



## CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT

---

10440 Ashford Street  
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730-2799  
(909) 987-2591 Fax (909) 476-8032

MARTIN E. ZVIRBULIS  
*Secretary / General Manager/CEO*

June 12, 2012

Mr. Phil Isenberg, Chair  
Delta Stewardship Council  
980 Ninth Street, Suite 1500  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Chairman Isenberg:

On behalf of the Cucamonga Valley Water District, we thank you for the opportunity to comment on the sixth staff draft of the Delta Plan. We believe this draft is an improvement over previous drafts, and we want to express our appreciation to both the Council and staff for considering and incorporating many of the comments offered by public water agencies and the Ag-Urban Coalition. In particular, we commend the progress made in the sixth draft in addressing the multitude of stressors impacting the delta ecosystem. As an agency committed to meeting the coequal goals of ecosystem restoration and water supply reliability, we believe that a successful plan must effectively reduce the impacts of all stressors in the Delta environment in order to assure a healthy ecosystem that can support native species.

Importantly, this sixth draft recommends actions to assist in the recovery of endangered and threatened species. For example, it calls upon the State Water Resources Control Board and Regional Water Quality Control Boards to expeditiously address nutrient and pollution issues; recommends "marking" hatchery salmon, which could lead to a successful "mark-select" harvest system and proposes a number of actions to address salmon predation.

In addition, this draft focuses more appropriately on functions of water flow in the ecosystem, rather than considering flow in an abstract or isolated manner. Water flows need to be considered along with physical habitat availability in a manner that provides overall benefit to Delta species. We support the more specific comments provided by the State and Federal Contractors Water Agency regarding water flow and hope to see additional progress on the description of water flows in the final plan.

There are two provisions within this sixth draft plan that remain of concern to our agency related to "reduced reliance" on the Delta and the Bay Delta Conservation Plan (BDCP). With regard to the reduced reliance provisions outlined in Chapter 3 of the plan, while the language is improved, some important clarifications are still needed. The Delta Reform Act gave the Council appellate jurisdiction over certain actions in the legally-defined Delta and Suisun Marsh. It did not extend that jurisdiction south of the Delta or give the Council regulatory authority to review water management decisions in other parts of the state. The draft plan should recognize and respect a local agency's authority in managing its water supplies and not try to impose water management requirements on agencies outside of the legally-defined Delta. We urge you to work with the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California and the water community to develop language that will clarify this issue for the final Delta Plan.

---

KATHLEEN J. TIEGS  
*President*

OSCAR GONZALEZ  
*Vice President*


HENRY L. "HANK" STOY  
*Director*

JAMES V. CURATALO, JR.  
*Director*

RANDALL J. REED  
*Director*

Finally, we continue to have concerns with the way that the BDCP is addressed in both the body and the appendix of the draft plan. Specifically, the final Delta Plan should clarify the responsibility of the Council to incorporate the BDCP into the Delta Plan.

Sincerely,



Jo Lynne Russo - Pereyra  
Assistant General Manager

Cc: Metropolitan Water District of Southern California  
Association of California Water Agencies  
California Special Districts Association