

1 ***Draft Prospectus: Review of the organization, development, and use of***  
2 **science in estimating water supply reliability involving the Sacramento-San**  
3 **Joaquin Delta**

4 **Delta Independent Science Board**

5 **3 December 2017**

6 The Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta is California's main hub for agricultural and municipal water  
7 supply involving upstream and in-Delta diversions. Water supply reliability is one of the State's  
8 coequal goals for managing the Delta. As a result, the Delta Independent Science Board (Delta  
9 ISB) will undertake an initial review that focuses on scientific efforts and methods for estimating  
10 and evaluating water supply reliability.

11 Reliability is important at many scales, in many ways, for many purposes. Reliability also  
12 changes significantly with time, location, conditions, regulations, and management. Water  
13 supply is typically managed adaptively, at least in an informal sense, through a portfolio of water  
14 sources and supply and demand management actions (e.g., various surface and groundwater  
15 supplies, managed conjunctively with water conservation, water trading, and other activities).  
16 Lack of reliability leads urban and agricultural water users within and outside the Delta to make  
17 water supply investments from other water sources or suffer costs or inconvenience from  
18 reduced water deliveries to their customers. Lack of water supply reliability for environmental  
19 and ecosystem objectives can lead to declines in native species, growth in non-native species  
20 populations, and undesirable concentrations of contaminants, all of which ultimately affect  
21 human health and economic prosperity. Water supply reliability is a pillar of water security  
22 (Srinivasan et al. 2017).

23 Water supply reliability is commonly assessed in terms of probabilities. These include the  
24 probability of delivery availability for individual or aggregated water sources, probabilities of  
25 different amounts of overall water shortage for water users or uses, and averages or probability  
26 distributions of estimated economic losses and costs.

27 Unreliability in water supplies is unavoidable and can have many causes. These include:  
28 natural hydrologic variability between wet and dry years, climate change, earthquake  
29 disruptions, mechanical failures, water quality contamination, regulatory requirements on water  
30 sources, and drinking water quality standards. Causes of unreliability interact and are subject to  
31 management actions. Much is written on water reliability for various purposes, metrics, and  
32 sources of unreliability. Unreliability also has many effects, some expected and some  
33 unforeseen.

34 Water quality is an important aspect of Delta water supply reliability. Delta lands, being near  
35 sea level, will always have ready physical access to water. But the quality of water in the Delta  
36 is a longstanding issue for both in-Delta users and water exporters, determining the suitability of  
37 Delta water for different uses.

38 A wide range of local, state, federal, academic, consulting, and non-governmental organization  
39 efforts analyze water supply reliability for the Delta. Reliability is commonly estimated for  
40 individual Delta projects as well as the overall water supplies for local and regional water users.  
41 For many water users, reliability involves many sources and a portfolio of water management  
42 actions.

**Send comments to [Edmund.Yu@deltacouncil.ca.gov](mailto:Edmund.Yu@deltacouncil.ca.gov) by January 12, 2018.**

43 Perhaps the most public effort to estimate Delta water supply reliability is the California  
 44 Department of Water Resources' (DWR's) biennial report that estimates [delivery capability for](#)  
 45 [the State Water Project](#) (e.g., DWR 2015). Other agencies routinely provide or fund planning  
 46 estimates that involve long-term and seasonal operations of water delivery reliability. Similarly,  
 47 major water systems worldwide provide water supply reliability forecasts that might offer lessons  
 48 for California.

49 Many Delta policy and management discussions draw on existing and past scientific and  
 50 technical work on water supply reliability. These discussions might benefit from additional, more  
 51 consistent, or differently organized scientific and technical work on water supply reliability  
 52 estimation.

53 A comprehensive review of all scientific work related to water supply and the Delta would be  
 54 overwhelming and ponderous. Such a review would encompass the detailed science of urban  
 55 and agricultural water conservation, reservoir operations, groundwater supplies,  
 56 evapotranspiration estimation and management, diversion fish screens, water supply effects of  
 57 environmental flows, climate change, long-term changes in water demands, water quality effects  
 58 on water supply, and integration of water supply portfolios.

59 Therefore, this initial review will focus on the science and methods available to estimate and  
 60 evaluate water supply reliability. Topics covered in this review might include:

- 61 • How the reliability of Delta water supplies differs from overall water supply reliability for
- 62 local water users and uses, who often rely on a portfolio of supplies.
- 63 • Different causes of unreliability and how they are likely to change with time and policies.
- 64 • How reliability can be measured and communicated for different purposes and uses.
- 65 • An inventory of long-term and seasonal estimation efforts by various federal, state, and
- 66 local agencies.
- 67 • An evaluation of the methods, data, and uncertainty involved in making such estimations.
- 68 • Management responses available when reliability is imperfect and when estimates are
- 69 incorrect, and their costs.
- 70 • Approaches being taken to estimate changes in reliability with changes in climate,
- 71 endangered species conditions, and alternative water supply and conservation
- 72 infrastructure and management.
- 73 • Opportunities to jointly manage water for both water supply and ecosystem purposes.
- 74 • Relative roles of surface water, groundwater, and water demand management.

75 The review's depth and breadth would be managed adaptively. The minimum outcome will be a  
 76 short initial review that might suggest some areas for further work, agency coordination, and  
 77 perhaps reporting back. A more ambitious option is wide-ranging and analytical review that  
 78 delves deeply into one or more of the topics listed above.

## 79 **Review Process Ideas**

- 80 • Inventory and discussions with major analysts of water supply reliability
- 81 • Panel presentations, interviews, survey/questionnaire
- 82 • Informal discussion and presentation workshop (format similar to the earlier [levee](#)  
 83 [workshop](#) by the Delta ISB) with two main sessions on a) estimators of water supply  
 84 reliability on methods and b) use and development of system reliability estimates for  
 85 water users

- 86 • Comparative analysis of various available estimates of reliability

87 **Tentative report outline**

- 88 1. Estimation of Delta and overall water supply reliability, potential problems and relevance  
89 (long-term, seasonal estimates), scales of analysis (municipal, urban, regional)  
90 2. Inventory of estimations of water supply reliability  
91 3. Approaches to estimating water supply reliability  
92 4. Comparative analysis  
93 5. Conclusions and Recommendations

94 **Tentative timeline**

- 95 1. Prospectus explorations with public and private discussions – 3-5 months  
96 2. Workshop and initial write-up – 2-4 months  
97 3. Follow-up analysis and draft report – 2-3 months  
98 4. Public review and revisions – 2-4 months  
99 5. Possible journal paper on “Reliability of Water Supply Reliability Estimates”

100 Total estimated time: 9-24 months

101 **Some Further Reading**

102 DWR, [State Water Project Delivery Capability Report 2015 and Appendices](#), and previous  
103 reports for 2002, 2005, 2007, 2009, 2011, and 2013.

104 <http://baydeltaoffice.water.ca.gov/swpreliability/>

105 Howe, C.W. and M.G. Smith (1994), “[The Value of Water Supply Reliability in Urban Water](#)  
106 [Systems](#),” *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 26, 19-30 (1994)

107 Lund, J. “[California’s Agricultural and Urban Water Supply Reliability and the Sacramento–San](#)  
108 [Joaquin Delta](#),” *San Francisco Estuary and Watershed Science*, Vol. 14, No. 3, October 2016.

109 Maven’s Notebook, [Delta Independent Science Board: Water Supply Reliability Panel](#),  
110 summary, posted March 9, 2016.

111 Srinivasan, V., Konar, M., & Sivapalan, M. (2017). A dynamic framework for water security.  
112 *Water Security*, [Volume 1](#), July 2017, Pages 12-20