

NOVEMBER 2012 FINAL DRAFT DELTA PLAN

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

NOVEMBER 2012

FINAL DRAFT DELTA PLAN

This is the final draft of the Delta Plan that will be presented to the Delta Stewardship Council. Five staff draft versions were presented prior to the release of the Draft Program Environmental Impact Report (Draft PEIR) in August 2011. A Final Staff Draft (Sixth) of the Delta Plan was presented in May 2012 following the release of the Draft PEIR, and a Proposed Final Draft was presented to the Council on September 13, 2012 to confirm the final, revised project description for purposes of CEQA review. The staff draft versions have been released in the following order:

- ◆ **February 2011:** First Staff Draft Delta Plan was posted on February 14, 2011, and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on February 24 and 25, 2011, and March 10 and 11, 2011.
- ◆ **March 2011:** Second Staff Draft Delta Plan was posted on March 18, 2011, and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on March 24 and 25, 2011, and April 14 and 15, 2011.
- ◆ **April 2011:** Third Staff Draft Delta Plan was posted on April 22, 2011, and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on April 28 and 29, 2011, and May 12 and 13, 2011.
- ◆ **June 2011:** Fourth Staff Draft Delta Plan was posted on June 13, 2011, and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on June 16, 23, and 24, 2011.
- ◆ **August 2011:** Fifth Staff Draft Delta Plan was posted on August 2, 2011 (included policies and recommendations to be analyzed in the Draft PEIR), and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meeting on August 26, 2011, and September 22 and 23, 2011. Draft PEIR was circulated on November 4, 2011 (including environmental analysis of the Fifth Staff Draft Delta Plan and four alternatives).
- ◆ **May 2012:** Final Staff Draft Delta Plan posted on May 14, 2012, and discussed at Delta Stewardship Council meetings on May 24, 2012, June 14 and 15, 2012, June 28 and 29, 2012, and July 12, 2012.
- ◆ **September 2012:** Proposed Final Draft Delta Plan posted on September 5, 2012, and discussed at the Delta Stewardship Council meeting on September 13, 2012. At this meeting, the Council confirmed the final, revised project description for purposes of CEQA review.
- ◆ Final Draft Delta Plan posted on November 30, 2012, concurrently with release of Recirculated Draft PEIR volume and Draft Rulemaking package for public review and comment.

Next Steps

- ◆ **Winter 2012-3:** Concurrent, public written comment periods on Recirculated DPEIR and draft Rulemaking package. Rulemaking hearing following close of written comment period on draft Rulemaking package.
- ◆ **Spring 2013: Final PEIR** (including response to comments on the Draft PEIR and on the Recirculated Draft PEIR volume) will be considered by the Council for certification; and the Final Draft Delta Plan and the proposed regulations will be considered for adoption. Final

1 Rulemaking package will be prepared and submitted to the Office of Administrative Law for
2 approval.

3 ♦ **Spring/Summer 2013:** Regulations based on Delta Plan policies become effective following the
4 completion of regulatory process.

5 At each stage of the development of the Delta Plan there have been opportunities for public comment at
6 the Delta Stewardship Council meetings for the purpose of receiving information and comments and for
7 Delta Stewardship Council deliberation. All Delta Stewardship Council meetings are public and simulcast
8 on the Delta Stewardship Council website. In addition, all comments received are posted to the website:
9 <http://www.deltacouncil.ca.gov>.

Contents: Proposed Final Draft Delta Plan

		Page
1		
2		
3	Delta Plan Policies and Recommendations.....	xiii
4	Executive Summary	3
5	A New Path Forward	4
6	Lessons from the Delta Plan Process	5
7	Act Now and Invest Big	5
8	Improve Water Supply Reliability.....	5
9	Commit to Delta Ecosystem Restoration.....	5
10	Protect the Delta’s Unique Values.....	5
11	What the Delta Plan Does	5
12	Chapter 1 Introduction.....	9
13	The Delta and California’s Water Supply.....	10
14	The Delta and Its Ecosystem.....	15
15	The Delta as a Unique and Evolving Place.....	16
16	The Delta Problem	16
17	Governance and the Delta Reform Act of 2009.....	18
18	The Delta Plan	21
19	What the Delta Plan Will Achieve by 2100.....	22
20	Timeline for Implementing Priority Actions of the Delta Plan.....	26
21	Organization of the Delta Plan.....	26
22	References.....	28
23	Chapter 2 The Delta Plan.....	39
24	About this Chapter	40
25	The Delta Stewardship Council	40
26	Science and Adaptive Management in the Delta.....	42
27	Best Available Science	43
28	Adaptive Management.....	43
29	A Delta Science Plan	43
30	The Delta Plan	45
31	The Council’s Three-phase Adaptive Management Framework.....	45
32	Covered Actions and Delta Plan Consistency.....	54
33	Covered Actions Must Comply with Delta Plan Policies.....	54
34	What Is a Covered Action?.....	55
35	Certifications of Consistency.....	58
36	Covered Action Consistency Appeals	59
37	Policies and Recommendations	59
38	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations.....	62
39	References.....	63
40	Chapter 3 A More Reliable Water Supply for California.....	71
41	About this Chapter	74
42	California’s Water Supply Picture	74
43	Sources of California’s Water Supply	76
44	How California’s Water Is Used.....	76
45	California’s Water Supply Infrastructure	78
46	Climate Change Complicates Management of California’s Water	80
47	Foundations of Water Policy in California	81

1 Reasonable Use and the Public Trust Doctrines 81

2 California’s Water Rights System and Use Reporting 83

3 The Coequal Goals and Reducing Reliance on the Delta 83

4 The Delta’s Role in California’s Water Supply 84

5 Use of Water from the Delta Watershed 87

6 Joint Federal and State Delta Operations 87

7 Challenges and Conflicts in the Delta 90

8 Improving Delta Water Supply Reliability through Investments in System Flexibility 93

9 New Water for California 98

10 California’s Wealth of Water Opportunities 98

11 The Importance of Local Water Management Planning 100

12 Implementing a Path to Success in Local Water Management 101

13 Groundwater Overdraft Is an Impediment to the Coequal Goals 103

14 Informed Decision Making Requires Information 105

15 Policies and Recommendations 107

16 Increase Water Conservation and Expand Local and Regional Supplies 107

17 Improve Groundwater Management 110

18 Improve Conveyance and Expand Storage 111

19 Improved Water Management Information 112

20 Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations 114

21 Science and Information Needs 114

22 Issues for Future Evaluation and Coordination 116

23 Performance Measures 117

24 Output Performance Measures 117

25 Outcome Performance Measures 117

26 References 118

27 **Chapter 4 Protect, Restore, and Enhance the Delta Ecosystem 127**

28 About this Chapter 128

29 A Restored Delta Ecosystem Is Key to a Reliable Water Supply 129

30 The Delta Ecosystem, Past and Present 129

31 Ecosystem Stressors 132

32 Climate Change 134

33 Ecosystem Restoration 134

34 Delta Flows 136

35 Habitat 142

36 Ecosystem Water Quality 151

37 Nonnative Species 151

38 Hatcheries and Harvest Management 153

39 Policies and Recommendations 155

40 Create More Natural Functional Flows 155

41 Restore Habitat 156

42 Improve Water Quality to Protect the Ecosystem 161

43 Prevent Introduction of and Manage Nonnative Species Impacts 161

44 Improve Hatcheries and Harvest Management 162

45 Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations 163

46 Issues for Future Evaluation and Coordination 164

47 Science and Information Needs 164

48 Performance Measures 164

49 Output Performance Measures 165

50 Outcome Performance Measures 165

1	References.....	166
2	Chapter 5 Protect and Enhance the Unique Cultural, Recreational, Natural Resource, and	
3	Agricultural Values of the California Delta as an Evolving Place.....	177
4	Creating a Common Vision of the Delta as a Place.....	178
5	About this Chapter.....	179
6	Protecting the Delta as an Evolving Place Is Inherent in the Coequal Goals.....	179
7	The Delta as a Place.....	180
8	The Delta’s People.....	182
9	The Delta’s Communities.....	182
10	The Delta’s Legacy Communities.....	184
11	Climate Change.....	187
12	Land Use Planning in the Delta and Suisun Marsh.....	187
13	Minimizing Land Use Conflicts.....	190
14	The Delta’s Economy.....	190
15	Agriculture and the Delta’s Economy.....	191
16	The Delta’s Recreation and Tourism Economy.....	191
17	Other Contributors to the Delta Economy.....	192
18	Delta Investment Fund.....	192
19	Agriculture in the Delta.....	192
20	Protecting Productive Farmlands.....	194
21	Recreation and Tourism in the Delta.....	198
22	Boating.....	198
23	Public Recreation Lands.....	201
24	Nature-based Recreation.....	202
25	Heritage Tourism.....	202
26	Policies and Recommendations.....	203
27	Designate the Delta as a Special Place.....	203
28	Plan to Protect the Delta’s Lands and Communities.....	204
29	Maintain Delta Agriculture.....	206
30	Encourage Recreation and Tourism.....	207
31	Sustain a Vital Delta Economy.....	209
32	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations.....	209
33	Science and Information Needs.....	209
34	Issues for Future Evaluation and Coordination.....	211
35	Performance Measures.....	211
36	Output Performance Measures.....	211
37	Outcome Performance Measures.....	212
38	References.....	212
39	Chapter 6 Improve Water Quality to Protect Human Health and the Environment.....	221
40	About this Chapter.....	222
41	Beneficial Uses of Water in and from the Delta.....	223
42	Factors Influencing Water Quality in the Delta.....	224
43	Protecting Water Quality Is a Balancing Act.....	225
44	Climate Change.....	225
45	Existing Water Quality Regulations.....	225
46	Salinity in the Delta.....	229
47	History and Causes of Delta Salinity Problems.....	229
48	Salinity in the Delta Ecosystem.....	230
49	Effects of Salinity on Agricultural Water Use.....	231
50	Effects of Salinity on Municipal and Industrial Water Uses.....	232

1	Drinking Water Quality	232
2	Disinfection Byproducts	233
3	Salinity.....	234
4	Pathogens.....	234
5	Nutrients	234
6	Drinking Water Intakes	234
7	Groundwater Quality Concerns	235
8	Environmental Water Quality	235
9	Nutrients	235
10	Dissolved Oxygen.....	237
11	Pesticides	239
12	Mercury	239
13	Selenium	240
14	Contaminants of Emerging Concern	241
15	Policies and Recommendations	241
16	Require Delta-specific Water Quality Protection	241
17	Protect Beneficial Uses by Managing Salinity	242
18	Improve Drinking Water Quality.....	243
19	Improve Environmental Water Quality	244
20	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations.....	245
21	Science and Information Needs	245
22	Issues for Future Evaluation and Coordination.....	247
23	Performance Measures.....	247
24	Output Performance Measures	248
25	Outcome Performance Measures.....	248
26	References.....	248
27	Chapter 7 Reduce Risk to People, Property, and State Interests in the Delta	259
28	About this Chapter	260
29	Delta Hazards Threaten Both Coequal Goals and the Delta as a Place	260
30	Flood Risk in the Delta	261
31	Floods	262
32	Earthquakes	263
33	High Tides and Sunny-day Risks	264
34	Land Subsidence.....	264
35	Climate Change and Flood Risk.....	264
36	Planning for Flood Management	266
37	The Delta’s Levees	271
38	Floodplains and Channels.....	274
39	Investment in Reducing Risk.....	276
40	Prioritizing State Investment in Levees.....	276
41	Planning for Floodplain Land Use.....	278
42	Emergency Preparedness and Response	282
43	Liability Concerns	283
44	Policies and Recommendations	284
45	Improve Emergency Preparedness and Response	284
46	Finance and Implement Local Flood Management Activities.....	285
47	Prioritize Flood Management Investment	287
48	Improve Residential Flood Protection	289
49	Protect and Expand Floodways, Floodplains, and Bypasses	290
50	Integrate Delta Levees and Ecosystem Function.....	291

1	Limit State Liability.....	292
2	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations.....	293
3	Issues for Future Evaluation and Coordination.....	293
4	Science and Information Needs	294
5	Performance Measures.....	294
6	Output Performance Measures	295
7	Outcome Performance Measures.....	295
8	References.....	295
9	Chapter 8 Funding Principles to Support the Coequal Goals	303
10	About this Chapter	304
11	Background.....	304
12	Bay Delta Conservation Plan.....	306
13	Overview of Current State and Federal Delta-related Expenditures	306
14	A Delta Finance Plan	308
15	Guiding Principles	308
16	Near-term and Annual Funding Requirements.....	309
17	Policies and Recommendations	310
18	Timeline for Implementing Recommendations	310
19	References.....	310
20	Glossary	315
21		
22	Appendixes	
23	Appendix A Adaptive Management and the Delta Plan	
24	Appendix B Administrative Procedures Governing Appeals, Statutory Provisions Requiring Other	
25	Consistency Reviews, and Other Forms of Review or Evaluation by the Council	
26	Appendix C Administrative Performance Measures for the Delta Plan	
27	Appendix D Statutory Exemptions from Covered Actions	
28	Appendix E Key California Water Conservation and Management Laws	
29	Appendix F Transparency in Water Contracting	
30	Appendix G The Delta Stewardship Council’s Role Regarding Conveyance	
31	Appendix H Excerpt from Draft Ecosystem Restoration Program’s Conservation Strategy for Stage 2	
32	Implementation for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Ecological Management Zone	
33	(DFG 2011): “Section II. Habitats” including Figures 4 and 5	
34	Appendix I Addressing Multiple Stressors and Multiple Goals in the Delta Plan (memorandum)	
35	Appendix J Excerpt from Draft Ecosystem Restoration Program’s Conservation Strategy for Stage 2	
36	Implementation for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Ecological Management Zone	
37	(DFG 2011): “Section III. Stressors; Non-Native Invasive Species”	
38	Appendix K Delta Communities	
39	Appendix L Delta Dredged Sediment Long-Term Management Strategy	
40	Appendix M State Flood Control Facilities within the Legal Boundary of the Delta (Map showing	
41	Central Valley Flood Protection Board Jurisdictions)	

1 Appendix N Projected 5-year Budgets (Fiscal Years 2012–2017) for Delta Stewardship Council, Delta
 2 Protection Commission, and Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Conservancy

3 Appendix O Funding and Finance Options

4 Appendix P Demonstrating Consistency with the Delta Plan Regarding Reduced Reliance on the
 5 Delta and Improved Regional Self-Reliance

6 **Tables**

7 1-1 Summary of Anticipated Changes Affecting the Delta by 2050 and 2100 25
 8 2-1 Agencies with Responsibilities in the Delta 41
 9 2-2 Delta Plan Requirements by Water Code Section 48
 10 5-1 State Agencies with Land Use Jurisdiction in the Delta 187
 11 5-2 Top Five Crops in the Delta 191
 12 5-3 State Agencies with Responsibility for Recreation in the Delta 201
 13 6-1 Delta Water Beneficial Uses 223
 14 6-2 TMDLs Approved and under Development in the Central Valley, Delta, and Suisun Bay 227
 15 8-1 General Obligation Bonds – California (as of January 2012) 304
 16 8-2 Annual Budgets/Expenditures in California for Selected Agencies 306
 17 8-3 Annual State and Federal Expenditures in California by Program Element (2012-13) 307
 18

19 **Figures and Sidebars**

20 **Chapter 1**

21 Figure 1-1 The Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisun Marsh
 22 Figure 1-2 The Delta Watershed and Areas Receiving Delta Water
 23 Figure 1-3 California's Variable Precipitation
 24 Sidebar Delta by the Numbers
 25 Sidebar Is More Governance Reform Needed?
 26 Figure 1-4 The Delta Plan
 27 Figure 1-5 Priority Action Timeline
 28

29 **Chapter 2**

30 Sidebar Science in the Delta - Advances in Understanding
 31 Figure 2-1 Council Roles and the Delta Plan
 32 Figure 2-2 The Delta Stewardship Council’s Three-phase Adaptive Management Framework
 33 Sidebar Federal Participation in Implementing the Delta Plan
 34 Sidebar Performance Measures in the Delta Plan
 35 Figure 2-3 Decision Tree for State and Local Agencies on Possible Covered Actions
 36 Sidebar What Does CEQA Consider a "Project"?
 37 Figure 2-4 Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations
 38

39 **Chapter 3**

40 Sidebar What Does It Mean to Achieve the Goal of Providing a More Reliable Water Supply for
 41 California?
 42 Sidebar Where California's Water Comes From
 43 Figure 3-1 Moving and Storing California's Water
 44 Sidebar California’s Complex Water Rights System

1	Chapter 3 (continued)	
2	Sidebar	Reliance on the Delta Varies by Region
3	Sidebar	Who Uses Delta Water Exports?
4	Sidebar	Where Delta Water Comes From and Goes
5	Figure 3-2	Historical Exports and In-Delta Use
6	Figure 3-3	Historical Delta Inflow and Delta Exports
7	Sidebar	Applying Adaptive Management to Water Management Decisions
8	Sidebar	Bay Delta Conservation Plan and Water Supply Reliability
9	Figure 3-4	Local Strategies to Increase Water Supply and Reduce Demand
10	Sidebar	Regional Success Stories
11	Sidebar	Trends in California's Water Use
12	Sidebar	Groundwater Overdraft Challenges
13	Figure 3-5	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations
14		
15	Chapter 4	
16	Sidebar	What Does It Mean to Achieve the Goal of Protecting, Restoring, and Enhancing the Delta Ecosystem?
17		
18	Figure 4-1	Comparison of Historical (early 1800s) and Modern Delta Waterways
19	Figure 4-2	Primary Landscapes in the Historical Delta
20	Sidebar	Changes in Historical Flows Challenge Delta Ecology
21	Sidebar	Stressor Categories to Help with Management Options
22	Sidebar	Delta Ecological Principles
23	Sidebar	Current Delta Ecosystem Restoration Efforts
24	Sidebar	Bay Delta Conservation Plan and Delta Ecosystem Restoration
25	Sidebar	Flow Is More than Just Volume
26	Figure 4-3	Flow Direction in South Delta
27	Figure 4-4	Effects of Dams and Diversions on Delta Inflows and Outflows
28	Sidebar	More Natural Functional Flow
29	Sidebar	Landscape Ecology: A Fundamental Tool for Restoration Planning
30	Figure 4-5	Habitat Types Based on Elevation, Shown with Developed Areas in the Delta and Suisun Marsh
31		
32	Sidebar	Applying Adaptive Management to Ecosystem Restoration
33	Sidebar	Better Habitat Equals Greater Growth
34	Figure 4-6	Recommended Areas for Prioritization and Implementation of Habitat Restoration Projects
35		
36	Figure 4-7	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations
37		
38	Chapter 5	
39	Sidebar	Looking at the Delta
40	Figure 5-1	Delta Primary and Secondary Zones and Suisun Marsh
41	Sidebar	The Legacy of the Delta's Native California Indians
42	Figure 5-2	Delta Communities
43	Figure 5-3	Agricultural Land Use in the Delta
44	Sidebar	Subsidence in the Delta

1	Chapter 5 (continued)	
2	Figure 5-4	State Parks and Other Protected Lands
3	Figure 5-5	Major Delta Resources and Recreation
4	Figure 5-6	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations
5		
6	Chapter 6	
7	Sidebar	A Water Quality Success Story
8	Sidebar	Water Board Regulation and the Bay-Delta Plan
9	Figure 6-1	Salinity in the Delta Varies by Inflow Volumes
10	Sidebar	Disinfection Byproducts
11	Figure 6-2	Nutrients Create Delta Water Problems
12	Sidebar	Applying Adaptive Management in Water Quality Decisions
13	Figure 6-3	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations
14		
15	Chapter 7	
16	Sidebar	Delta Disaster Recalled
17	Sidebar	Understanding Delta Flood Risk
18	Figure 7-1	Simulation of Delta Salinity After a 20-island Failure Caused by a Magnitude
19		6.5 Earthquake
20	Sidebar	What is a Government-Sponsored Flood Control Program?
21	Sidebar	Central Valley Flood Protection Plan
22	Figure 7-2	Levees in the Delta
23	Figure 7-3	Levee Guidance
24	Figure 7-4	Conceptual Diagrams of Floodways
25	Figure 7-5	Delta Flood Management Facilities
26	Figure 7-6	Examples of Floodproofing
27	Figure 7-7	Timeline for Implementing Policies and Recommendations
28		
29	Chapter 8	
30	Sidebar	Bay Delta Conservation Plan Costs and Existing Funding Sources
31	Figure 8-1	Timeline for Implementing Recommendations

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

Note: This page is a placeholder; complete information will be added to the final release of the Delta Plan.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Governor
THE DELTA STEWARDSHIP COUNCIL

This document was prepared under the direction of

by

assisted by

with assistance on special topics or studies by

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

1 **Delta Plan Policies and Recommendations**

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

1 Delta Plan Policies and Recommendations

2 The Delta Plan contains a set of regulatory policies that will be enforced by the Delta Stewardship
 3 Council’s appellate authority and oversight, described in Chapter 2. The Delta Plan also contains priority
 4 recommendations, which are nonregulatory but call out actions essential to achieving the coequal goals.

5 The following table has been added since the May 14, 2012 draft to show changes in policy and
 6 recommendation language.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
Chapter 2		
G P1	Detailed Findings to Establish Consistency with the Delta Plan	a) This policy specifies what must be addressed in a certification of consistency filed by a State or local public agency with regard to a covered action. This policy only applies after a “proposed action” has been determined by a State or local public agency to be a covered action because it among other things is covered by one or more of the policies contained in Chapters 3 through 7. Inconsistency with this policy may be the basis for an appeal. b) Certifications of Consistency must include detailed findings that address each of the following requirements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Covered actions must be consistent with the coequal goals, as well as with each of the policies contained in Chapters 3 through 7 implicated by the covered action. The Delta Stewardship Council acknowledges that in some cases, based upon the nature of the covered action, full consistency with all relevant policies may not be feasible. In those cases, the agency that files the certification of consistency may determine that the covered action is consistent with the Delta Plan. That determination must include a clear identification of areas where consistency is not feasible, an explanation of the reasons why it is not feasible, and an explanation of how the covered action nevertheless, on whole, is consistent with the coequal goals. That determination is subject to review by the Delta Stewardship Council on appeal. ◆ Covered actions not exempt from CEQA must include applicable feasible mitigation measures identified in the Delta Plan’s Program EIR (unless the measure(s) are within the exclusive jurisdiction of an agency other than the proposing agency), or substitute mitigation measures that the proposing agency finds are equally or more effective. ◆ As relevant to the purpose and nature of the project, all covered actions must document use of best available science (as described in Appendix A). ◆ Ecosystem restoration and water management covered actions must include adequate provisions, appropriate to the scope of the covered

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p>action, to assure continued implementation of adaptive management. This requirement shall be satisfied through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An adaptive management plan that describes the approach to be taken consistent with the adaptive management framework in Appendix A, and • Documentation of access to adequate resources and delineated authority by the entity responsible for the implementation of the proposed adaptive management process. <p>◆ If the agency that files the certification of consistency will carry out the covered action, the certification of consistency must also include a certification from that agency that the covered action complies with all applicable laws pertaining to water resources, biological resources, flood risk, and land use and planning. If the agency that files the certification of consistency will not carry out the covered action (but will approve or fund the action), the certification of consistency must include a certification from that agency that the covered action complies with all applicable laws of the type listed above over which that agency has enforcement authority or with which that agency can require compliance.</p>
G R1	Development of a Delta Science Plan	<p>The Delta Stewardship Council’s Delta Science Program should develop a Delta Science Plan by December 31, 2013. The Delta Science Program should work with the Interagency Ecological Program, Bay Delta Conservation Plan, California Department of Fish and Game, and other agencies to develop the Delta Science Plan. To ensure that best science is used to develop the Delta Science Plan, the Delta Independent Science Board should review the draft Delta Science Plan.</p> <p>The Delta Science Plan should address the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ A collaborative institutional and organizational structure for conducting science in the Delta ◆ Data management, synthesis, scientific exchange, and communication strategies to support adaptive management and improve the accessibility of information ◆ Strategies for addressing uncertainty and conflicting scientific information ◆ The prioritization of research and balancing of the short-term immediate science needs with science that enhances comprehensive understanding of the Delta system over the long term ◆ Identification of existing and future needs for refining and developing numerical and simulation models along with enhancing existing Delta conceptual models (e.g., the Interagency Ecological Program (IEP) Pelagic Organism Decline (POD) and the Delta Regional Ecosystem Restoration Implementation Plan (DRERIP) models) ◆ Recommendations on an integrated approach for monitoring that incorporates existing and future monitoring efforts ◆ An assessment of financial needs and funding sources to support science

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
Chapter 3		
WR P1	Reduce Reliance on the Delta and Improve Regional Self Reliance	<p>The policy of the State of California is to reduce reliance on the Delta in meeting future water supply needs and that each region that depends on water from the Delta watershed shall improve its regional self-reliance. Success in achieving the statewide policy of reduced reliance on the Delta and improving regional self-reliance will be demonstrated through a significant reduction in the amount of water used, or in the percentage of water used, from the Delta watershed.</p> <p>The intent of WR P1 is to ensure that urban and agricultural water suppliers are taking appropriate actions to contribute to the achievement of reduced reliance on the Delta by complying with the statutory requirements of SB X7 7 and other water management laws, and by implementing programs and projects that are locally cost effective and technologically feasible for urban and agricultural water suppliers to increase water use efficiency and conservation and diversify local water supply portfolios.</p> <p>WR P1: Water shall not be exported from, transferred through or used in the Delta if (1) one or more water suppliers that would receive water as a result of the export, transfer or use have failed to adequately contribute to reduced reliance on the Delta and improved regional self-reliance consistent with the three requirements stated below; (2) that failure has significantly caused the need for the export, transfer or use; and (3) the export, transfer or use would have a significant adverse environmental impact in the Delta.</p> <p>For the purpose of Water Code section 85057.5 (a) (3), this policy covers a proposed action to export water from, transfer water through, or use water in the Delta.</p> <p>Water suppliers that have done all of the following are contributing to reduced reliance on the Delta and improved regional self-reliance and are therefore consistent with WR P1:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Completed a current urban or agricultural water management plan which has been reviewed by DWR for compliance with the applicable requirements of Water Code Division 6, Parts 2.55, 2.6, and 2.8; 2) Identified, evaluated and commenced implementation, consistent with the implementation schedule set forth in the management plan, of all programs and projects that are locally cost effective and technically feasible that reduce reliance on the Delta; and 3) Included in the plan, commencing in 2015, the expected outcome for measurable reduction in Delta reliance and improvement in regional self-reliance. <p>Programs and projects that reduce reliance could include, but are not limited to, improvements in water use efficiency, water recycling, stormwater capture and use, advanced water technologies, conjunctive use projects, local and regional water supply projects, and improved regional coordination of local and regional water supply efforts.</p>
WR R1	Implement Water Efficiency and Water Management Planning Laws	All water suppliers should fully implement applicable water efficiency and water management laws, including urban water management plans (Water Code section 10601 et seq.), the 20% reduction in statewide urban per capita water usage by 2020 (Water Code section 10608 et seq.), agricultural water management plans (Water Code section 10608 et seq.), and other applicable water laws, regulations, or rules.
WR R2	Require SWP Contractors to Implement Water Efficiency and Water Management Laws	The Department of Water Resources should include a provision in all State Water Project contracts, contract amendments, contract renewals, and water transfer agreements that require the implementation of all State water efficiency and water management laws, goals, and regulations, including compliance with Water Code section 85021.
WR R3	Compliance with Reasonable and Beneficial Use	The State Water Resources Control Board should evaluate all applications and petitions for a new water right or a new or changed point of diversion, place of use, or purpose of use that would result in new or increased long-term average use of water from the Delta watershed for consistency with the constitutional principle of reasonable and beneficial use. The State

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		Water Resources Control Board should conduct its evaluation consistent with Water Code sections 85021, 85023, 85031, and other provisions of California law. An applicant or petitioner should submit to the State Water Resources Control Board sufficient information to support findings of consistency, including, as applicable, its urban water management plan, agricultural water management plan, and environmental documents prepared pursuant to CEQA.
WR R4	Expanded Water Supply Reliability Element	Water suppliers that receive water from the Delta watershed should include an expanded water supply reliability element, starting in 2015, as part of the update of an urban water management plan, agricultural water management plan, integrated water management plan, or other plan that provides equivalent information about the supplier's planned investments in water conservation and water supply development. The expanded water supply reliability element should detail how water suppliers are reducing reliance on the Delta and improving regional self-reliance consistent with Water Code section 85201 through investments in local and regional programs and projects, and should document the expected outcome for a measurable reduction in reliance on the Delta and improvement in regional self-reliance. At a minimum, these plans should include a plan for possible interruption of Delta water supplies up to 36 months due to catastrophic events, evaluation of the regional water balance, a climate change vulnerability assessment, and an evaluation of the extent to which the supplier's rate structure promotes and sustains efficient water use.
WR R5	Develop Water Supply Reliability Element Guidelines	The Department of Water Resources, in consultation with the Delta Stewardship Council, the State Water Resources Control Board, and others, should develop and approve, by December 31, 2014, guidelines for the preparation of a water supply reliability element so that water suppliers can begin implementation of WR R4 by 2015.
WR R6	Update Water Efficiency Goals	The Department of Water Resources and the State Water Resources Control Board should establish an advisory group with other state agencies and stakeholders to identify and implement measures to reduce impediments to achievement of statewide water conservation, recycled water, and stormwater goals by 2014. This group should evaluate and recommend updated goals for additional water efficiency and water resource development by 2018. Issues such as water distribution system leakage should be addressed. Evaluation should include an assessment of how regions are achieving their proportional share of these goals.
WR R7	Revise State Grant and Loan Priorities	The Department of Water Resources, the State Water Resources Control Board, the Department of Public Health, and other agencies, in consultation with the Delta Stewardship Council, should revise State grant and loan ranking criteria by December 31, 2013, to be consistent with Water Code section 85021 and to provide a priority for water suppliers that includes an expanded water supply reliability element in their adopted urban water management plans, agricultural water management plans, and/or integrated regional water management plans.
WR R8	Demonstrate State Leadership	All State agencies should take a leadership role in designing new and retrofitted State owned and leased facilities, including buildings and Caltrans facilities, to increase water efficiency, use recycled water, and incorporate stormwater runoff capture and low impact development strategies.
WR R9	Update Bulletin 118, California's Groundwater Plan	The Department of Water Resources, in consultation with the Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Geological Survey, the State Water Resources Control Board, and other agencies and stakeholders should update Bulletin 118 information using field data, California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring (CASGEM), groundwater agency reports, satellite imagery, and other best available science by December 31, 2014, so that this information can be included in the next California Water Plan Update and be available for inclusion in 2015 urban water management plans and agricultural water management plans. The Bulletin 118 update should include a systematic evaluation of major groundwater basins to determine sustainable yield and overdraft status, a projection of California's groundwater

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		resources in 20 years if current groundwater management trends remain unchanged, anticipated impacts of climate change on surface water and groundwater resources, and recommendations for State, federal, and local actions to improve groundwater management. In addition, the Bulletin 118 update should identify groundwater basins in a critical condition of overdraft.
WR R10	Implement Groundwater Management Plans in Areas that Receive Water from the Delta Watershed	Water suppliers that receive water from the Delta watershed and that obtain a significant percentage of their long-term average water supplies from groundwater sources should develop and implement sustainable groundwater management plans that are consistent with both the required and recommended components of local groundwater management plans identified by the Department of Water Resources Bulletin 118 (Update 2003) by December 31, 2014.
WR R11	Recover and Manage Critically Overdrafted Groundwater Basins	Local and regional agencies in groundwater basins that have been identified by the Department of Water Resources as being in a critical condition of overdraft should develop and implement a sustainable groundwater management plan, consistent with both the required and recommended components of local groundwater management plans identified by the Department of Water Resources Bulletin 118 (Update 2003), by December 31, 2014. If local or regional agencies fail to develop and implement these plans, the State Water Resources Control Board should take action to determine if the continued overuse of a groundwater basin constitutes a violation of the State's Constitution Article X, Section 2, prohibition on unreasonable use of water and whether a groundwater adjudication is necessary to prevent the destruction of or irreparable injury to the quality of the groundwater, consistent with Water Code sections 2100-2101.
WR R12	Complete Bay Delta Conservation Plan	The relevant federal, State, and local agencies should complete the Bay Delta Conservation Plan, consistent with the provisions of the Delta Reform Act, and receive required incidental take permits by December 31, 2014.
WR R13	Complete Surface Water Storage Studies	The Department of Water Resources should complete surface water storage investigations of proposed off-stream surface storage projects by December 31, 2012, including an evaluation of potential additional benefits of integrating operations of new storage with proposed Delta conveyance improvements, and recommend the critical projects that need to be implemented to expand the State's surface storage.
WR R14	Identify Near-term Opportunities for Storage, Use, and Water Transfer Projects	The Department of Water Resources, in coordination with the California Water Commission, Bureau of Reclamation, State Water Resources Control Board, California Department of Public Health, the Delta Stewardship Council, and other agencies and stakeholders, should conduct a survey to identify projects throughout California that could be implemented within the next 5 to 10 years to expand existing surface and groundwater storage facilities, create new storage, improve operation of existing Delta conveyance facilities, and enhance opportunities for conjunctive use programs and water transfers in furtherance of the coequal goals. The California Water Commission should hold hearings and provide recommendations to DWR on priority projects and funding.
WR R15	Improve Water Transfer Procedures	The Department of Water Resources and the State Water Resources Control Board should work with stakeholders to identify and recommend measures to reduce procedural and administrative impediments to water transfers and protect water rights and environmental resources by July 1, 2014. These recommendations should include measures to address potential issues with recurring transfers of up to 1 year in duration and improved public notification for proposed water transfers.
WR P2	Transparency in Water Contracting	The contracting process for water from the State Water Project (SWP) and/or the Central Valley Project (CVP) must be done in a publicly transparent manner consistent with applicable policies of the Department of Water Resources and the Bureau of Reclamation referenced below.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p>For purposes of Water Code section 85057.5(a)(3), this policy “covers” the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. With regard to water from the SWP, a proposed action to enter into or amend a water supply or water transfer contract subject to DWR Guidelines 03-09 and/or 03-10 (each dated July 3, 2003), which are included in Part 1 of Appendix F. b. With regard to water from the CVP, a proposed action to enter into or amend a water supply or water transfer contract subject to Section 226 of P.L. 97-293 or Section 3405(a)(2)(B) of the Central Valley Project Improvement Act, which are included in Part 2 of Appendix F.
WR R16	Supplemental Water Use Reporting	The State Water Resources Control Board should require water rights holders submitting supplemental statements of water diversion and use or progress reports under their permits or licenses to report on the development and implementation of all water efficiency and water supply projects and on their net (consumptive) use.
WR R17	Integrated Statewide System for Water Use Reporting	The Department of Water Resources, in coordination with the State Water Resources Control Board, the Department of Public Health, Public Utilities Commission, Energy Commission, Bureau of Reclamation, California Urban Water Conservation Council, and other stakeholders, should develop a coordinated statewide system for water use reporting. This system should incorporate recommendations for inclusion of data needed to better manage California’s water resources. The system should be designed to simplify reporting, reduce the number of required reports where possible, be made available to the public online and be integrated with the reporting requirements for the urban water management plans, agricultural water management plans, and integrated regional water management plans. Water suppliers that export water from, transfer water through, or use water in the Delta watershed should be full participants in the data base.
WR R18	California Water Plan	The Department of Water Resources, in consultation with the State Water Resources Control Board, and other agencies and stakeholders, should evaluate and include in the next and all future California Water Plan updates information needed to track water supply reliability performance measures identified in the Delta Plan, including an assessment of water efficiency and new water supply development, regional water balances, improvements in regional self-reliance, reduced regional reliance on the Delta, and reliability of Delta exports, and an overall assessment of progress in achieving the coequal goals.
WR R19	Financial Needs Assessment	As part of the California Water Plan Update, the Department of Water Resources should prepare an assessment of the State’s water infrastructure. This should include the costs of rehabilitating/replacing existing infrastructure, an assessment of the costs of new infrastructure, and an assessment of needed resources for monitoring and adaptive management for these projects. The department should also consider a survey of agencies that may be planning small-scale projects (such as storage or conveyance) that improve water supply reliability.

Chapter 4

ER P1	Update Delta Flow Objectives	<p>Development, implementation, and enforcement of new and updated flow objectives for the Delta and high priority tributaries are key to the achievement of the coequal goals. The State Water Resources Control Board should update the Bay-Delta Water Quality Control Plan objectives as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) By June 2, 2014, adopt and implement updated flow objectives for the Delta that are necessary to achieve the coequal goals.
-------	------------------------------	--

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<p>b) By June 2, 2018, adopt, and as soon as reasonably possible, implement flow objectives for high-priority tributaries in the Delta watershed that are necessary to achieve the coequal goals.¹</p> <p>Flow objectives could be implemented through several mechanisms including negotiation and settlement, FERC relicensing, or water rights hearing.²</p> <p>Prior to the establishment of revised flow objectives identified above, the existing Bay Delta Water Quality Control Plan objectives shall be used to determine consistency with the Delta Plan. After the flow objectives are revised, the revised objectives shall be used to determine consistency with the Delta Plan.</p> <p>This policy covers a proposed action that could affect flow in the Delta.</p>
ER P2	Restore Habitats at Appropriate Elevations	<p>Habitat restoration must be carried out consistent with the text of Appendix H, which is based on the <i>Conservation Strategy for Restoration of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Ecological Management Zone and the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valley Regions</i> (DFG 2011), with minor alterations. Figure 4-5 should be used as a guide for determining appropriate habitat restoration actions based on an area’s elevation.</p> <p>This policy covers a proposed action that includes habitat restoration.</p>
ER P3	Protect Opportunities to Restore Habitat	<p>Significant impacts to the opportunity to restore habitat at the elevations shown in Figure 4-5 must be avoided or mitigated. Mitigation shall be determined, in consultation with the Department of Fish and Game, considering the size of the area impacted by the covered action and the type and value of habitat that could be restored on that area, taking into account existing and proposed restoration plans, landscape attributes, the elevation map shown in Figure 4-5, and other relevant information about habitat restoration opportunities of the area. Mitigation may include the restoration and/or permanent protection of other areas to provide habitats that could have been restored at the site.</p> <p>This policy covers proposed actions in the priority habitat restoration areas depicted in Figure 4-6. It does not cover actions outside those areas.</p>
ER P4	Expand Floodplains and Riparian Habitats in Levee Projects	<p>Levee projects must evaluate and where feasible incorporate alternatives, including use of setback levees, to increase floodplains and riparian habitats. When available, the criteria developed under RR R7 must be used to determine appropriate locations for setback levees.</p> <p>This policy covers a proposed action to construct new levees or substantially rehabilitate or reconstruct existing levees.</p>
ER R1	Prioritize and Implement Projects that Restore Delta Habitat	<p>Bay Delta Conservation Plan implementers, Department of Fish and Game, Department of Water Resources, and the Delta Conservancy should prioritize and implement habitat restoration projects in the areas shown in Figure 4-6. Habitat restoration projects should ensure connections between areas being restored and existing habitat areas and other elements of the landscape needed for the full life cycle of the species that will benefit from the restoration project. Where possible, restoration projects should also emphasize the potential for improving water quality. Restoration project proponents should coordinate with local mosquito abatement districts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Yolo Bypass. Enhance the ability of the Yolo Bypass to flood more frequently to provide more opportunities for migrating fish, especially Chinook salmon, to use this system as a migration corridor that is rich in cover and food. ◆ Cache Slough Complex. Create broad nontidal, freshwater, emergent

¹ SWRCB staff will work with the Council and DFG to determine priority streams. As an illustrative example, priority streams could include the Merced River, Tuolumne River, Stanislaus River, Lower San Joaquin River, Deer Creek (tributary to Sacramento River), Lower Butte Creek, Mill Creek (tributary to Sacramento River), Cosumnes River, and American River (SWRCB 2011a, SWRCB 2011b). Implementation through hearings is expected to take longer than the deadline shown here.

² Implementation through water rights hearings or FERC relicensing is expected to take longer than the deadline shown here.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
ER R2	Complete and Implement Delta Conservancy Strategic Plan	<p>As part of its Strategic Plan and subsequent Implementation Plan or annual work plans, the Delta Conservancy should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ plant-dominated wetlands that grade into tidal freshwater wetlands, and shallow subtidal and deep open water habitats. Also, return a significant portion of the region to uplands with vernal pools and grasslands. ◆ Cosumnes River–Mokelumne River confluence. Allow these unregulated and minimally regulated rivers to flood over their banks during winter and spring frequently and regularly to create seasonal floodplains and riparian habitats that grade into tidal marsh and shallow subtidal habitats. ◆ Lower San Joaquin River floodplain. Reconnect the floodplain and restore more natural flows, to stimulate food webs that support native species. Integrate habitat restoration with flood management actions, when feasible. ◆ Suisun Marsh. Restore significant portions of Suisun Marsh to brackish marsh with land-water interactions to support productive, complex food webs to which native species are adapted and to provide space to adapt to rising sea level action. Use information from adaptive management processes during the Suisun Marsh Habitat Management, Preservation, and Restoration Plan’s implementation to guide future habitat restoration projects and to inform future tidal marsh management. ◆ Western Delta/Eastern Contra Costa County. Restore tidal marsh and channel margin habitat at Dutch Slough and western islands to support food webs and provide habitat for native species. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Develop and adopt criteria for prioritization and integration of large-scale ecosystem restoration in the Delta and Suisun Marsh, with sustainability and use of best available science as foundational principles. ◆ Develop and adopt processes for ownership and long-term operations and management of land in the Delta and Suisun Marsh acquired for conservation or restoration. ◆ Develop and adopt a formal mutual agreement with the Department of Water Resources, Department of Fish and Game, federal interests, and other State and local agencies on implementation of ecosystem restoration in the Delta and Suisun Marsh. ◆ Develop, in conjunction with the Wildlife Conservation Board, the Department of Water Resources, Department of Fish and Game, Bay Delta Conservation Plan implementers, and other State and local agencies, a plan and protocol for acquiring the land necessary to achieve ecosystem restoration consistent with the coequal goals and the Ecosystem Restoration Program Conservation Strategy. ◆ Lead an effort, working with State and federal fish agencies, to investigate how to better use habitat credit agreements to provide credit for each of these steps: (1) acquisition for future restoration; (2) preservation, management, and enhancement of existing habitat; (3) restoration of habitat; and (4) monitoring and evaluation of habitat restoration projects. ◆ Work with the Department of Fish and Game and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to develop rules for voluntary safe harbor agreements with property owners in the Delta whose actions contribute to the recovery of listed threatened or endangered species.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
ER R3	Exempt Delta Levees from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Vegetation Policy	Considering the ecosystem value of remaining riparian and shaded riverine aquatic habitat along Delta levees, the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers should agree with the Department of Fish and Game and the Department of Water Resources on a variance that exempts Delta levees from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' levee vegetation policy where appropriate.
ER R4	Update the Suisun Marsh Protection Plan	The San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission should update the Suisun Marsh Protection Plan and relevant components of the Suisun Marsh Local Protection Program to adapt to sea level rise and ensure consistency with the Suisun Marsh Preservation Act, the Delta Reform Act, and the Delta Plan.
ER P5	Avoid Introductions and Habitat Improvements that Enhance Survival and Abundance of Nonnative Invasive Species	<p>The potential for new introductions of, or improved habitat conditions for, nonnative invasive species must be fully considered and avoided or mitigated in a way that appropriately protects the ecosystem.</p> <p>This policy covers a proposed action that has the reasonable probability of introducing, or improving habitat conditions for, nonnative invasive species.</p>
ER R5	Regulate Angling for Nonnative Sport Fish to Protect Native Fish	The Department of Fish and Game should develop, for consideration by the Fish and Game Commission, proposals for new or revised fishing regulations designed to increase populations of listed fish species through reduced predation by introduced sport fish. The proposals should be based on sound science that demonstrates these management actions are likely to achieve their intended outcome and include the development of performance measures and a monitoring plan to support adaptive management.
ER R6	Prioritize and Implement Actions to Control Nonnative Invasive Species	The Department of Fish and Game and other appropriate agencies should prioritize and fully implement the list of "Stage 2 Actions for Nonnative Invasive Species" and accompanying text shown in Appendix I taken from the <i>Conservation Strategy for Restoration of the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Ecological Management Zone and the Sacramento and San Joaquin Valley Regions</i> (DFG 2011). Implementation of the Stage 2 actions should include the development of performance measures and monitoring plans to support an adaptive management.
ER R7	Manage Hatcheries to Reduce Genetic Risk	As required by the National Marine Fisheries Service, all hatcheries providing listed fish for release into the wild should continue to develop and implement scientifically sound Hatchery and Genetic Management Plans (HGMPs) to reduce risks to those species. The Department of Fish and Game should provide annual updates to the Council on the status of HGMPs within its jurisdiction.
ER R8	Implement Marking and Tagging Program	By December 2014, the Department of Fish and Game, in cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the National Marine Fisheries Service, should revise and begin implementing its program for marking and tagging hatchery salmon and steelhead to improve management of hatchery and wild stocks based on recommendations of the California Hatchery Scientific Review Group, which considered mass marking, reducing hatchery programs, and mark selective fisheries in developing its recommendations.
Chapter 5		
DP R1	Designate the Delta as National Heritage Area	The Delta Protection Commission should complete its application for designation of the Delta and Suisun Marsh as a National Heritage Area and the federal government should complete the process in a timely manner.
DP R2	Designate State Route 160 as a National Scenic Byway	The California Department of Transportation should seek designation of State Route 160 as a National Scenic Byway and prepare and implement a scenic byway plan for it.
DP P1	Locate New Development Wisely	New urban development, including residential, commercial, and industrial uses, must be limited to the following areas (as shown in Figure 5-1 or Appendix K):

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. areas that city or county general plans, as of the date of the Delta Plan's adoption, designate for development in cities or their spheres of influence; 2. areas within Contra Costa County's 2006 voter-approved urban limit line, except no new urban development may occur on Bethel Island unless it is consistent with the Contra Costa County general plan effective as of the date of the Delta Plan's adoption; 3. areas within the Mountain House General Plan Community Boundary in San Joaquin County; or 4. the unincorporated Delta towns of Clarksburg, Courtland, Hood, Locke, Ryde, and Walnut Grove. <p>For purposes of Water Code section 85057.5(a)(3), this policy covers proposed actions that involve new urban development, including residential, commercial, and industrial uses, that is not located within the areas described in the previous paragraph. In addition, this policy covers any such action on Bethel Island that is inconsistent with the Contra Costa County general plan effective as of the date of the Delta Plan's adoption. This policy does not cover commercial recreational visitor-serving uses or facilities for processing of local crops or that provide essential services to local farms and are otherwise consistent with the Delta Plan.</p> <p>This policy is not intended in any way to alter the concurrent authority of the Delta Protection Commission to separately regulate development in the Delta's Primary Zone.</p>
DP P2	Respect Local Land Use When Siting Water or Flood Facilities or Restoring Habitats	<p>Water management facilities, ecosystem restoration, and flood management infrastructure must be sited to avoid or reduce conflicts with existing or planned uses when feasible, considering comments from local agencies and the Delta Protection Commission. Plans for ecosystem restoration must consider sites on existing public lands, when feasible and consistent with a project's purpose, before privately owned sites are purchased. Measures to mitigate conflicts with adjacent uses may include, but are not limited to, buffers to prevent adverse effects on adjacent farmland.</p> <p>This policy covers proposed actions that involve the siting of water management facilities, ecosystem restoration, and flood management infrastructure.</p>
DP R3	Plan for the Vitality and Preservation of Legacy Communities	<p>Local governments, in cooperation with the Delta Protection Commission and Delta Conservancy, should prepare plans for each community that emphasize its distinctive character, encourage historic preservation, identify opportunities to encourage tourism, serve surrounding lands, or develop other appropriate uses, and reduce flood risks.</p>
DP R4	Buy Rights of Way from Willing Sellers When Feasible	<p>Agencies acquiring land for water management facilities, ecosystem restoration, and flood management infrastructure should purchase from willing sellers, when feasible, including consideration of whether lands suitable for proposed projects are available at fair prices.</p>
DP R5	Provide Adequate Infrastructure	<p>The California Department of Transportation, local agencies, and utilities should plan infrastructure, such as roads and highways, to meet needs of development consistent with sustainable community strategies, local plans, Delta Protection Commission's <i>Land Use and Resource Management Plan for the Primary Zone of the Delta</i>, and the Delta Plan.</p>
DP R6	Plan for State Highways	<p>The Delta Stewardship Council, as part of the prioritization of State levee investments called for in RR P1, should consult with the California Department of Transportation as provided in Water Code section 85307(c) to consider the effects of flood hazards and sea level rise on State highways in the Delta.</p>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
DP R7	Subsidence Reduction and Reversal	<p>The following actions should be considered by the appropriate State agencies to address subsidence reversal:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ State agencies should not renew or enter into agricultural leases on Delta or Suisun Marsh islands if the actions of the lessee promote or contribute to subsidence on the leased land, unless the lessee participates in subsidence-reversal or reduction programs. ◆ State agencies currently conducting subsidence reversal projects in the Delta on State-owned lands should investigate options for scaling up these projects if they have been deemed successful. The Department of Water Resources should develop a plan, including funding needs, for increasing the extent of their subsidence reversal and carbon sequestration projects to 5,000 acres by January 1, 2017. ◆ The Council, in conjunction with the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and the Delta Conservancy, should investigate the opportunity for the development of a carbon market whereby Delta farmers could receive credit for carbon sequestration by reducing subsidence and growing native marsh and wetland plants. This investigation should include the potential for developing offset protocols applicable to these types of plants for subsequent adoption by the CARB.
DP R8	Promote Value-Added Crop Processing	Local governments and economic development organizations, in cooperation with the Delta Protection Commission and the Delta Conservancy, should encourage value-added processing of Delta crops in appropriate locations.
DP R9	Encourage Agritourism	Local governments and economic development organizations, in cooperation with the Delta Protection Commission and the Delta Conservancy, should support growth in agritourism, particularly in and around legacy communities. Local plans should support agritourism where appropriate.
DP R10	Encourage Wildlife-Friendly Farming	The Department of Fish and Game, the Delta Conservancy, and other ecosystem restoration agencies should encourage habitat enhancement and wildlife-friendly farming systems on agricultural lands to benefit both the environment and agriculture.
DP R11	Provide New and Protect Existing Recreation Opportunities	Water management and ecosystem restoration agencies should provide recreation opportunities, including visitor-serving business opportunities, at new facilities and habitat areas whenever feasible, and existing recreation facilities should be protected, using California State Parks' <i>Recreation Proposal for the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta and Suisun Marsh</i> and Delta Protection Commission's <i>Economic Sustainability Plan</i> as guides.
DP R12	Encourage Partnerships to Support Recreation and Tourism	The Delta Protection Commission and Delta Conservancy should encourage partnerships between other State and local agencies, and local landowners and business people to expand recreation, including boating, promote tourism, and minimize adverse impacts to non-recreational landowners.
DP R13	Expand State Recreation Areas	California State Parks should add or improve recreation facilities in the Delta in cooperation with other agencies. As funds become available, it should reopen Brannan Island State Recreation Area, complete the park at Delta Meadows-Locke Boarding House, and consider adding new State parks at Barker Slough, Elkhorn Basin, the Wright-Elmwood Tract, and south Delta.
DP R14	Enhance Nature-Based Recreation	The Department of Fish and Game, in cooperation with other public agencies, should collaborate with nonprofits, private landowners, and business partners to expand wildlife viewing, angling, and hunting opportunities.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
DP R15	Promote Boating Safety	The Department of Boating and Waterways should coordinate with the U.S. Coast Guard and State and local agencies on an updated marine patrol strategy for the region.
DP R16	Encourage Recreation on Public Lands	Public agencies owning land should increase opportunities, where feasible, for bank fishing, hunting, levee-top trails, and environmental education.
DP R17	Enhance Opportunities for Visitor-Serving Businesses	Cities, counties, and other local and State agencies should work together to protect and enhance visitor-serving businesses by planning for recreation uses and facilities in the Delta, providing infrastructure to support recreation and tourism, and identifying settings for private visitor-serving development and services.
DP R18	Support the Ports of Stockton and West Sacramento	The ports of Stockton and West Sacramento should encourage maintenance and carefully designed and sited development of port facilities.
DP R19	Plan for Delta Energy Facilities	The Energy Commission and Public Utilities Commission should cooperate with the Delta Stewardship Council as described in Water Code section 85307(d) to identify actions that should be incorporated in the Delta Plan by 2017 to address the needs of Delta energy development, storage, and distribution.
Chapter 6		
WQ R1	Protect Beneficial Uses	Water quality in the Delta should be maintained at a level that supports, enhances, and protects beneficial uses identified in the applicable State Water Resources Control Board or regional water quality control board water quality control plans.
WQ R2	Identify Covered Action Impacts	Covered actions should identify any significant impacts to water quality.
WQ R3	Special Water Quality Protections for the Delta	The State Water Resources Control Board or regional water quality control board should evaluate and, if appropriate, propose special water quality protections for priority habitat restoration areas identified in recommendation ER R1 or other areas of the Delta where new or increased discharges of pollutants could adversely impact beneficial uses.
WQ R4	Complete Central Valley Drinking Water Policy	The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should complete the Central Valley Drinking Water Policy by July 2013.
WQ R5	Complete North Bay Aqueduct Alternative Intake Project	The Department of Water Resources should complete the North Bay Aqueduct Alternate Intake Project EIR by December 31, 2012, and begin construction as soon as possible thereafter.
WQ R6	Protect Groundwater Beneficial Uses	The State Water Resources Control Board should complete development of a Strategic Workplan for protection of groundwater beneficial uses, including groundwater use for drinking water, by December 31, 2012.
WQ R7	Participation in CV-SALTS	The State Water Resources Control Board and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should consider requiring participation by all relevant water users that are supplied water from the Delta or the Delta Watershed or discharge wastewater to the Delta or the Delta Watershed to participate in the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability Program.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
WQ R8	Completion of Regulatory Processes, Research, and Monitoring for Water Quality Improvement	<p>The State Water Resources Control Board and the San Francisco Bay and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Boards are currently engaged in regulatory processes, research, and monitoring essential to improving water quality in the Delta. In order to achieve the coequal goals, it is essential that these ongoing efforts be completed and if possible accelerated, and that the Legislature and Governor devote sufficient funding to make this possible. The Delta Stewardship Council specifically recommends that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The State Water Resources Control Board should complete development of the proposed Policy for nutrients for Inland Surface Waters of the State of California by January 1, 2014. ◆ The State Water Resources Control Board and the San Francisco Bay and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Boards should prepare and begin implementation of a study plan for the development of objectives for nutrients in the Delta and Suisun Marsh by January 1, 2014. Studies needed for development of Delta and Suisun Marsh nutrient objectives should be completed by January 1, 2016. The Water Boards should adopt and begin implementation of nutrient objectives, either narrative or numeric, where appropriate, for the Delta and Suisun Marsh by January 1, 2018. ◆ The State Water Resources Control Board and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should complete the Central Valley Pesticide Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendment for diazinon and chlorpyrifos by January 1, 2013. ◆ The State Water Resources Control Board and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board prioritize and accelerate the completion of the Central Valley Pesticide Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendment for pyrethroids by January 1, 2016. ◆ The State Water Resources Control Board, San Francisco Bay and Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Boards have completed Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendments for methylmercury and efforts to support their implementation should be coordinated. Parties identified as responsible for current methylmercury loads or proponents of projects that may increase methylmercury loading in the Delta or Suisun Marsh should participate in control studies or implement site-specific study plans that evaluate practices to minimize methylmercury discharges. The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should review these control studies by December 31, 2018 and determine control measures for implementation starting in 2020.
WQ R9	Implement Delta Regional Monitoring Program	<p>The State Water Resources Control Board and Regional Water Quality Control Boards should work collaboratively with the Department of Water Resources, Department of Fish and Game, and other agencies and entities that monitor water quality in the Delta to develop and implement a Delta Regional Monitoring Program that will be responsible for coordinating monitoring efforts so Delta conditions can be efficiently assessed and reported on a regular basis.</p>
WQ R10	Evaluate Wastewater Recycling, Reuse, or Treatment	<p>The Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board, consistent with existing water quality control plan policies and water rights law, should require responsible entities that discharge wastewater treatment plant effluent or urban runoff to Delta waters to evaluate whether all or a portion of the discharge can be recycled, otherwise used, or treated in order to reduce contaminant loads to the Delta by January 1, 2014.</p>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
WQ R11	Manage Dissolved Oxygen in Stockton Ship Channel	The State Water Resources Control Board and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board should complete Phase 2 of the Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendment for dissolved oxygen in the Stockton Ship Channel by January 1, 2015.
WQ R12	Manage Dissolved Oxygen in Suisun Marsh	The State Water Resources Control Board and the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board should complete the Total Maximum Daily Load and Basin Plan Amendment for dissolved oxygen in Suisun Marsh Wetlands by January 1, 2014.
Chapter 7		
RR R1	Implement Emergency Preparedness and Response	<p>The following actions should be taken by January 1, 2014, to promote effective emergency preparedness and response in the Delta:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Responsible local, State, and federal agencies with emergency response authority should consider and implement the recommendations of the Delta Multi-Hazard Coordination Task Force (Water Code section 12994.5). Such actions should support the development of a regional response system for the Delta. ◆ In consultation with local agencies, the Department of Water Resources should expand its emergency stockpiles to make them regional in nature and usable by a larger number of agencies in accordance with Department of Water Resources' plans and procedures. The Department of Water Resources, as a part of this plan, should evaluate the potential of creating stored material sites by "over-reinforcing" west Delta levees. ◆ Local levee maintaining agencies should consider developing their own emergency action plans, and stockpiling rock and flood fighting materials. ◆ State and local agencies and regulated utilities that own and/or operate infrastructure in the Delta should prepare coordinated emergency response plans to protect the infrastructure from long-term outages resulting from failures of the Delta levees. The emergency procedures should consider methods that also would protect Delta land use and ecosystem.
RR R2	Finance Local Flood Management Activities	<p>The Legislature should create a Delta Flood Risk Management Assessment District with fee assessment authority (including over State infrastructure) to provide adequate flood control protection and emergency response for the regional benefit of all beneficiaries, including landowners, infrastructure owners, and other entities that benefit from the maintenance and improvement of Delta levees, such as water users who rely on the levees to protect water quality.</p> <p>This district should be authorized to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Identify and assess all beneficiaries of Delta flood protection facilities. ◆ Develop, fund, and implement a regional plan of flood management for both project and non project levees of the Delta, including the maintenance and improvement of levees, in cooperation with the existing reclamation districts, cities, counties, and owners of infrastructure and other interests protected by the levees. ◆ Require local levee maintaining agencies to conduct annual levee inspections per the Department of Water Resources subventions program guidelines, and update levee improvement plans every 5 years. ◆ Participate in the collection of data and information necessary for the prioritization of State investments in Delta levees consistent with RR P1.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Notify residents and landowners of flood risk, personal safety information, and available systems for obtaining emergency information before and during a disaster on an annual basis. ◆ Potentially implement the recommendations of the Delta Multi-Hazard Coordination Task Force (Water Code section 12994.5) in conjunction with local, State, and federal agencies and maintain the resulting regional response system and components and procedures on behalf of SEMS jurisdictions (reclamation district, city, county, and State) that would jointly implement the regional system in response to a disaster event. ◆ Identify and assess critical water supply corridor levee operations, maintenance, and improvements.
RR R3	Fund Actions to Protect Infrastructure from Flooding and Other Natural Disasters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ The Public Utilities Commission should immediately commence formal hearings to impose a reasonable fee for flood and disaster prevention on regulated privately owned utilities with facilities located in the Delta. Publicly owned utilities should also be encouraged to develop similar fees. The Public Utilities Commission, in consultation with the Delta Stewardship Council, the Department of Water Resources, and the Delta Protection Commission, should allocate these funds between State and local emergency response and flood protection entities in the Delta. If a new regional flood management agency is established by law, a portion of the local share would be allocated to that agency. ◆ The Public Utilities Commission should direct all regulated public utilities in their jurisdiction to immediately take steps to protect their facilities in the Delta from the consequences of a catastrophic failure of levees in the Delta, in order to minimize the impact on the State's economy. ◆ The Governor, by Executive Order, should direct State agencies with projects or infrastructure in the Delta to set aside a reasonable amount of funding to pay for flood protection and disaster prevention. The local share of these funds should be allocated as described above.
RR P1	Prioritization of State Investments in Delta Levees and Risk Reduction	<p>The Delta Stewardship Council, in consultation with the Department of Water Resources, the Central Valley Flood Protection Board, the Delta Protection Commission, local agencies, and the California Water Commission, shall develop funding priorities for State investments in Delta levees by January 1, 2015. These priorities shall be consistent with the provisions of the Delta Reform Act in promoting effective, prioritized strategic State investments in levee operations, maintenance, and improvements in the Delta for both levees that are a part of the State Plan of Flood Control and non-project levees. Upon completion, these priorities shall be considered for incorporation into the Delta Plan.</p> <p>The priorities shall identify guiding principles, constraints, recommended cost share allocations, and strategic considerations to guide Delta flood risk reduction investments, supported by, at a minimum, the following actions to be conducted by the Department of Water Resources, consistent with available funding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ An assessment of existing Delta levee conditions. This shall include the development of a Delta levee conditions map based on sound data inputs, including, but not limited to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Geometric levee assessment • Flow and updated stage-frequency analysis ◆ An island-by-island economics-based risk analysis. This analysis shall consider, but not be limited to, values related to protecting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Island residents/life safety • Property

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Value of Delta islands’ economic output, including agriculture. • State water supply • Critical local, State, federal, and private infrastructure, including aqueducts, state highways, electricity transmission lines, gas/petroleum pipelines, gas fields, railroads, and deepwater shipping channels. • Delta water quality • Existing ecosystem values and ecosystem restoration opportunities • Recreation • Systemwide integrity <p>◆ An ongoing assessment of Delta levee conditions. This shall include a process for updating Delta levee assessment information on a routine basis.</p> <p>This methodology shall provide the basis for the prioritization of State investments in Delta levees. It shall include, but not be limited to, the public reporting of the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Tiered ranking of Delta islands, based on economics-based risk analysis values ◆ Delta levee conditions status report, including a levee conditions map ◆ Inventory of Delta infrastructure assets <p>Prior to the completion and adoption of these priorities, the interim priorities listed below shall, where applicable and to the extent permitted by law, guide discretionary State investments in Delta flood risk management. Key priorities for interim funding include emergency preparedness, response, and recovery as well as Delta levee funding.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Delta Emergency Preparedness, Response, and Recovery: Develop and implement appropriate emergency preparedness, response, and recovery strategies, including those developed by the Delta Multi-Hazard Task Force (Water Code Section 12994.5). ◆ Delta Levee Funding: The priorities in the following table are meant to guide budget and funding allocation strategies. The Legislature allocates funds for the Delta levee subvention program, which is not a covered action because it funds local agency levee maintenance. The goals for funding levees are all important, and it is expected that over time, the Department of Water Resources must balance these goals. Except on islands planned for ecosystem restoration, improvement of non-project levees to the HMP standard may be funded without justification of the benefits. Improvement to a standard above HMP, such as PL 84-99, may be funded as befits the benefits to be provided, consistent with the Department of Water Resources’ current practices and any future adopted investment strategy.

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE			
---------------------------------	-------------	--------------------------------	--	--	--

Goals	Localized Flood Protection	Levee Network	Ecosystem Conservation
1	Protect existing urban and adjacent urbanizing areas by providing 200 year flood protection.	Protect water quality and water supply conveyance in the Delta, especially levees that protect freshwater aqueducts and the primary channels that carry fresh water through the Delta.	Protect existing and provide for a net increase in channel-margin habitat
2	Protect small communities and critical infrastructure of Statewide importance (located outside of urban areas)	Protect flood water conveyance in and through the Delta to a level consistent with the State Plan of Flood Control for project levees	Protect existing and provide for net enhancement of floodplain habitat
3	Protect agriculture and local working landscapes.	Protect cultural, historic, aesthetic, and recreational resources to a level consistent with HMP (Delta as Place)	Protect existing and provide for net enhancement of wetlands

This policy covers a proposed action that involves discretionary State investments in Delta flood risk management, including levee operations, maintenance, and improvements.

RR P2	Require Flood Protection for Residential Development in Rural Areas	<p>New residential development of five or more parcels shall provide for a minimum of 200-year flood protection, such as through the use of adequate levees or floodproofing, if it is located outside of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ areas that city or county general plans, as of the date of the Delta Plan’s adoption, designate for development in cities or their spheres of influence; or ◆ areas within Contra Costa County’s 2006 voter-approved urban limit line, except Bethel Island; ◆ areas within the Mountain House General Plan Community Boundary in San Joaquin County; or <p>the unincorporated Delta towns of Clarksburg, Courtland, Hood, Locke, Ryde, and Walnut Grove, as shown in Appendix K, This policy covers a proposed action that involves new residential development of five or more parcels that is not located within the areas described in the previous paragraph.</p>
-------	---	---

RR P3	Protect Floodways	<p>No encroachment shall be permitted in a floodway unless it can be demonstrated by appropriate analysis that the encroachment will not unduly impede the free flow of water in the floodway or jeopardize public safety.</p> <p>This policy covers a proposed action that would encroach upon a floodway.</p>
-------	-------------------	---

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
RR P4	Protect Floodplains	<p>No encroachment shall be permitted in any of the following floodplains unless it can be demonstrated by appropriate analysis that the encroachment will not have a significant effect on floodplain values and functions, as defined in 33CFR 320.4(l)(1). This does not exempt these potential encroachments from the regulations and requirements of the Central Valley Flood Protection Board.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ Areas located in the Yolo Bypass from Fremont Weir through Cache Slough to the Sacramento River including the confluence of Putah Creek into the bypass ◆ The Cosumnes River-Mokelumne River Confluence, as defined by the North Delta Flood Control and Ecosystem Restoration Project (McCormack-Williamson), or as modified in the future by the Department of Water Resources or the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (DWR 2010a). ◆ The Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass area, located on the Lower San Joaquin River upstream of Stockton immediately southwest of Paradise Cut on lands both upstream and downstream of the Interstate 5 crossing. This area is described in the Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass Proposal, submitted to the Department of Water Resources by the partnership of the South Delta Water Agency, the River Islands Development Company, RD 2062, San Joaquin Resource Conservation District, American Rivers, the American Lands Conservancy, and the Natural Resources Defense Council, March 2011. This area may be modified in the future through the completion of this project. <p>This policy covers a proposed action that involves projects located in the Yolo Bypass, Cosumnes River-Mokelumne River Confluence, and Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass areas as described in ER R1.</p>
RR R4	Fund and Implement San Joaquin River Flood Bypass	<p>The Legislature should fund the Department of Water Resources and the Central Valley Flood Protection Board to evaluate and implement a bypass and floodway on the San Joaquin River near Paradise Cut that would reduce flood stage on the mainstem San Joaquin River adjacent to the urban and urbanizing communities of Stockton, Lathrop, and Manteca in accordance with Water Code section 9613(c).</p>
RR R5	Continue Delta Dredging Studies	<p>The current efforts to maintain navigable waters in the Sacramento River Deep Water Ship Channel and Stockton Deep Water Ship Channel, led by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and described in the Delta Dredged Sediment Long-Term Management Strategy (USACE 2007, Appendix L), should be continued in a manner that supports the Delta Plan and the coequal goals. Appropriate dredging throughout other areas in the Delta for maintenance purposes, or that would increase flood conveyance and provide potential material for levee maintenance or subsidence reversal should be implemented in a manner that supports the Delta Plan and coequal goals. Coordinated use of dredged material in levee improvement, subsidence reversal, or wetland restoration is encouraged.</p>
RR R6	Designate Additional Floodways	<p>The Central Valley Flood Protection Board should evaluate whether additional areas both within and upstream of the Delta should be designated as floodways. These efforts should consider the anticipated effects of climate change in its evaluation of these areas.</p>
RR R7	Develop Setback Levee Criteria	<p>The Department of Water Resources, in conjunction with the Central Valley Flood Protection Board, the Department of Fish and Game, and the Delta Conservancy, should develop criteria to define locations for future setback levees in the Delta and Delta watershed.</p>
RR R8	Require Flood Insurance	<p>The Legislature should require an adequate level of flood insurance for residences, businesses, and industries in floodprone areas.</p>

POLICY OR RECOMMENDATION NUMBER	SHORT TITLE	POLICY/RECOMMENDATION LANGUAGE
RR R9	Limit State Liability	The Legislature should consider statutory and/or constitutional changes that would address the State's potential flood liability, including giving State agencies the same level of immunity with regard to flood liability as federal agencies have under federal law.
Chapter 8		
FP R1	Conduct Current Spending Inventory	An inventory of current State and federal spending on programs and projects that do or may achieve the coequal goals will be conducted. Data sources to be used include the CALFED crosscut budget, State bond balance reports, and the annual State budget, among others. Consideration will be given to selecting an independent agency (which could include a non-governmental organization) to conduct the inventory.
FP R2	Develop Delta Plan Cost Assessment	Costs will be assigned to the projects and programs proposed in the Delta Plan (Chapters 2 through 7) and sources of funding will be identified.
FP R3	Identify Funding Gaps	Current State and federal funding gaps will be identified that are determined to hinder progress towards meeting the coequal goals.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY BLANK

1