

Proposed Final Draft Delta Plan- Errata

Summary: Following the September 5th posting of the Proposed Final Draft Delta Plan, staff has continued to review the draft document for general copy editing, code cross-checking, typos, and grammatical errors as part of a future final layout and formatting process. The list to date of errata is provided in this memorandum to inform the Council at the September 13th meeting. This memo also includes an expanded explanation of the Delta Plan map revisions released on September 7th.

Below are items listed in order by chapter that staff wishes to inform the Council members of and that require revisions in preparation of the Final Draft Delta Plan. Items generally fall into three categories: policy/recommendation related changes, figure changes and minor typo/grammatical issues.

Chapter 1: Introduction

1. Figure 1-3: The Delta Plan Map (page 21, redline version)
 - a. Figure 1-3 has been revised to incorporate the updated land use designations, consistent with revised Figure 5-1 (see details below for full explanation of revisions).

Chapter 3: A More Reliable Water Supply for California

1. Narrative revisions in section titled "Climate Change Complicates Management of California's Water" (page 81, lines 1-42, redline version)
 - a. Modify to cite more recent work, including the Climate Change and Western Water Group (CCAWWG), the five federal agencies (Reclamation, NOAA, USEPA, Corps, FEMA).
 - b. Make minor revisions to text in this section to reflect any new information provided in the cited reports and to ensure consistency across chapters when discussing climate impacts.
2. Transparency in Water Contracting (Policy **WR P2**, page 121, lines 16-30, redline version)
 - a. Strike "3504" and insert "3405".

Chapter 4: Protect, Restore, and Enhance the Delta Ecosystem

1. Protect Opportunities to Restore Habitat (Policy **ER P3**, page 170, line 12, redline version): The word “Significant” was inadvertently dropped and should be inserted before “Impacts”.
2. Implement Marking and Tagging Program (Recommendation **ER R8**, page 176, lines 22-28, redline version): Change “marking selective” to “mark selective”.

Chapter 5: Delta as Evolving Place

1. Locate New Development Wisely (Policy **DP P1**, pg. 220, lines 35-42 through page 221 lines 1-16, redline version):

Our legal counsel has suggested a technical revision to this language to improve its clarity without changing the intent of the Council’s action on this provision. The policy should read in its entirety as follows (underlined text indicates language changes):

“New urban development, including residential, commercial, and industrial uses, must be limited to the following areas, (as shown in Figure 5-1 or Appendix K):

- (1) areas that city or county general plans as of the date of the Delta Plan’s adoption, designate for development in cities or their spheres of influence;
- (2) areas within Contra Costa County’s 2006 voter-approved urban limit line, except no new urban development may occur on Bethel Island unless it is consistent with the Contra Costa County general plan effective as of the date of the Delta Plan’s adoption;
- (3) areas within the Mountain House General Plan Community Boundary in San Joaquin County; or
- (4) the unincorporated Delta towns of Clarksburg, Courtland, Hood, Locke, Ryde, and Walnut Grove.

For purposes of Water Code Section 85057.5(a)(3) , this policy covers proposed actions that involve new urban development, including residential, commercial, and industrial uses, that is not located within the areas described in the previous paragraph. In addition, this policy covers any such action on Bethel Island that is inconsistent with the Contra Costa County general plan effective as of the date of the Delta Plan’s adoption. This policy does not cover commercial recreational visitor-serving uses or facilities for processing of local crops or that provide essential services to local farms and are otherwise consistent with the Delta Plan. This policy is not intended in any way to alter the concurrent authority of

the Delta Protection Commission to separately regulate development in the Delta's Primary Zone."

2. Figure 5-1 Urban and Legacy Communities of the Delta (page 197, redline version):

The title of Figure 5-1 will be changed to "Delta Communities" because the Delta Plan does not use the term "legacy communities" to describe unincorporated Delta towns where urban development is planned.

Figure 5-1 is a land use map depicting existing city and county general plan land use designations. This map, as initially included in the Proposed Final Draft Delta Plan, erroneously depicted only the land use designations of county general plans, without considering the general plans that cities have adopted for their jurisdictions and spheres of influence. The revised maps posted on September 7 are updated to depict land uses proposed in adopted city general plans for the cities and their spheres of influence. Designations of county general plans for areas outside the cities and their spheres of influence, or for spheres of influence where city plans make no land use recommendations, are unchanged. New maps for Appendix K show the same information in Figure 5-1 in greater detail.

These revisions clarify the application of two regulatory policies: Locate Development Wisely (**DP P1**) and Require Flood Protection for Residential Development in Rural Areas (**RR P2**).

The following specific changes have been made:

- a. **Bethel Island.** In the May 14, 2012 draft of the Delta Plan, in Figure 5-1, Bethel Island had a pink outline, indicating that it was a legacy community. The pink outline was removed because Figure 5-1 no longer depicts legacy communities. The legend has also been changed from "Contra Costa County Urban Limit Line" to "Contra Costa County Urban Limit Line except Bethel Island" to reflect that DP P1 does apply to development on Bethel Island.
- b. **West Sacramento.** Figure 5-1 (and Appendix K, Figure K-8) were revised to show areas designated as agriculture by the City of West Sacramento's general plan. These areas had been incorrectly shown as areas designated for development.
- c. **Sacramento.** Figure 5-1 (and Appendix K, Figure K-9) were revised to show areas designated as open space within city limits by the City of Sacramento's general plan. These two figures were also revised to show areas proposed as open space and natural preserve near Freeport in the city's sphere of influence.
- d. **Stockton.** Figure 5-1 (and Appendix K, Figure K-10) were revised to show areas within the City of Stockton's sphere of influence that have been designated for development in the City of Stockton's general plan. These

areas had been incorrectly shown as agriculture, which is their designation in the San Joaquin County general plan.

- e. **Lathrop.** Figure 5-1 (and Appendix K, Figure K-10) were revised to show areas within city limits that are designated as open space by the City of Lathrop's general plan. The key area is outside the leveed boundary of the River Islands project, but within the footprint of the proposed Lower San Joaquin River Floodplain Bypass area.
- f. **Rio Vista.** Figure 5-1 was revised to show areas within city limits that are designated as agriculture in the City of Rio Vista's general plan.

Chapter 7: Reduce Risk to People, Property, and State Interests in the Delta

1. Figure 7-5 Delta Flood Management Facilities, has been revised to incorporate the updated land use designations, consistent with revised Figure 5-1 (see details above for full explanation of revisions).

Glossary:

1. Change "commercial visitor-serving uses" to "commercial recreational visitor serving uses" for consistency with policy DP P1.

Appendix C: Administrative Performance Measures for the Delta Plan

1. Chapter 4: ER P2: Restore Habitats at Appropriate Elevations, (page C-4, redline version). Delete (now obsolete) reference to Appendix D.

Appendix K: Delta Communities

1. **New Titles.** Appendix K maps that formerly referred to "legacy communities" have been retitled as follows:
 - Figure K-1. Towns of Locke and Walnut Grove
 - Figure K-2. Town of Hood
 - Figure K-3. Town of Ryde
 - Figure K-4. Town of Courtland
 - Figure K-5. City of Isleton
 - Figure K-6. Town of Clarksburg
 - Figure K-7. Town of Knightsen.

The map for the City of Rio Vista (formerly K-8, now K-13) was reformatted to be consistent with the new maps of cities and their spheres of influence.

2. **New Maps.** Six maps were added to Appendix K to show land use designations as shown in Figure 5-1, but in greater detail:
 - Figure K-8. City of West Sacramento
 - Figure K-9. City of Sacramento and its Sphere of Influence, including Freeport

- Figure K-10. Cities of Stockton, Lodi, Lathrop, and Manteca and their Spheres of Influence
 - Figure K-11. City of Tracy and its Sphere of Influence and the Community of Mountain House
 - Figure K-12. Cities of Fairfield, Suisun City, and Benicia and their Spheres of Influence
 - Figure K-13. City of Rio Vista and its Sphere of Influence.
3. **Black Outlines.** Figures K-1 through K-7 now include black outlines around communities indicating the boundaries of the areas exempt from DP P1 and RR P2. This is intended to make it clear that the Council would be exempting the whole area inside the black line, even if there were small undesignated areas on the map (i.e., streets).
 4. **Inset Maps.** All Appendix K maps now include an inset map serving as a guide to locating the areas in the Delta.
 5. **Deleted Map.** The Bethel Island map has been removed from Appendix K.

Contact

Cindy Messer
Delta Plan Program Manager

Phone: (916) 445-0258

Jessica R. Pearson
Senior Policy Advisor

Phone: (916) 445-0936

Jessica Davenport
Senior Planner

Phone: (916) 445-2168