elevation.</del></p> <p>This policy covers a proposed action that includes habitat restoration.</p>
ER P3	Protect Opportunities to Restore Habitat	<p>Impacts to the opportunity to restore habitat at the elevations shown in Figure 4-5<del>3</del> must be avoided or mitigated. Mitigation shall be determined, in consultation with the Department of Fish and Game, considering the size of the area impacted by the covered action and the type and value of habitat that could be restored on that area, taking into account existing and proposed restoration plans, landscape attributes, the elevation map shown in Figure 4-5<del>3</del>, and other relevant information about habitat restoration opportunities of the area. Mitigation may include the restoration and/or permanent protection of other areas to provide habitats that could have been restored at the site.</p> <p>This policy covers proposed actions <del>other than habitat restoration</del> in the priority habitat restoration areas depicted in Figure 4-6<del>4</del>. It does not cover actions outside those areas, <del>including areas within cities and their spheres of influence (defined as of January 2012), the Contra Costa County Urban Limit Line, the Mountain House General Plan Community Boundary, or the Legacy Communities described in Chapter 5, including Bethel Island, Clarksburg (as described by the Clarksburg Growth Boundary), Courtland, Freepport, Hood, Isleton, Knightson, Locke, Rio Vista, Ryde, and Walnut Grove.</del></p>
ER P4	Expand Floodplains and Riparian Habitats in Levee Projects	<p>Levee projects must evaluate and where feasible incorporate alternatives, including use of setback levees, to increase floodplains and riparian habitats. When available, the criteria developed under RR R7 must be used to determine appropriate locations for setback levees.</p> <p>This policy covers a proposed action to construct new levees or substantially rehabilitate or reconstruct existing levees.</p>
ER R1	Prioritize and Implement Projects that Restore Delta Habitat	<p>Bay Delta Conservation Plan implementers, Department of Fish and Game, Department of Water Resources, and the Delta Conservancy should prioritize and implement habitat restoration projects in the areas shown in Figure 4-6<del>4</del>. Habitat restoration projects should <del>consider</del> <u>ensure</u> connections between areas being restored and existing habitat areas and other elements of the landscape needed for the full life cycle of the species that will benefit from the restoration project. Where possible, restoration projects should also emphasize the potential for improving water quality. Restoration project proponents should coordinate with local mosquito abatement districts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>Yolo Bypass.</b> Enhance the ability of the Yolo Bypass to flood more frequently to provide more opportunities for migrating fish, especially Chinook salmon, to use this system as a migration corridor that is rich in cover and food.</li> <li>◆ <b>Cache Slough Complex.</b> Create broad nontidal, freshwater, emergent plant-dominated wetlands that grade into tidal freshwater wetlands, and shallow subtidal and deep open water habitats. Also, return a significant portion of the region to uplands with vernal pools and grasslands.</li> <li>◆ <b>Cosumnes River–Mokelumne River confluence.</b> Allow these unregulated and minimally regulated rivers to flood over their banks during winter and spring frequently and regularly to create seasonal</li> </ul>

~~Contra Costa County. 2006. Contra Costa County General Plan 2005-2020. Land Use Element. Urban Limit Line Map as amended November 7.~~  
~~Mountain House Master Specific Plan Map, on file with the San Joaquin Community Development Department.~~  
~~Yolo County. 2009. Yolo County 2030 Countywide General Plan. Land Use and Community Character Element. Adopted November 10. Woodland, CA.~~

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p>floodplains and riparian habitats that grade into tidal marsh and shallow subtidal habitats.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <b>Lower San Joaquin River floodplain.</b> Reconnect the floodplain and restore more natural flows, to stimulate food webs that support native species. Integrate habitat restoration with flood management actions, when feasible.</li> <li>◆ <b>Suisun Marsh.</b> Restore significant portions of Suisun Marsh to brackish marsh with land-water interactions to support productive, complex food webs to which native species are adapted and to provide space to adapt to rising sea level action. Use information from adaptive management processes during the Suisun Marsh Habitat Management, Preservation, and Restoration Plan’s implementation to guide future habitat restoration projects and to inform future tidal marsh management.</li> <li>◆ <b><u>Western Delta/Eastern Contra Costa County. Restore tidal marsh and channel margin habitat at Dutch Slough and western islands to support food webs and provide habitat for native species.</u></b></li> </ul>
ER R2	Complete and Implement Delta Conservancy Strategic Plan	<p>As part of its Strategic Plan, and subsequent Implementation Plan or annual work plans, the Delta Conservancy should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Develop and adopt criteria for prioritization and integration of large-scale ecosystem restoration in the Delta and Suisun Marsh, with sustainability and use of best available science as foundational principles.</li> <li>◆ Develop and adopt processes for ownership and long-term operations and management of land in the Delta and Suisun Marsh acquired for conservation or restoration.</li> <li>◆ Develop and adopt a formal mutual agreement with the Department of Water Resources, Department of Fish and Game, federal interests, and other State and local agencies on implementation of ecosystem restoration in the Delta and Suisun Marsh.</li> <li>◆ Develop, in conjunction with the Wildlife Conservation Board, the Department of Water Resources, Department of Fish and Game, Bay Delta Conservation Plan implementers, and other State and local agencies, a plan and protocol for acquiring the land necessary to achieve ecosystem restoration consistent with the coequal goals and the Ecosystem Restoration Program Conservation Strategy.</li> <li>◆ Lead an effort, working with State and federal fish agencies, to investigate how to better use habitat credit agreements to provide credit for each of these steps: (1) acquisition for future restoration; (2) preservation, management, and enhancement of existing habitat; (3) restoration of habitat; and (4) monitoring and evaluation of habitat restoration projects.</li> <li>◆ Work with the Department of Fish and Game and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to develop rules for voluntary <u>s</u>Safe <u>h</u>Harbor agreements with property owners in the Delta whose actions contribute to the recovery of listed threatened or endangered species.</li> </ul>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
ER R3	Exempt Delta Levees from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Vegetation Policy	Considering the ecosystem value of remaining riparian and shaded riverine aquatic habitat along Delta levees, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers should agree with the Department of Fish and Game and the Department of Water Resources on a variance that exempts Delta levees from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' levee vegetation policy where appropriate.
ER R4	Update the Suisun Marsh Protection Plan	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission (BCDC) should update the Suisun Marsh Protection Plan and relevant components of the Suisun Marsh Local Protection Program to adapt to sea level rise and ensure consistency with the Suisun Marsh Preservation Act, the Delta Reform Act, and the Delta Plan.
ER P5	Avoid Introductions and Habitat Improvements for that Enhance Survival and Abundance of Nonnative Invasive Species	The potential for new introductions of, or improved habitat conditions for, nonnative invasive species must be fully considered and avoided or mitigated in a way that appropriately protects the ecosystem.  This policy covers a proposed action that has the reasonable probability of introducing, or improving habitat conditions for, nonnative invasive species.
ER R5	Regulate Angling for Nonnative Sport Fish to Protect Native Fish	The Department of Fish and Game should develop, for consideration by the Fish and Game Commission, proposals for new or revised fishing regulations designed to increase populations of listed fish species through reduced predation by introduced sport fish. The proposals should be based on sound science that demonstrates these management actions are likely to achieve their intended outcome <u>and include the development of performance measures and a monitoring plan to support an adaptive management.</u>
ER R6	Prioritize and Implement Actions to Control Nonnative Invasive Species	The Department of Fish and Game and other appropriate agencies should prioritize and fully implement the list of "Stage 2 Actions for Nonnative Invasive Species" and accompanying text shown in Appendix I taken from the <i>Conservation Strategy for Restoration of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Ecological Management Zone and the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valley Regions</i> (DFG 2011). <u>Implementation of the Stage 2 actions should include the development of performance measures and monitoring plans to support an adaptive management.</u>
ER R7	Manage Hatcheries to Reduce Genetic Risk	As required by the National Marine Fisheries Service, all hatcheries providing listed fish for release into the wild should continue to develop and implement scientifically sound Hatchery and Genetic Management Plans (HGMPs) to reduce risks to those species. The Department of Fish and Game should provide annual updates to the Council on the status of HGMPs within its jurisdiction.
ER R8	Implement Marking and Tagging Program	By December 2014, the Department of Fish and Game, in cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service, should revise and begin implementing its program for marking and tagging hatchery salmon and steelhead to improve management of hatchery and wild stocks based on recommendations of the California Hatchery Scientific Review Group, which considered mass marking, reducing hatchery programs, and <u>marking</u> selective fisheries in developing its recommendations.

**Chapter 5**

DP R1	Designate the Delta as National Heritage Area	The Delta Protection Commission should complete its application for designation of the Delta and Suisun Marsh as a National Heritage Area and the federal government should complete the process in a timely manner.
DP R2	Designate State Route 160 as a National Scenic Byway	The California Department of Transportation should seek designation of State Route 160 as a National Scenic Byway and prepare and implement a scenic byway plan for it.
DP P1	Locate New Development Wisely	New urban development, including residential, commercial, and industrial uses <del>(other than commercial-recreational visitor serving uses or facilities for processing of local crops or that</del>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p><del>provide essential services to local farms</del> must be limited to <u>the following</u> areas <u>(as shown in Figure 5-1 or Appendix K)</u>:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <u>areas</u> that city or county general plans, as of the <del>effective</del> date of <u>the Delta Plan's adoption</u><del>this policy</del>, designate for development in cities <u>or</u> their spheres of influence <del>(as shown in Figure 5-1)</del><u>;</u> <del>or Legacy Communities (as shown in Appendix K)</del>.</li> <li>2. <u>areas within Contra Costa County's 2006 voter-approved urban limit line, except Bethel Island;</u></li> <li>3. <u>areas within the Mountain House General Plan Community Boundary in San Joaquin County (as shown in Figure 5-1); or</u></li> <li>4. <u>the unincorporated Delta towns</u></li> </ol> <p><del>the communities of Isleton, Knightsen, or Rio Vista (as shown in Appendix K); or</del>  <u>the communities of Clarksburg, Courtland, Freeport, Hood, Locke, Ryde, and Walnut Grove. -designated for development in compliance with the Delta Protection Act.</u></p> <p>This policy covers proposed actions that involve new urban development, including residential, commercial, and industrial uses, that is <u>not</u> located <del>outside of cities, their spheres of influence, or Legacy Communities</del> <u>within the areas described in the previous paragraph</u>. It does not cover <del>commercial recreational visitor serving uses or industrial or commercial uses facilities to for</del> processing local crops or that provide essential services to local farms <u>and are otherwise consistent with the Delta Plan</u>. <del>In addition, this policy also covers any such action anywhere on Bethel Island, where development shall occur only if consistent with the County Costa County general plan in place as of the date of the Delta Plan's adoption.</del></p> <p><u>This policy is not intended in any way to alter the concurrent authority of the Delta Protection Commission to separately regulate development in the Delta's Primary Zone.</u></p>
DP R2	Respect Local Land Use When Siting Water or Flood Facilities or Restoring Habitats	<p>Water management facilities, ecosystem restoration, and flood management infrastructure must be sited to avoid or reduce conflicts with existing or planned uses when feasible, considering comments from local agencies and the Delta Protection Commission. Plans for ecosystem restoration must consider sites on existing public lands, when feasible and consistent with a project's purpose, before privately owned sites are purchased. Measures to mitigate conflicts with adjacent uses may include, but are not limited to, buffers to prevent adverse effects on adjacent farmland.</p> <p>This policy covers proposed actions that involve the siting of water management facilities, ecosystem restoration, and flood management infrastructure.</p>
DP R3	Plan for the Vitality and Preservation of Legacy Communities	<p>Local governments, in cooperation with the Delta Protection Commission and Delta Conservancy, should prepare plans for each community that emphasize its distinctive character, encourage historic preservation, identify opportunities to encourage tourism, serve surrounding lands, or develop other appropriate uses, and reduce flood risks.</p>
DP R4	Buy Rights of Way from Willing Sellers When Feasible	<p>Agencies acquiring land for water management facilities, ecosystem restoration, and flood management infrastructure should purchase from willing sellers, when feasible, including consideration of whether lands suitable for proposed projects are available at fair prices.</p>
DP R5	Provide Adequate Infrastructure	<p>The California Department of Transportation, local agencies, and utilities should plan infrastructure, such as roads and highways, to meet needs of development consistent with sustainable community strategies, local plans, Delta Protection Commission's <i>Land Use and Resource Management Plan for the Primary Zone of the Delta</i>, and the Delta Plan.</p>
DP R6	Plan for State Highways	<p>The Delta Stewardship Council, as part of the prioritization of State levee investments called for in RR P1, should consult with the California Department of Transportation as provided in Water Code section 85307(c) to consider the effects of flood hazards and sea level rise on</p>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<u>S</u> state highways in the Delta.
DP R7	Subsidence Reduction and Reversal	<p>The following actions should be considered by the appropriate State agencies to address subsidence reversal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ State agencies should not renew or enter into agricultural leases on Delta or Suisun Marsh islands if the actions of the lessee promote or contribute to subsidence on the leased land, unless the lessee participates in subsidence-reversal or reduction programs.</li> <li>◆ State agencies currently conducting subsidence reversal projects in the Delta on State-owned lands should investigate options for scaling up these projects if they have been deemed successful. <del>By January 1, 2013,</del> <u>The</u> Department of Water Resources should develop a plan, including funding needs, for increasing the extent of their subsidence reversal and carbon sequestration projects to 5,000 acres by January 1, 2017.</li> <li>◆ The Council, in conjunction with the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and the Delta Conservancy, should investigate the opportunity for the development of a carbon market whereby Delta farmers could receive credit for carbon sequestration by reducing subsidence and growing native marsh and wetland plants. This investigation should include the potential for developing offset protocols applicable to these types of plants for subsequent adoption by the CARB.</li> </ul>
DP R8	Promote Value-Added Crop Processing	Local governments and economic development organizations, in cooperation with the Delta Protection Commission and the Delta Conservancy, should encourage value-added processing of Delta crops in appropriate locations.
DP R9	Encourage Agritourism	Local governments and economic development organizations, in cooperation with the Delta Protection Commission and the Delta Conservancy, should support growth in agritourism, particularly in and around <del>Legacy Communities</del> <u>legacy communities</u> . Local plans should support agritourism where appropriate.
DP R10	Encourage Wildlife-Friendly Farming	The Department of Fish and Game, the Delta Conservancy, and other ecosystem restoration agencies should encourage habitat enhancement and wildlife-friendly farming systems on agricultural lands to benefit both the environment and agriculture.
DP R11	Provide New and Protect Existing Recreation Opportunities	Water management and ecosystem restoration agencies should provide recreation opportunities, including visitor-serving business opportunities, at new facilities and habitat areas whenever feasible, and existing recreation facilities should be protected, using California State Parks' <i>Recreation Proposal for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisun Marsh</i> and Delta Protection Commission's <i>Economic Sustainability Plan</i> as guides.
DP R12	Encourage Partnerships to Support Recreation and Tourism	The Delta Protection Commission and Delta Conservancy should encourage partnerships between other <u>S</u> state and local agencies, and local landowners and business people to expand recreation, including boating, promote tourism, and minimize adverse impacts to non-recreational landowners.
DP R13	Expand State Recreation Areas	California State Parks should add or improve recreation facilities in the Delta in cooperation with other agencies. As funds become available, it should reopen Brannan Island State Recreation Area, complete <del>its</del> <u>the</u> park at Delta Meadows-Locke Boarding House, and consider adding new State parks at Barker Slough, Elkhorn Basin, the Wright-Elmwood Tract, and south Delta.
DP R14	Enhance Nature-Based Recreation	The Department of Fish and Game, in cooperation with other public agencies, <u>s</u> hould collaborate with nonprofits, private landowners, and business partners to expand wildlife viewing, angling, and hunting opportunities.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
DP R15	Promote Boating Safety	The Department of Boating and Waterways should coordinate with the U.S. Coast Guard and State and local agencies on an updated marine patrol strategy for the region.
DP R16	Encourage Recreation on Public Lands	Public agencies owning land should increase opportunities, where feasible, for bank fishing, hunting, levee-top trails, and environmental education.
DP R17	Enhance Opportunities for Visitor-Serving Businesses	Cities, counties, and other local and State agencies should work together to protect and enhance visitor-serving businesses by planning for recreation uses and facilities in the Delta, providing infrastructure to support recreation and tourism, and identifying settings for private visitor-serving development and services.
DP R18	Support the Ports of Stockton and West Sacramento	The ports of Stockton and West Sacramento should encourage maintenance and carefully designed and sited development of port facilities.
DP R19	Plan for Delta Energy Facilities	The Energy Commission and Public Utilities Commission should cooperate with the Delta Stewardship Council as described in Water Code section 85307(d) to identify actions that should be incorporated in the Delta Plan to address the needs of Delta energy development, storage, and distribution by 2017.
<b>Chapter 6</b>		
WQ R1	Protect Beneficial Uses	Water quality in the Delta should be maintained at a level that supports, enhances, and protects beneficial uses identified in the applicable State Water Resources Control Board or regional water quality control board water quality control plans.
WQ R2	Identify Covered Action Impacts	Covered actions should identify any significant impacts to water quality.
WQ R3	Special Water Quality Protections for the Delta	The State Water Resources Control Board or regional water quality control board should evaluate and, if appropriate, propose special water quality protections for priority habitat restoration areas identified in recommendation ER R1 or other areas of the Delta where new or increased discharges of pollutants could adversely impact beneficial uses.
WQ R4	Complete Central Valley Drinking Water Policy	The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should complete the Central Valley Drinking Water Policy by July 2013.
WQ R5	Complete North Bay Aqueduct Alternative Intake Project	The Department of Water Resources should complete the North Bay Aqueduct Alternate Intake Project EIR by December 31, 2012, and begin construction as soon as possible thereafter.
WQ R6	Protect Groundwater Beneficial Uses	The State Water Resources Control Board should complete development of a Strategic Workplan for protection of groundwater beneficial uses, including groundwater use for drinking water, by December 31, 2012.
WQ R7	Participation in CV-SALTS	The State Water Resources Control Board and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should consider requiring participation by all relevant water users that are supplied water from the Delta or the Delta Watershed or discharge wastewater to the Delta or the Delta Watershed to participate in the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability Program ( <del>CV-SALTS</del> ).
WQ R8	Completion of Regulatory Processes, Research, and Monitoring for Water Quality Improvement	◆ The State Water Resources Control Board and the San Francisco Bay and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Boards are currently engaged in regulatory processes, research, and monitoring essential to improving water quality in the Delta. In order to achieve the coequal goals, it is essential that these ongoing efforts be completed and if possible accelerated, and that the Legislature and Governor devote sufficient funding to make this possible. The Delta Stewardship Council specifically

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p>recommends that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ The State Water Resources Control Board should complete development of the proposed Policy for nutrients for Inland Surface Waters of the State of California by January 1, 2014.</li> <li>◆ The State Water Resources Control Board and the San Francisco Bay and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Boards should prepare and begin implementation of a study plan for the development of objectives for nutrients in the Delta and Suisun Marsh by January 1, 2014. Studies needed for development of Delta and Suisun Marsh nutrient objectives should be completed by January 1, 2016. The Water Boards should adopt and begin implementation of nutrient objectives, either narrative or numeric, where appropriate, for the Delta and Suisun Marsh by January 1, 2018.</li> <li>◆ The State Water Resources Control Board and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should complete the Central Valley Pesticide Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendment for diazinon and chlorpyrifos by January 1, 2013.</li> <li>◆ The State Water Resources Control Board and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board prioritize and accelerate the completion of the Central Valley Pesticide Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendment for pyrethroids by January 1, 2016.</li> <li>◆ The State Water Resources Control Board, San Francisco Bay and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Boards have completed Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendments for methylmercury and efforts to support their implementation should be coordinated. Parties identified as responsible for current methylmercury loads or proponents of projects that may increase methylmercury loading in the Delta or Suisun Marsh should participate in control studies or implement site-specific study plans that evaluate practices to minimize methylmercury discharges. The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should review these control studies by December 31, 2018 and determine control measures for implementation starting in 2020 and determine control measures for implementation starting in 2020. <del>The State Water Resources Control Board and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should complete the Phase 2 control plan for the Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendment for dissolved oxygen in the Stockton Ship Channel by January 1, 2015. Parties identified as responsible for dissolved oxygen depletion in the Stockton Ship Channel in the current TMDL should fund the operation and maintenance of the aeration system until the Regional Water Board adopts a Phase 2 control plan. The State Water Resources Control Board and the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board should complete the Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendment for dissolved oxygen in Suisun Marsh Wetlands by January 1, 2013.</del></li> </ul>
WQ R9	Implement Delta Regional Monitoring Program	The State Water Resources Control Board and Regional Water Quality Control Boards should work collaboratively with the Department of Water Resources, Department of Fish and Game, and other agencies and entities that monitor water quality in the Delta to develop and implement a Delta Regional Monitoring Program that will be responsible for coordinating monitoring efforts so Delta conditions can be efficiently assessed and reported on a regular basis.
WQ R10	Evaluate Wastewater	The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, consistent with existing <a href="#">w</a> Water

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
	Recycling, Reuse, or Treatment	Quality Control Plan policies and water rights law, should require responsible entities that discharge wastewater treatment plant effluent or urban runoff to Delta waters to evaluate whether all or a portion of the discharge can be recycled, otherwise used, or treated in order to reduce contaminant loads to the Delta by January 1, 2014.
WQ R11	Manage Dissolved Oxygen in Stockton Ship Channel	The State Water Resources Control Board and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should complete Phase 2 of the Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendment for dissolved oxygen in the Stockton Ship Channel by January 1, 2015.
WQ R12	Manage Dissolved Oxygen in Suisun Marsh	The State Water Resources Control Board and the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board should complete the Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendment for dissolved oxygen in Suisun Marsh Wetlands by January 1, 2014.
<b>Chapter 7</b>		
RR R1	Implement Emergency Preparedness and Response	<p>The following actions should be taken by January 1, 2014, to promote effective emergency preparedness and response in the Delta:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Responsible local, State, and federal agencies with emergency response authority should consider and implement the recommendations of the Delta Multi-Hazard Coordination Task Force (Water Code section 12994.5). Such actions should support the development of a regional response system for the Delta.</li> <li>◆ In consultation with local agencies, the Department of Water Resources should expand its emergency stockpiles to make them regional in nature and usable by a larger number of agencies in accordance with Department of Water Resources' plans and procedures. The Department of Water Resources, as a part of this plan, should evaluate the potential of creating stored material sites by "over-reinforcing" west Delta levees.</li> <li>◆ Local levee maintaining agencies should consider developing their own emergency action plans, and stockpiling rock and flood fighting materials.</li> <li>◆ State and local agencies and regulated utilities that own and/or operate infrastructure in the Delta should prepare coordinated emergency response plans to protect the infrastructure from long-term outages resulting from failures of the Delta levees. The emergency procedures should consider methods that also would protect Delta land use and ecosystem.</li> </ul>
RR R2	Finance Local Flood Management Activities	<p>The Legislature should create a Delta Flood Risk Management Assessment District with fee assessment authority (including over State infrastructure) to provide adequate flood control protection and emergency response for the regional benefit of all beneficiaries, including landowners, infrastructure owners, and other entities that benefit from the maintenance and improvement of Delta levees, such as water users who rely on the levees to protect water quality.</p> <p>This district should be authorized to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Identify and assess all beneficiaries of Delta flood protection facilities.</li> <li>◆ Develop, fund, and implement a regional plan of flood management for both Project and non project levees of the Delta, including the maintenance and improvement of levees, in cooperation with the existing reclamation districts, cities, counties, and owners of infrastructure and other interests</li> </ul>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p>protected by the levees.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Require local levee maintaining agencies to conduct annual levee inspections per the Department of Water Resources' <del>s</del>Subventions program guidelines, and update levee improvement plans every 5 years.</li> <li>◆ Participate in the collection of data and information necessary for the prioritization of State investments in Delta levees consistent with RR P1.</li> <li>◆ Notify residents and landowners of flood risk, personal safety information, and available systems for obtaining emergency information before and during a disaster on an annual basis.</li> <li>◆ Potentially implement the recommendations of the Delta Multi-Hazard Coordination Task Force (Water Code section 12994.5) in conjunction with local, State, and federal agencies and maintain the resulting regional response system and components and procedures on behalf of SEMS jurisdictions (reclamation district, city, county, and State) that would jointly implement the regional system in response to a disaster event.</li> <li>◆ Identify and assess critical water supply corridor levee operations, maintenance, and improvements.</li> </ul>
RR R3	Fund <del>A</del> ctions to <del>protect</del> <u>Protect infrastructure</u> <del>Infrastructure</del> from <del>flooding</del> <u>Flooding</u> and <del>other</del> <u>Other natural</u> <del>Natural</del> <u>disasters</u> <del>Disasters</del>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ The Public Utilities Commission should immediately commence formal hearings to impose a reasonable fee for flood and disaster prevention on regulated privately owned utilities with facilities located in the Delta. Publicly owned utilities should also be encouraged to develop similar fees. The Public Utilities Commission, in consultation with the Delta Stewardship Council, the Department of Water Resources, and the Delta Protection Commission, should allocate these funds between State and local emergency response and flood protection entities in the Delta. If a new regional flood management agency is established by law, a portion of the local share would be allocated to that agency.</li> <li>◆ The Public Utilities Commission should direct all regulated public utilities in their jurisdiction to immediately take steps to protect their facilities in the Delta from the consequences of a catastrophic failure of levees in the Delta, in order to minimize the impact on the State's economy.</li> <li>◆ The Governor, by Executive Order, should direct State agencies with projects or infrastructure in the Delta to set aside a reasonable amount of funding to pay for flood protection and disaster prevention. The local share of these funds should be allocated as described above.</li> </ul>
RR P1	Prioritization of State Investments in Delta Levees and Risk Reduction	<p>The Delta Stewardship Council, in consultation with the Department of Water Resources, the Central Valley Flood Protection Board, <u>the Delta Protection Commission, local agencies,</u> and the California Water Commission, shall develop <u>funding</u> priorities for State investments in Delta levees by January 1, 2015. These priorities shall be consistent with the provisions of the Delta Reform Act in promoting effective, prioritized strategic State investments in levee operations, maintenance, and improvements in the Delta for both levees that are a part of the State Plan of Flood Control and non-project levees. Upon completion, these priorities shall be considered for incorporation into the Delta Plan.</p> <p>The <del>priorities</del><u>szation</u> shall identify guiding principles, constraints, <u>recommended cost share allocations,</u> and strategic considerations to guide Delta flood risk reduction investments, supported by, at a minimum, the following actions to be conducted by the Department of Water Resources, consistent with available funding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ An assessment of existing Delta levee conditions. This shall include the development of a Delta levee conditions map based on sound data inputs,</li> </ul>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p>including, but not limited to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Geometric levee assessment</li> <li>• Flow and updated stage-frequency analysis</li> </ul> <p>◆ An island-by-island economics-based risk analysis. This analysis shall consider, but not be limited to, values related to protecting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Island residents/life safety</li> <li>• Property</li> <li>• Value of Delta islands’ economic output, <a href="#">including agriculture</a>.</li> <li>• State water supply</li> <li>• Critical local, State, federal, and private infrastructure, including aqueducts, state highways, electricity transmission lines, gas/petroleum pipelines, gas fields, railroads, and deepwater shipping channels.</li> <li>• Delta water quality</li> <li>• Existing ecosystem values and ecosystem restoration opportunities</li> <li>• Recreation</li> <li>• Systemwide integrity</li> </ul> <p>◆ An ongoing assessment of Delta levee conditions. This shall include a process for updating Delta levee assessment information on a routine basis.</p> <p>This methodology shall provide the basis for the prioritization of State investments in Delta levees. It shall include, but not be limited to, the public reporting of the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <a href="#">Tiered ranking of Delta islands</a>, <del>Island-by-island ranking</del>-based on economics-based risk analysis values</li> <li>◆ Delta levee conditions status report, including a levee conditions map</li> <li>◆ Inventory of Delta infrastructure assets</li> </ul> <p>Prior to the completion and adoption of these priorities, the interim priorities listed below shall, where applicable and to the extent permitted by law, guide discretionary State investments in Delta flood risk management. <a href="#">Key priorities for interim funding include emergency preparedness, response, and recovery as well as Delta levee funding.</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Delta Emergency Preparedness, Response, and Recovery: Develop and implement appropriate emergency preparedness, response, and recovery strategies, including those developed by the Delta Multi-Hazard Task Force (Water Code Section 12994.5).</li> <li>◆ Delta Levee Funding: <a href="#">The priorities in the following table are meant to guide budget and funding allocation strategies. The Legislature allocates funds for the Delta levee subvention program, which is not a covered action because it funds local agency levee maintenance. The goals for funding levees are all important, and it is expected that over time, the Department of Water Resources must balance these goals. Except on islands planned for ecosystem restoration, improvement of non-project levees to the HMP standard may be funded without justification of the benefits. Improvement to a standard above HMP, such as PL 84-99, may be funded as befits the benefits to be provided, consistent with the Department of Water</a></li> </ul>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE	
---------------------------------	-------------	--------------------------------	--

Resources' current practices and any future adopted investment strategy.

Priority for State Investment in Delta Integrated Flood Management Goals	Categories of Benefit Analysis Localized Flood Protection	Levee Network	Ecosystem Conservation
First <sup>1</sup>	Protect existing urban and adjacent urbanizing areas by providing 200 year flood protection.	Protect water quality and water supply conveyance in the Delta, especially levees that protect freshwater aqueducts and the primary channels that carry fresh water through the Delta.	Protect existing and provide for a net increase in channel-margin habitat
Second <sup>2</sup>	Protect small communities and critical infrastructure of Statewide importance (located outside of urban areas) <del>to a level consistent with HMP at a minimum</del>	Protect flood water conveyance in and through the Delta to a level consistent with the State Plan of Flood Control for project levees <del>or, HMP for non-project levees</del>	Protect existing and provide for net enhancement of floodplain habitat
Third <sup>3</sup>	Protect agriculture and local working landscapes, <del>including achieving HMP for non-project levees not specifically planned for ecosystem restoration activities, and continuing the Delta Levees Subventions Program</del>	Protect cultural, historic, aesthetic, and recreational resources to a level consistent with HMP (Delta as Place)	Protect existing and provide for net enhancement of wetlands

This policy covers a proposed action that involves discretionary State investments in Delta flood risk management, including levee operations, maintenance, and improvements.

RR P2	Require Flood Protection for Residential Development in Rural Areas	<p>New residential development of five or more parcels <u>shall provide for a minimum of 200-year flood protection, such as through the use of adequate levees or floodproofing, if it is located outside of:</u> <del>defined</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <u>areas that city or county general plans, as of the date of the Delta Plan's adoption, designate for development in cities or their spheres of influence; or</u></li> <li>◆ <u>areas within Contra Costa County's 2006 voter-approved urban limit line,</u></li> </ul>
-------	---	--

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p><u>except Bethel Island;</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ <u>areas within the Mountain House General Plan Community Boundary in San Joaquin County; or</u></li> </ul> <p><del>1. the unincorporated Delta towns of Clarksburg, Courtland, Hood, Locke, Ryde, and Walnut Grove, urban and urbanizing areas and Legacy Communities as shown in Appendix K, shall provide for a minimum of 200-year flood protection, such as through the use of adequate levees or flood proofing.</del></p> <p>This policy covers a proposed action that involves new residential development of five or more parcels <u>that is not located within the areas described in the previous paragraph.</u> <del>outside of defined urban and urbanizing areas and outside of Legacy Communities. In addition, this policy covers any such action anywhere on Bethel Island outside of its Legacy Community.</del></p>
RR P3	Protect Floodways	<p><u>No encroachment<sup>1</sup> shall be permitted in a Floodways<sup>2</sup> unless it can be demonstrated by appropriate analysis that the encroachment will not unduly impede the free flow of water in the floodway or jeopardize public safety.</u> <del>shall not be encroached upon nor diminished without mitigating for future flood flows.</del></p> <p>This policy covers a proposed action that would encroach upon a floodway.</p>
RR P4	Protect Floodplains	<p><u>No encroachment shall be permitted in any of the following areas-floodplains unless it can be demonstrated by appropriate analysis that the encroachment will not have a significant effect on floodplain values and functions, as defined in 33CFR 320.4(i)(1)</u> <del>shall not be encroached upon without mitigating for future flood flows because they are critical floodplains and may provide ecosystem benefits.</del> This does not exempt these <u>activities potential encroachments</u> from the regulations and requirements of the Central Valley Flood Protection Board.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>◆ Areas located in the Yolo Bypass from Fremont Weir through Cache Slough to the Sacramento River including the confluence of Putah Creek into the bypass</li> <li>◆ The Cosumnes River-Mokelumne River Confluence, as defined by the North Delta Flood Control and Ecosystem Restoration Project (McCormack-Williamson), or as modified in the future by the Department of Water Resources or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (DWR 2010a).</li> <li>◆ The Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass area, located on the Lower San Joaquin River upstream of Stockton immediately southwest of Paradise Cut on lands both upstream and downstream of the Interstate 5 crossing. This area is described in the Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass Proposal, submitted to the Department of Water Resources by the partnership of the South Delta Water Agency, the River Islands Development Company, RD 2062, San Joaquin Resource Conservation District, American Rivers, the American Lands Conservancy, and the Natural Resources Defense Council, March 2011. This area may be modified in the future through the completion of this project.</li> </ul>

<sup>1</sup>-As described by 33 CCR, Div 1, Chapter 1, Article 2, Section 4).

<sup>2</sup>-As defined by California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 1, Chapter 1, Article 2, Section 4:(n) Floodway. "Floodway" means the channel of a river or other watercourse and the adjacent land areas that convey floodwaters.

-As described in the Department of Water Resources' "Urban Levee Design" (DWR 2011).

-As defined by the FEMA National Flood Insurance Program: Floodplain: Any land area susceptible to being inundated by flood waters from any source. <http://www.fema.gov/business/nfip/19def2.shtm>.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		This policy covers a proposed action that involves projects located in the Yolo Bypass, Cosumnes River-Mokelumne River Confluence, and Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass areas as described in ER R1.
RR R4	Fund and Implement San Joaquin River Flood Bypass	The Legislature should fund the Department of Water Resources and the Central Valley Flood Protection Board to evaluate and implement a bypass and floodway on the San Joaquin River near Paradise Cut that would reduce flood stage on the mainstem San Joaquin River adjacent to the urban and urbanizing communities of Stockton, Lathrop, and Manteca in accordance with Water Code section 9613(c).
RR R5	Continue Delta Dredging Studies	The current efforts to maintain navigable waters in the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel and Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel, led by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and described in the Delta Dredged Sediment Long-Term Management Strategy (USACE 2007, Appendix L), should be continued in a manner that supports the Delta Plan and the coequal goals. Appropriate dredging throughout other areas in the Delta for maintenance purposes, or that would increase flood conveyance and provide potential material for levee maintenance or subsidence reversal should be implemented in a manner that supports the Delta Plan and coequal goals. <a href="#">Coordinated use of dredged material in levee improvement, subsidence reversal, or wetland restoration is encouraged.</a>
RR R6	Designate Additional Floodways	The Central Valley Flood Protection Board should evaluate whether additional areas both within and upstream of the Delta should be designated as floodways. These efforts should consider the anticipated effects of climate change in its evaluation of these areas.
RR R7	Develop Setback Levee Criteria	The Department of Water Resources, in conjunction with the Central Valley Flood Protection Board, the Department of Fish and Game, and the Delta Conservancy, should develop criteria to define locations for future setback levees in the Delta and Delta watershed.
RR R8	Require Flood Insurance	The Legislature should require an adequate level of flood insurance for residences, businesses, and industries in floodprone areas.
RR R9	Limit State Liability	The Legislature should consider <del>making changes to State law</del> <a href="#">statutory and/or constitutional changes</a> that would <a href="#">address the State's potential flood liability, including giving give</a> State agencies the same level of immunity with regard to flood liability as federal agencies have under federal law.

**Chapter 8**

FP R1	<a href="#">Conduct Current Spending Inventory</a>	<a href="#">An inventory of current State and federal spending on programs and projects that do or may achieve the coequal goals will be conducted. Data sources to be used include the CALFED crosscut budget, State bond balance reports, and the annual State budget, among others. Consideration will be given to selecting an independent agency (which could include a non-governmental organization) to conduct the inventory.</a>
FP R2	<a href="#">Develop Delta Plan Cost Assessment</a>	<a href="#">Costs will be assigned to the projects and programs proposed in the Delta Plan (Chapters 2 through 7) and sources of funding will be identified.</a>
FP R3	<a href="#">Identify Funding Gaps</a>	<a href="#">Current State and federal funding gaps will be identified that are determined to hinder progress towards meeting the coequal goals.</a>

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

1



# Contents: Delta Plan Policies and Recommendations

*First character pair indicates the subject and typically the corresponding chapter title of the policy or recommendation (e.g., WR, ER). Second character pair indicates policy or recommendation and sequential identification number within the Delta Plan chapter. “P” indicates regulatory policy (e.g., P1, P2). “R” indicates recommendation (e.g., R1, R2).*

		Page
7	<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>9</b>
8	<b>Chapter 2 The Delta Plan</b>	<b>35</b>
9	G P1 — Detailed Findings to Establish Consistency with the Delta Plan	56
10	G R1 — Development of a Delta Science Plan	57
11	<b>Chapter 3 A More Reliable Water Supply for California</b>	<b>67</b>
12	<b>Increase Water Conservation and Expand Local and Regional Supplies</b>	<b>99</b>
13	WR P1 — Reduce Reliance on the Delta	100
14	WR R1 — Implement Water Efficiency and Water Management Planning Laws	101
15	WR R2 — Require SWP Contractors to Implement Water Efficiency and Water	
16	Management Laws	101
17	WR R3 — Compliance with Reasonable and Beneficial Use	101
18	WR R4 — Expanded Water Supply Reliability Element	101
19	WR R5 — Develop Water Supply Reliability Element Guidelines	101
20	WR R6 — Update Water Efficiency Goals	102
21	WR R7 — Revise State Grant and Loan Priorities	102
22	WR R8 — Demonstrate State Leadership	102
23	<b>Improve Groundwater Management</b>	<b>102</b>
24	WR R9 — Update Bulletin 118, California’s Groundwater Plan	102
25	WR R10 — Implement Groundwater Management Plans in Areas that Receive	
26	Water from the Delta Watershed	103
27	WR R11 — Recover and Manage Critically Overdrafted Groundwater Basins	103
28	<b>Improve Conveyance and Expand Storage</b>	<b>103</b>
29	WR R12 — Complete Bay Delta Conservation Plan	104
30	WR R13 — Complete Surface Water Storage Studies	104
31	WR R14 — Identify Near Term Opportunities for Storage, Use and Water	
32	Transfer Projects	104
33	WR R15 — Improve Water Transfer Procedures	104
34	<b>Improved Water Management Information</b>	<b>104</b>
35	WR P2 — Transparency in Water Contracting	105
36	WR R16 — Supplemental Water Use Reporting	105
37	WR R17 — Integrated Statewide System for Water Use Reporting	105
38	WR R18 — California Water Plan	105
39	WR R19 — Financial Needs Assessment	106
40	<b>Chapter 4 Protect, Restore, and Enhance the Delta Ecosystem</b>	<b>119</b>
41	<b>Create More Natural Functional Flows</b>	<b>145</b>
42	ER P1 — Update Delta Flow Objectives	146
43	<b>Restore Habitat</b>	<b>146</b>
44	ER P2 — Restore Habitats at Appropriate Elevations	148
45	ER P3 — Protect Opportunities to Restore Habitat	148
46	ER P4 — Expand Floodplains and Riparian Habitats in Levee Projects	148
47	ER R1 — Prioritize and Implement Projects that Restore Delta Habitat	151

1 ER R2—Complete and Implement Delta Conservancy Strategic Plan..... 151

2 ER R3—Exempt Delta Levees from the Army Corps of Engineers’

3 Vegetation Policy..... 152

4 ER R4—Update the Suisun Marsh Protection Plan..... 152

5 **Improve Water Quality to Protect the Ecosystem..... 152**

6 **Prevent and Manage Nonnative Species Impacts..... 153**

7 ER P5—Avoid Introductions and Habitat Improvements for Nonnative Invasive

8 Species..... 153

9 ER R5—Regulate Angling for Nonnative Sport Fish to Protect Native Fish..... 153

10 ER R6—Prioritize and Implement Actions to Control Nonnative Invasive Species..... 153

11 **Improve Hatcheries and Harvest Management..... 154**

12 ER R7—Manage Hatcheries to Reduce Genetic Risk..... 154

13 ER R8—Implement Marking and Tagging Program..... 154

14 **Chapter 5 Protect and Enhance the Unique Cultural, Recreational, Natural Resources, and**

15 **Agricultural Values of the California Delta as an Evolving Place..... 167**

16 **Designate the Delta as a Special Place..... 191**

17 DP R1—Designate the Delta as National Heritage Area..... 191

18 DP R2—Designate State Route 160 as a National Scenic Byway..... 191

19 **Plan to Protect the Delta’s Lands and Communities..... 192**

20 DP P1—Locate New Development Wisely..... 192

21 DP P2—Respect Local Land Use When Siting Water or Flood Facilities

22 or Restoring Habitats..... 193

23 DP R3—Plan for the Vitality and Preservation of Legacy Communities..... 193

24 DP R4—Buy Rights of Way from Willing Sellers When Feasible..... 193

25 DP R5—Provide Adequate Infrastructure..... 193

26 DP R6—Plan for State Highways..... 193

27 DP R7—Subsidence Reduction and Reversal..... 193

28 **Maintain Delta Agriculture..... 194**

29 DP R8—Promote Value Added Crop Processing..... 194

30 DP R9—Encourage Agritourism..... 194

31 DP R10—Encourage Wildlife-Friendly Farming..... 195

32 **Encourage Recreation and Tourism..... 195**

33 DP R11—Provide New and Protect Existing Recreation Opportunities..... 195

34 DP R12—Encourage Partnerships to Support Recreation and Tourism..... 195

35 DP R13—Expand State Recreation Areas..... 195

36 DP R14—Enhance Nature-Based Recreation..... 196

37 DP R15—Promote Boating Safety..... 196

38 DP R16—Encourage Recreation on Public Lands..... 196

39 DP R17—Enhance Opportunities for Visitor-Serving Businesses..... 196

40 **Sustain a Vital Delta Economy..... 196**

41 DP R18—Support the Ports of Stockton and West Sacramento..... 196

42 DP R19—Plan for Delta Energy Facilities..... 196

43 **Chapter 6 Improve Water Quality to Protect Human Health and the Environment..... 207**

44 **Require Delta-specific Water Quality Protection..... 227**

45 WQ R1—Protect Beneficial Uses..... 227

46 WQ R2—Identify Covered Action Impacts..... 227

47 WQ R3—Special Water Quality Protections for the Delta..... 227

48 **Protect Beneficial Uses by Managing Salinity..... 228**

49 **Improve Drinking Water Quality..... 228**

50 WQ R4—Complete Central Valley Drinking Water Policy..... 228

1                    ~~WQ R5—Complete North Bay Aqueduct Alternative Intake Project~~ ..... 229

2                    ~~WQ R6—Protect Groundwater Beneficial Uses~~ ..... 229

3                    ~~WQ R7—Participation in CV SALTS~~ ..... 229

4                    **Improve Environmental Water Quality** ..... **229**

5                    ~~WQ R8—Completion of Regulatory Processes, Research, and Monitoring~~

6                    ~~for Water Quality Improvements~~ ..... 229

7                    ~~WQ R9—Implement Delta Regional Monitoring Program~~ ..... 230

8                    ~~WQ R10—Evaluate Wastewater Recycling, Reuse, or Treatment~~ ..... 230

9                    ~~WQ R11—Manage Dissolved Oxygen in Stockton Ship Channel~~ ..... 231

10                   ~~WQ R12—Manage Dissolved Oxygen in Suisun Marsh~~ ..... 231

11                   **Chapter 7 Reduce Risk to People, Property, and State Interests in the Delta** ..... **245**

12                   **Improve Emergency Preparedness and Response** ..... **268**

13                   ~~RR R1—Implement Emergency Preparedness and Response~~ ..... 268

14                   **Finance and Implement Local Flood Management Activities** ..... **268**

15                   ~~RR R2—Finance Local Flood Management Activities~~ ..... 269

16                   ~~RR R3—Fund actions to protect infrastructure from flooding and other~~

17                   ~~natural disasters~~ ..... 270

18                   **Prioritize Flood Management Investment** ..... **270**

19                   ~~RR P1—Prioritization of State Investments in Delta Levees and Risk Reduction~~ ..... 271

20                   **Improve Residential Flood Protection** ..... **272**

21                   ~~RR P2—Require Flood Protection for Residential Development in Rural Areas~~ ..... 273

22                   **Protect and Expand Floodways, Floodplains, and Bypasses** ..... **273**

23                   ~~RR P3—Protect Floodways~~ ..... 274

24                   ~~RR P4—Protect Floodplains~~ ..... 274

25                   ~~RR R4—Fund and Implement San Joaquin River Flood Bypass~~ ..... 274

26                   ~~RR R5—Continue Delta Dredging Studies~~ ..... 274

27                   ~~RR R6—Designate Additional Floodways~~ ..... 275

28                   **Integrate Delta Levees and Ecosystem Function** ..... **275**

29                   ~~RR R7—Develop Setback Levee Criteria~~ ..... 275

30                   **Limit Liability** ..... **275**

31                   ~~RR R8—Require Flood Insurance~~ ..... 276

32                   ~~RR R9—Limit State Liability~~ ..... 276

33                   **Chapter 8 Funding Principles to Support the Coequal Goals** ..... **285**

34

35

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

1

1  
2

# ~~Acronyms and Abbreviations~~

---

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

1

# Acronyms and Abbreviations

1		
2	<del>μS/cm</del>	<del>microsiemens per centimeter</del>
3	<del>Aeration Facility</del>	<del>Demonstration Dissolved Oxygen Aeration Facility</del>
4	<del>BAFF</del>	<del>bio-acoustic fish fence</del>
5	<del>Bay Plan</del>	<del>San Francisco Bay Plan</del>
6	<del>Bay Delta Plan</del>	<del>2006 Water Quality Control Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San</del>
7		<del>Joaquin Delta Estuary</del>
8	<del>Bay Delta Plan</del>	<del>Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan</del>
9	<del>BCDC</del>	<del>San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission</del>
10	<del>BDCP</del>	<del>Bay Delta Conservation Plan</del>
11	<del>Cal EMA</del>	<del>California Emergency Management Agency</del>
12	<del>Caltrans</del>	<del>California Department of Transportation</del>
13	<del>CARB</del>	<del>California Air Resources Board</del>
14	<del>CASGEM</del>	<del>California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring Program</del>
15	<del>CDEA</del>	<del>California Department of Food and Agriculture</del>
16	<del>CEQA</del>	<del>California Environmental Quality Act</del>
17	<del>efs</del>	<del>cubic feet per second</del>
18	<del>CO<sub>2</sub></del>	<del>carbon dioxide</del>
19	<del>Council</del>	<del>Delta Stewardship Council</del>
20	<del>CVFPP</del>	<del>Central Valley Flood Protection Plan</del>
21	<del>CVP</del>	<del>Central Valley Project</del>
22	<del>CV-SALTS</del>	<del>Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability Program</del>
23	<del>CWA</del>	<del>Clean Water Act</del>
24	<del>CZMA</del>	<del>Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972</del>
25	<del>DDT</del>	<del>dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane</del>
26	<del>Delta</del>	<del>Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta</del>
27	<del>Delta Conservancy</del>	<del>Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Conservancy</del>

1	<del>Delta ISB</del>	<del>Delta Independent Science Board</del>
2	<del>DFG</del>	<del>California Department of Fish and Game</del>
3	<del>DO</del>	<del>dissolved oxygen</del>
4	<del>DPC</del>	<del>Delta Protection Commission</del>
5	<del>DWR</del>	<del>California Department of Water Resource</del>
6	<del>DWR 200 Year</del>	<del>DWR 200-year Urban Levee Protection</del>
7	<del>EPRRP</del>	<del>Emergency Preparedness Response and Recovery Program</del>
8	<del>ERP</del>	<del>Ecosystem Restoration Program</del>
9	<del>ESP</del>	<del>DPC's Economic Sustainability Plan for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta</del>
10	<del>FEMA</del>	<del>Federal Emergency Management Agency</del>
11	<del>FEMA 100 Year</del>	<del>FEMA 100-year (Base Flood) Protection</del>
12	<del>GPCD</del>	<del>gallons per capita daily</del>
13	<del>HAB</del>	<del>Harmful Algal Blooms</del>
14	<del>HCP</del>	<del>Habitat Conservation Plan</del>
15	<del>HMP</del>	<del>Hazard Mitigation Plan</del>
16	<del>IRWMP</del>	<del>Integrated Regional Water Management Plans</del>
17	<del>LSZ</del>	<del>low salinity zone</del>
18	<del>LTMS</del>	<del>Delta Dredged Sediment Long-Term Management Strategy</del>
19	<del>MAF</del>	<del>million acre-feet</del>
20	<del>MCL</del>	<del>maximum contaminant levels</del>
21	<del>mg/L</del>	<del>milligrams per liter</del>
22	<del>NAS</del>	<del>National Academy of Sciences</del>
23	<del>NCCP</del>	<del>Natural Community Conservation Plan</del>
24	<del>NHA</del>	<del>National Heritage Area</del>
25	<del>NMFS</del>	<del>National Marine Fisheries Service</del>
26	<del>NPDES</del>	<del>National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System</del>
27	<del>NWR</del>	<del>National Wildlife Refuge</del>
28	<del>OP</del>	<del>organophosphorus</del>
29	<del>PCB</del>	<del>polychlorinated biphenyls</del>
30	<del>PL 84-99</del>	<del>Public Law 84-99</del>
31	<del>POD</del>	<del>Pelagic Organism Decline</del>

1	<del>ppt</del>	<del>parts per thousand</del>
2	<del>Reclamation</del>	<del>Bureau of Reclamation</del>
3	<del>Recreation Proposal</del>	<del><i>Recreation Proposal for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and</i></del>
4		<del><i>Suisun Marsh</i></del>
5	<del>RWCF</del>	<del>Stockton Regional Wastewater Control Facility</del>
6	<del>RWQCB</del>	<del>Regional Water Quality Control Boards</del>
7	<del>SACOG</del>	<del>Sacramento Area Council of Governments</del>
8	<del>SAV</del>	<del>submerged aquatic vegetation</del>
9	<del>SB</del>	<del>Senate Bill</del>
10	<del>SDWSC</del>	<del>Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel</del>
11	<del>SEMS</del>	<del>California's Standardized Emergency Management System</del>
12	<del>SFD</del>	<del>San Felipe Division</del>
13	<del>SMPP</del>	<del>BCDC's Suisun Marsh Protection Plan</del>
14	<del>SPFC</del>	<del>State Plan of Flood Control</del>
15	<del>State</del>	<del>State of California</del>
16	<del>SWP</del>	<del>State Water Project</del>
17	<del>SWRCB</del>	<del>State Water Resources Control Board</del>
18	<del>THM</del>	<del>trihalomethanes</del>
19	<del>TMDL</del>	<del>total maximum daily load</del>
20	<del>USACE</del>	<del>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers</del>
21	<del>USDA</del>	<del>U.S. Department of Agriculture</del>
22	<del>USEPA</del>	<del>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</del>
23	<del>USFWS</del>	<del>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</del>
24	<del>USGS</del>	<del>U.S. Geological Survey</del>
25		
26		

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12